State of Arizona House of Representatives Forty-fifth Legislature First Regular Session 2001

CHAPITER 236

HOUSE BILL 2631

AN ACT

MAKING APPROPRIATIONS FOR THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS OF THE STATE, FOR STATE INSTITUTIONS AND FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS; PROVIDING FOR CERTAIN REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

(TEXT OF BILL BEGINS ON NEXT PAGE)

Be it enacted by the Legislature of the State of Arizona:

Section 1. Subject to applicable laws, the sums or sources of revenue set forth in this act are appropriated for the fiscal years indicated for the purposes and objects specified and the performance measures are indicated as legislative intent.

Sec. 2. BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

	2001-02	2002-03
FTE positions	10.0	10.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 2,105,200**	\$ 2,071.900
Fund sources:		
Board of accountancy fund	\$ 2,105,200	\$ 2,071,900
Performance measures:		
Number of licensees (new and existing)	11,250	11,250
Number of complaints received about licensees	1,000	1,000
Average calendar days to resolve a complaint	180	180
Number of investigations of licensees	500	500
Average calendar days to renew a license		
(from receipt of application to issuance)	1.0	1.0
Administration as a per cent of total cost	0.7	0.7
Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0
TI 133	 	

The agency shall report by June 30, 2002 to the joint legislative budget committee on progress made in evaluating the equity of the board's fee structure in relation to asset management. The report shall include a plan to bring agency expenditures in line with revenues.

Sec. 3. ACUPUNCTURE BOARD OF EXAMINERS

	<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
FTE positions	0.5	0.5
Lump sum appropriation \$	50,400**	\$ 50,400
Fund sources:		•
Acupuncture board of examiners fund \$	50,400	\$ 50,400
Performance measures:		
Number of licensees (new and existing)	225	225
Number of complaints received about licensees	10	15
Average calendar days to resolve a complaint	90	90
Number of investigations	20	30
Average calendar days to renew a license		
(from receipt of application to issuance)	60	60
Administration as a per cent of total cost	10	10
Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0

 $\varphi = (e^{-\frac{1}{2}}a^{-1} - a^{-\frac{1}{2}})$

1	Sec. 4. DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION		
2		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
3	General fund	<u> </u>	
4	FTE positions	409.2	413.2
5	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 20,030,300	\$ 20,220,200
6	ENSCO	4,586,700	4,586,100
7	Arizona financial information	.,020,.00	.,,,,,,,,
8	system	1,906,100	1,934,500
9	Total - general fund	\$ 26,523,100	\$ 26,740,800
10	Performance measures:		
11	Average cycle time for requests for		
12	proposal (RFP) (in days)	80	80
13	Customer satisfaction rating for the		
14	quality of contracts (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
15	Customer satisfaction rating for		4.0
16	purchasing services (Scale 1-8)	7.5	7.5
17	Customer satisfaction rating for the	7.0	,,,
18	administration of the payroll process		
19	(Scale 1-8)	6.6	6.6
20	Customer satisfaction rating for the		
21	operation of AFIS (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
22	Average capitol police response time to		
23	emergency calls (in minutes and seconds)	2:00	2:00
24	Customer satisfaction with GRRC		2.00
25	rulemaking assistance (Scale 1–8)	6.5	6.5
26	Customer satisfaction with tenant		
27	improvement process (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
28	The department may collect an amount		
29	other funding sources, excluding federal fun		
30	operating AFIS II. All AFIS II operating	•	
31	proportionately distributed among all contrib		
32	the state general fund.	, a v t t i g v t i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
33	Air quality fund		
34	State employee transportation		
35	service subsidy	\$ 475,400	\$ 475,400
36	Performance measures:	,,	, .,,,,,,,
37	Agency sites that achieved their travel		
38	reduction goals	21	22
39	The amounts appropriated for the state		
40	subsidy shall be used for up to a one hund	-	
41	payable for transportation service expenses	<u>-</u>	
42	Arizona Revised Statutes, of nonuniversity	•	
43	emissions control area, as defined in s	• •	
44	Statutes, of a county with a population of	and the second s	
45	persons according to the most recent United		the state of the s
7.3	persons according to the most recent united	. Sydboo deconilla	

1	<u>Capital outlay stabilization fund</u>						
2	FTE positions		38.7			53	1.7
3	Lump sum appropriation	\$	2,847,400	\$	4,	461,1	.00
4	Utilities		6,100,000		6,	,100,0	000
5	Relocation		60,000*	_		60,00	<u>00</u> *
6	Total – capital outlay stabilization						
7	fund	\$	9,007,400	\$	10,	,621,1	00
8	Performance measures:						
9	Customer satisfaction with agency relocation						
10	process (Scale 1-8)		6.0			€	5.0
11	Customer satisfaction rating for building						
12	maintenance (Scale 1–8)		6.0			6	5.0
13	Ratio of preventative maintenance work orders		•				
14	to total maintenance requests		485:15,285		550	0:15,2	285
15	Corrections fund						
16	FTE positions		9.3			9	9.3
17	Lump sum appropriation	\$	574,700		\$	571,0	600
18	It is the intent of the legislature that	t	he amount app	rop	ria	ted f	rom
19	the corrections fund be expended solely for t						
20	projects benefiting the state department of cor	re	ections or the	: de	≥par	tment	of
21	juvenile corrections.						
22	Motor vehicle pool revolving fund						
23	FTE positions		19.0			13	9.0
24	. Lump sum appropriation	\$	12,494,200	\$	12	,557,	700
25	Performance measures:						
26	Per cent of downtime of fleet management						
27	vehicles in total fleet 🕟		2.7				2.6
28	It is the intent of the legislature tha	t	the departme	nt	not	repl	ace
29	vehicles until an average of six years and 120),(000 miles, or	1 a	ter	•	
30	Lease-purchase building operating and						
31	<u>maintenance fund</u>						
32	FTE positions		15.0				0 -
33	Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,351,800	•	\$	-	0 -
34	Technology and telecommunications fund						
35	FTE positions		225.3				5.3
36	Lump sum appropriation	\$	33,572,200	!	\$ 35	653,	500
37	Performance measures:						
38	Customer satisfaction rating for mainframe						
39	services based on annual survey (Scale 1–8)	6.0				6.0
40	Customer satisfaction rating for information						
41	technology security services (Scale 1–8)		6.0				6.0
42	Customer satisfaction rating for finance						
43	and planning services (Scale 1–8)		6.0				6.0
44	Customer satisfaction rating for Arizona						
45	telecommunications system (ATS) (Scale 1–8)	6.0				6.0

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

43

Per cent of enterprise application work requests completed by estimated target date 95 95 The appropriation for the technology and telecommunications fund is an estimate representing all monies, including balance forward, revenue and transfers during fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003. monies are appropriated to the department of administration for the purposes established in section 41–713, Arizona Revised Statutes. The appropriation shall be adjusted as necessary to reflect receipts credited to the technology and telecommunications fund for Arizona telecommunications system and data center projects. Of the appropriation, \$13,111,100 in fiscal year 2001-2002 2002-2003 the are for fiscal year in \$13,812,400 and telecommunications system and \$20,461,100 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$21,841,100 in fiscal year 2002-2003 are for all other information technology additional Expenditures for all expenditures. services telecommunications system and data center projects shall be subject to review by the joint legislative budget committee, following approval of the government information technology agency, and shall not exceed the revenues for these projects. Risk management revolving fund 91.0 91.0 FTE positions 6,850,900 6,899,500 Lump sum appropriation Attorney general defense – risk 6,807,500 6,806,700 management Risk management losses and related 38,998,000 38,549,000 expenditures Workers' compensation losses and 23,401,000 21,254,200 related expenditures 6,910,400 6,910,400 Insurance premiums \$ 80,419,800 \$ 82,967,800 Total - risk management revolving fund Performance measures: Number of settlements and judgments greater 25 25 than \$150,000 4,200 4,200 Number of liability claims opened 14.3 14.3 Cost of risk per capita Workers' compensation incidence rates/100 6.0 6.0 FTE positions 36 Per cent of workers' compensation claims 37 75 75 reported within 48 hours 38 Personnel division fund 39 135.0 132.0 FTE positions 40 \$ 7,362,600 \$ 7,346,300 Lump sum appropriation 41 7,215,800 Human resources management system 4,602,900 42

Total - personnel division fund

\$ 11,949,200

\$ 14,578,400

1	Performance measures:		
2	Average number of days to issue list of		
3	job applicants from Resumix to inquiring		
4	agency	2.0	2.0
5	Number of employees attending Arizona		
6	healthways events	597	657
7	The human resources management syst	em special line i	item includes
8	\$2,900,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$5,3		
9	to replace the human resources/payroll system		
10	section 35–190, Arizona Revised Statut		
11	appropriations until June 30, 2004.		, ,
12	Before the expenditure of funds f	or replacement o	of the human
13	resources/payroll system, the Arizona depa		
14	submit a report for review to the joint		
15	detailing the expenditure plan for th		
16	resources/payroll system.		
17	Special employee health insurance		
18	trust fund		
19	FTE positions	23.5	23.5
20	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 3,292,300	\$ 3,293,300
21	Performance measures:		, .
22	Customer satisfaction with benefit plans		
23	(Scale 1-8)	6.8	7.0
24	Customer satisfaction with the open		
25	enrollment process (Scale 1-8)	6.8	7.0
26	State surplus materials revolving		
27	fund and federal surplus materials		
28	revolving fund		
29	FTE positions	23.0	23,0
30	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 4,222,200	\$ 4,222,900
31		ing fund and fe	
32	materials revolving fund lump sum appro		
33	necessary to reflect surplus property pi	-	
34	agencies. The current estimate of this amo		
35	2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 and is		
36	Total appropriation - department of		
37	administration	\$183,882,300	\$191,682,500
38	Fund sources:	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
39	State general fund	\$ 26,523,100	\$ 26,740,800
40	Other appropriated funds	157,359,200	164,941,700
41	Performance measures:	201,000,200	201,012,120
42	Per cent of ADOA services receiving a good		
43	(6) or better rating from customers, bas	sed	
44	on biennial survey (Scale 1–8)	85	85
- t -T	on pramital outras todate 1 of	23	

- 5 -

1	Customer satisfaction with ADOA's facilitatio				
2	of the flow of information from the agency	•			
3	the public, community organizations and		6.2		6.5
4	other governmental agencies (Scale 1-8)		6.3		
5	Per cent of agency staff turnover		13.5		13.5
6 7	Administration as a per cent of total cost Sec. 5. OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS		1.9		1.9
8			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
9	FTE positions		34.0		34.0
10	Lump sum appropriation	\$	2,364,500	\$	2,364,100
11	Fund sources:				
12	State general fund	\$	1,232,100	\$	1,231,900
13	Office of administrative hearings				
14	fund		1,118,600		1,118,400
15	AHCCCS donations fund		13,800		13,800
16	Performance measures:				
17	Number of hearings held		3,466		3,466
18	Average days from request for hearing to				
19	first date of hearing		46		46
20	Average days from the first scheduled				
21	hearing to its conclusion		10		10
22	Average days from the conclusion of the				
23	hearing to transmission of the decision				
24	to the agency		9.0		9.0
25	Evaluations rating the administrative law				
26	judge excellent or good in impartiality		96		97
27	Administration as a per cent of total cost		7.9		8.0
28	Sec. 6. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE				
29			2001-02		2002-03
30	FTE positions		308.6		308.6
31	Lump sum appropriation	\$	14,910,600	\$	14,879,900
32	Agricultural employment relations				
33	board		23,300		23,300
34	Animal damage control		65,000		65,000
35	Red imported fire ant		355,500		355,800
36	Total appropriation – department of	_		_	_
37	agriculture	\$	15,354,400	\$	15,324,000
38	Fund sources:				
39	State general fund	\$	12,810,100	\$	12,778,600
40	Aquaculture fund	•	9,200	-	9,200
41	Egg inspection fund		462,500		462,800
42	Citrus, fruit and vegetable		-, -		_ • · · · •
43	revolving fund		919,800		920,200
			,		7,77

- 6 -

1	Commercial feed fund	197,100	197,100
2	Fertilizer materials fund	255,000	255,000
3	Livestock custody fund	79,400	79,400
4	Pesticide fund	231,900	231,900
5	Consulting and training fund	61,300	61,400
-6	Ratite control fund	8,900	9,000
7	Dangerous plants, pests and	0,500	3,000
8	diseases fund	21,400	21,400
9	Organic food certification fund	12,500	12,500
10	Arizona protected native plant	20,000	22,000
11	fund	235,000	235,100
12	Seed law fund	50,300	50,400
13	Performance measures:	00,000	
14	Per cent of industry stakeholders rating		
15	the department's quality of communication		
16	excellent or good	92	95
17	Meat and poultry product tests in compliance		
18	with bacteria, drug and chemical residue		
19	requirements	100	100
20	Per cent of industry satisfied that the level		
21	of inspection ensures that only quality		
22	produce reaches the market	95	98
23	Number of retailers actively participating in		
24	the "Arizona grown" program	150	150
25	Overall customer satisfaction rating for		
26	laboratory services (per cent)	. 95	98
27	Per cent of agency staff turnover	10.5	10.5
28	Administration as a per cent of total cost	13.7	13.7
29	Of the lump sum appropriation in fiscal	year 2001-2002 and	fiscal year
30	2002-2003, the sum of \$50,000 is appropriated		-
31	Arizona grown promotion program. Every dollar		
32	agriculture for the Arizona grown program shall	•	•
33	cents in contributions from the private sector		
34	to the state general fund.	·	
35	Sec. 7. ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST CONTAINMENT	SYSTEM .	
36		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
37	<u>Administration</u>		
38	FTE positions	2,478.8	2,491.8
39	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 67,419,300	\$ 68,430,700
40	DOA data center charges	5,534,100	5,717,500
41	Indian advisory council	222,900	222,900
42	DES eligibility	44,529,000	44,537,500
43	DES Title XIX pass-through	301,900	302,000
	-		

1	DHS Title XIX pass-through	1,681,000	1,662,400
2	Healthcare group administration	1,300,500	1,300,600
3	Office of administrative hearings	174,200	174,200
4	CHIP – administration	8,623,900	9,001,800
5	CHIP – services	62,131,200	78,292,200
6	Finger imaging	950,000	950,000
7	Total expenditure authority –		
8	administration	\$192,868,000	\$210,591,800
9	Performance measures:		
10	Cost avoidance from fraud and abuse		
11	prevention program	\$ 7,000,000	\$ 7,000,000
12	Per cent of enrollees filing a grievance	0.4	0.4
13	Per cent of eligibility accuracy as		
14	measured by quality control sample	97	97
15	Per cent of AHCCCS employee turnover	11.5	11.5
16	Administration as a per cent of total cost	4.4	4.4
17	Customer satisfaction rating for eligibility		
18	determination clients (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0

Of the \$192,868,000 expenditure authority for administration in fiscal year 2001-2002, \$57,593,700 is appropriated from the state general fund, \$1,300,500 is appropriated from the donations fund and \$70,755,100 is appropriated from the children's health insurance program fund. Of the \$210,591,800 expenditure authority for administration in fiscal year 2002-2003, \$57,636,000 is appropriated from the state general fund, \$1,300,600 is appropriated from the donations fund and \$87,294,000 is appropriated from the children's health insurance program fund.

It is the intent of the legislature that the appropriation for the department of administration data center charges be used only for the payment of charges incurred by the department for the use of computing services provided by the department of administration data center.

The amounts appropriated for the department of economic security eligibility special line item shall be used for intergovernmental agreements with the department of economic security for the purpose of eligibility determination and other functions. The general fund share may be used for eligibility determination for other programs administered by the division of benefits and medical eligibility based on the results of the Arizona random moment sampling survey.

The amounts appropriated for the department of health services title XIX pass-through special line item shall be used for intergovernmental agreements with the department of health services for the purpose of medicaid-related licensure, certification and registration, and other functions.



The Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report by January 1 of each year on the agency's use of the cost savings that results from entering into an agreement with another state as outlined in Laws 1999, chapter 313, section 27. The report shall also include detail on the source of all revenues and expenditure of monies from the intergovernmental service fund.

Before the expenditure of any monies for the Arizona health care cost containment system administration customer eligibility system, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall submit a report to the joint legislative budget committee for its review. The report shall discuss how the automation improvements are compatible with the no wrong door initiative.

If federal matching monies are received for the finger imaging enrollment program, the Arizona health care cost containment system shall revert the portion of the state general fund appropriation received equal to the federal dollars received for this program in the year that the federal monies are received.

The Arizona health care cost containment system shall report by September 30 of each year to the joint legislative budget committee on the services that receive reimbursement from the federal government under the medicaid in public school initiative. The report shall include information on the type of services, how those services meet the definition of medical necessity, and the total amount of federal dollars that the schools have received under the medicaid in public school initiative.

25	<u>Acute care</u>		
26	Capitation	\$1,077,731,200	\$1,175,442,800
27	Fee for service	311,501,600	342,685,100
28	Reinsurance	68,420,800	72,584,100
29	Medicare premiums	33,454,100	37,294,000
30	Disproportionate share payments	125,171,800 -	125,171,800
31	Graduate medical education	21,683,200	22,528,100
32-	Premium-sharing-and-health		
-33	care-group	<u> 15,000,000</u>	<u>15,000,000</u>
34	Total expenditure authority -		
35	acute care	\$1,652,962,700	\$1,790,705,900
36	Performance measures:		
37	Per cent of two year old children enrolled		
38	in AHCCCS who have received age		
39	appropriate immunizations	83	83
40	Per cent of well child visits in the first		
41	15 months of life (EPSDT)	75	75
42	Per cent of children's access to primary		
43	care provider	83	83

- 9 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35-

Per cent of women receiving annual cervical screening 46 46 Member satisfaction as measured by percentage of enrollees that choose to change health plans 4.0 4.0

Of the \$1,652,962,700 expenditure authority for acute care in fiscal year 2001–2002, \$522,694,700 is appropriated from the state general fund. Of the \$1,790,705,900 expenditure authority for acute care in fiscal year 2002-2003, \$564,813,200 is appropriated from the state general fund.

-The fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 disproportionate share payment of \$125,171,800 is based on the federal fiscal year 2001-2002 -and-fiscal year 2002 2003 authorized expenditure level of \$81,000,000. If 13 the final federal expenditure authorization is an amount different from the 14 estimate, the governor shall direct the Arizona health-care-cost-containment 15 system administration, subject to the availability of monies and subject to 16-the-review-of-the-joint-legislative-budget-committee, to-proportionately-17——adjust—authorization—amounts—among—the—identified—recipients—of—the 18—disproportionate-share-hospital payment.—Before-the-final-payment,-the 19—governor—shall—provide—notification—to—the—president—of—the—senate,—the 20 speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the house and senate 21---appropriations-committees-and-the-staff-director-of-the-joint-legislative -budget-committee-of-the-adjusted-federal-authorized-expenditure-level-and-the proposed distribution-plan-for-these-monies.

Before making fee-for-service program or rate changes that pertain to hospital, nursing facility or home and community based services rates or for any of the other fee-for-service rate categories that have increases that, in the aggregate, are two per cent above and \$1,500,000 from the state general fund greater than budgeted medical inflation in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002–2003, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report its plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review.

Before implementation of capitation rate changes that have a budgetary impact, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report its plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review.

-The \$15,000,000 appropriated in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 36 2002-2003 for premium sharing and health care group is available for the 37-following programs: Premium-sharing, health care group, ticket to-work, 38 coverage of parents of title XXI eligible children, critical access 39--hospitals, and medicaid breast and cervical cancer coverage for women who are 40....screened under the DHS well-women-healthcheck-program...-Monies-appropriated 41---to-the-premium-sharing-and-health-care-group-special-line-item-in-fiscal-year 42---2001-2002-are-exempt-from-the-provisions-of-section-35-190, Arizona Revised 43—Statutes, relating-to-lapsing-of-appropriations-until-October-1, 2002.

- 10 -

<u>Long-term care</u>		
Program lump sum appropriation	\$632,968,900	\$694,667,900
Board of nursing	209,700	209,700
Total expenditure authority -		
long-term care	\$633,178,600	\$694,877,600
Performance measures:		
Per cent of nursing facility residents that		
receive influenza immunization	85	85
Per cent of members utilizing home and		
community based services (HCBS)	49	49
Per cent of ALTCS applications processed on		
time (within 45 days)	90	90
Per cent of financial redeterminations		
processed on time (within 12 months)	90	90

Any federal funds that the Arizona health care cost containment system administration passes through to the department of economic security for use in long-term administration care for the developmentally disabled shall not count against the long-term care expenditure authority above.

Pursuant to section 11-292, subsection B, Arizona Revised Statutes, the fiscal year 2001-2002 nonfederal portion of the costs of providing long-term care system services is \$217,077,800. The state contribution is \$48,318,100 and the county contribution is \$168,759,700. The fiscal year 2002-2003 nonfederal portion of the costs of providing long-term care services is \$237,434,300. The state contribution is \$58,554,700 and the county contribution is \$178,879,600.

Before making fee-for-service program or rate changes that pertain to hospital, nursing facility or home and community based services rates or for any of the other fee-for-service rate categories that have increases that, in the aggregate, are two per cent above and \$1,500,000 from the state general fund greater than budgeted medical inflation in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report its plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review.

Before implementation of capitation rate changes that have a budgetary impact, the Arizona health care cost containment system administration shall report its plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review.

The administration shall provide the joint legislative budget committee staff an implementation plan for the provider rate adjustment by September 1, 2001.

It is the intent of the legislature that the agency distribute one hundred per cent of the increase intended for providers to contracted community treatment providers.

- 11 -

It is the intent of the legislature that the provider rate increase be incorporated into contracted rates. Since this increase in the contracted rate would not be competitively procured, the adjustment in this section is exempt from the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes, title 41, chapter 23, related to procurement.

It is the intent of the legislature that the agency allocate funds in this section as a flat percentage increase across the total dollar value of all contracts in eligible categories.

It is the intent of the legislature that monies for the adjustment effective as of October 1, 2001 be allocated only to providers with contracts for eligible services in effect as of October 1, 2001.

It is the intent of the legislature that independent providers are eligible for these increases.

It is the intent of the legislature that community treatment providers allocate the adjustments for salary increases to direct care staff who provide direct care services for more than eighty per cent of their time weekly and who earn less than thirteen dollars per hour.

It is the intent of the legislature that these funds be spent for ongoing pay adjustments and salary-related employee related expenses such as workers' compensation, unemployment insurance, and FICA.

Each contract provider receiving a rate adjustment shall report to the agency by June 1, 2002 on how the adjustment was used. The Arizona health care cost containment system shall summarize this information and report it to the joint legislative budget committee by July 1, 2002.

00 0112 (01110)2310120110 222300 001111110000	., .	u., _, _		
Total expenditure authority	\$	2,479,009,300	\$	2,696,175,300
Less tobacco tax medically needy				
account withdrawals		(36,856,900)		(37,329,100)
Less collections, other receipts				
and balances forward	(1	,741,490,300)	(1	1,889,247,700)
Total appropriation – Arizona health care				
cost containment system	\$	700,662,100	\$	769,598,500
Fund sources:				
State general fund	\$	628,606,500	\$	681,003,900
Other appropriated funds		72,055,600		88,594,600
Performance measures:				
Per cent of people under age 65 that are				
uninsured		24		24
Per cent of children (under 18 years)				
that are uninsured		22		22
AHCCCS enrollment		583,364		622,705
Children's health insurance program				
(CHIP) enrollment		45,627		54,558
Premium sharing enrollment		7,000		7,000

- 12 -

1	Sec. 8. BOARD OF APPRAISAL				
2			2001-02		2002-03
3	FTE positions		4.0		4.0
4	Lump sum appropriation	\$	443,600**	\$	445,400
5	Fund sources:		•		•
6	Board of appraisal fund	\$	443,600	\$	445,400
7	Performance measures:				
8	Number of licensees (new and existing)		2,070		2,090
9	Number of complaints received about				
10	licensees		150		150
11	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		120		115
12	Number of investigations of licensees		72		72
13	Average calendar days to renew a license				
14	(from receipt of application to issuance)		1.0		1.0
15	Administration as a per cent of total cost		1.4		1.4
16	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
17	Sec. 9. ARIZONA COMMISSION ON THE ARTS				
18			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
19	FTE positions		12.5		12.5
20	Lump sum appropriation	\$	612,900	\$	597,200
21	Arts endowment fund		2,000,000		2,000,000
22	Community service projects		1,775,000		1,775,000
23	Total appropriation – Arizona commission				
24	on the arts	\$	4,387,900	\$	4,372,200
25	Performance measures:				
26	Audiences reached by programs sponsored				
27	by agency		7,000,000		7,200,000
28	Number of grants awarded		550		560
29	Cumulative private funds raised to match				
30	state arts endowment fund	\$	17,000,000	\$	19,000,000
31	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		7.2		7.2
32	Administration as a per cent of total cost		2.2		2.2
33	Sec. 10. ATTORNEY GENERAL - DEPARTMENT OF L	.AW			
34			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u>2002-03</u>
35	FTE positions		697.5		697.5
36	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$	47,077,600	\$	46,710,300
37	State grand jury		157,700		157,700
38	Victims' rights		3,140,500		3,140,600
39	Alternative fuels		<u>397,400</u>	_	397,400
40	Total appropriation - attorney general -			_	
41	department of law	\$	50,773,200	\$	50,406,000
42	Fund sources:	_			
43	State general fund	\$	27,415,100	\$	27,296,000
44	Collection enforcement revolving				4 40
45	fund		1,998,200		1,998,500

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

1	Antitrust enforcement revolving		
2	fund	374,400	125,500
3	Victims' rights fund	2,636,100	2,636,200
4	Interagency service agreements		
5	fund	16,648,200	16,648,600
6	Consumer fraud revolving fund	1,701,200	1,701,200
7	Performance measures:		
8	Solicitor general – number of days to respond		
9	to a request for a legal opinion	70	70
10	Civil rights – per cent of cases resolved		
11	using voluntary settlement agreements	25.6	30
12	Per cent of death penalty and/or sentences		
13	affirmed by the Arizona supreme court	80	80
14	Per cent of agency staff turnover	16	16
15	Administrative cost as per cent of total cost	7.6	7.6
16	Customer satisfaction rating for client		
17	agencies (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0

The \$157,700 appropriated for state grand jury expenses is for costs incurred pursuant to section 21-428, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes. It is the intent of the legislature that state grand jury expenses be limited to the amount appropriated and that a supplemental appropriation will not be provided.

The attorney general shall notify the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives and the joint legislative budget committee before entering into a settlement of \$100,000 or more that will result in the receipt of monies by the attorney general or any other person. The attorney general shall not allocate or expend these monies until the joint legislative budget committee reviews the allocations or expenditures. Settlements that pursuant to statute must be deposited in the state general fund need not be reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee. This paragraph does not apply to actions under title 13, Arizona Revised Statutes, or other criminal matters.

In addition to \$16,648,200 appropriated for fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$16,648,600 appropriated for fiscal year 2002-2003 from the interagency service agreements fund, an additional \$370,000 and 5 FTE positions in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated from the interagency service agreements fund for new or expanded interagency service The attorney general shall report to the joint legislative budget committee whenever an interagency service agreement is established that will require expenditures from these additional amounts. shall include the name of the agency or entity with which the agreement is made, the dollar amount of the contract by fiscal year and the number of associated FTE positions.

All revenues received by the antitrust enforcement revolving fund in excess of \$374,400 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$125,500 in fiscal year

- 14 -

2002-2003 are appropriated. Expenditures from the fund may not exceed \$750,000 in either fiscal year 2001-2002 or fiscal year 2002-2003. Before the expenditure of any antitrust enforcement revolving fund receipts in excess of \$374,400 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$125,500 in fiscal year 2002-2003, the attorney general shall submit the intended uses of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Sec.	11.	AIITO	THEFT	AUTHORITY

•	3001 111 //010 III211 //01/10/1111		
8		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002 - 03</u>
9	FTE positions	5.0	5.0
10	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 421,000	\$ 421,100
11	Auto theft authority grants	<u>3,464,600</u>	3,475,500
12	Total appropriation – auto theft authority	\$ 3,885,600	\$ 3,896,600
13	Fund sources:		
14	Auto theft authority fund	\$ 3,885,600	\$ 3,896,600
15	Performance measures:		
16	Vehicles stolen statewide	26,000	26,000
17	Per cent reduction in auto thefts	10.3	10.3
18	Felony auto theft arrests by auto theft		
19	task force	350	375
20	Per cent of stolen vehicles recovered	9.6	10.6
21	Chop shops closed	35	40
22	Administration as a per cent of total cost	9.2	9.1
23	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
24	Sec. 12. BANKING DEPARTMENT		
25		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
26	FTE positions	52.0	52.0
27	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 2,849,700	\$ 2,821,200
28	Performance measures:		
29	Per cent of examinations reports mailed		
30	within 25 days of examiner's completion		
31	of exam procedures	90.0	90.0
32	Per cent of license applications approved		
33	within 45 days of receipt	95.0	95.0
34	Open receiverships	1.0	1.0
35	Per cent of examinations receiving		
36	satisfactory rating	85.0	85.0
37	Average days from receipt to resolution		
38	of regular complaints	23	. 23
39	Per cent of complainants indicating they		
40	received "good" or better service when		
41	filing a complaint	75.0	75.0
42	Administration as a per cent of total cost	14.8	14.8
40	The benefit and a per cent of cook cook		

The banking department shall assess and set fees to ensure that monies deposited in the state general fund will equal or exceed its expenditure from the state general fund.

- 15 -

1	Sec. 13. BARBER EXAMINERS BOARD				
2			2001-02		2002-03
3	FTE positions		3.0		3.0
4	Lump sum appropriation	\$	192,100**	\$	186,300
5	Fund sources:		-		·
6	Board of barbers fund	\$	192,100	\$	186,300
7	Performance measures:		•		•
8	Number of licensees (new and existing)		4,770		4,770
9	Number of complaints received about licensees		300		300
10	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		21		21
11	Number of inspections of barbers/barber schoo	ls	2,000		2,000
12	Average calendar days to renew a license		•		•
13	(from receipt of application to issuance)		5.0		5.0
14	Administration as a per cent of total cost		10		10
15	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
16	Sec. 14. BOARD OF BEHAVIORAL HEALTH EXAMINER	lS.			
17			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
18	FTE positions		12.0		13.0
19	Lump sum appropriation	\$	658,700**	\$	689,900
20	Fund sources:	•		-	
21	Board of behavioral health				
22	examiners fund	\$	658,700	\$	689,900
23	Performance measures:		•		•
24	Number of licenses issued (new and existing)		5,724		6,100
25	Number of complaints received about licensees	6	65		65
26	Average days to resolve a complaint		180		180
27	Number of inspections/investigations		48		48
28	Average days to renew a license (from receipt	t			
29	of application to issuance)		30		30
30	Administration as a per cent of total cost		13		14
31	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
32	Sec. 15. BOXING COMMISSION				
33			2001-02		2002-03
34	FTE positions		1.5		1.5
35	Lump sum appropriation	\$	80,400	\$	80,400
36	Performance measures:		-		•
37	Number of new licenses issued		350		350
38	Number of bouts		125		125
39	Number of investigations		6.0		6.0
40	Disciplinary actions		6.0		6.0
41	Per cent of bouts without serious injury		100		100
42	Administration as a per cent of total cost		3.1		3.1
43	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
44	The boxing commission shall report to t	he pr		he s	
45	speaker of the house of representatives, the	-			
• •	-president and the second seco				

1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
2	legislative budget committee on a semiannual basis. The report shall contain				
3	the number of boxing events, gross receipts, state revenues and license fee				
4	collections.				
5	Sec. 16. DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND FIRE SAF	ETY			
6			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
7	FTE positions		74.5		74.5
8	Lump sum appropriation	\$	3,608,500	\$	3,611,500
9	Performance measures:				
10	Per cent of manufactured homes complaints				
11	concerning quality and safety closed				
12	vs. complaints filed		89.6		92.5
13	Manufactured homes inspected in the plant		11,235		11,880
14	Per cent of fire code enforcement inspections				
15	completed vs. required annual inspections		85		85
16	Area fire training sessions held		135		135
17	Cost per student at state fire school	\$	98	\$	100
18	Administration as a per cent of total cost		14.2		14.5
19	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
20	Sec. 17. STATE BOARD FOR CHARTER SCHOOLS				
21			2001-02		2002-03
22	FTE positions		8.0		8.0
23	Lump sum appropriation	\$	683,800	\$	714,800
24	Performance measures:		•		•
25	Applications received		45		40
26	Applications approved		28		25
27	On-site monitoring visits		175		200
28	Complaints regarding schools that it sponsors	;	60		65
29	Administration as a per cent of total cost		2.1		2.0
30	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
31	The state board for charter schools sha	11		rvev	
32	of charter school students in order to establ			•	•
33	every charter school in this state. The boar		•	•	•
34	of employees in each charter school in the st				•
35	each employee, the employee's quality rating f				·
36	employee works. Each charter school in this				
37	with the information needed in order to condu		-		. the bould
38	Sec. 18. BOARD OF CHIROPRACTIC EXAMINERS		the surveyor	1	
39	Jee. 10. Domb of on the morte caminens		2001-02		2002-03
40	FTE positions		5.0		5.0
41	Lump sum appropriation	\$		* ¢	339,400
42	Fund sources:	, 🔻	040,400°	•	337,100
43	Board of chiropractic examiners				
43 44	fund	\$	345,400	\$	339,400
44	i unu	4	J45,400	*	333,400

1	Performance measures:				
2	Number of licensees (new and existing)		2,690		2,797
3	Number of complaints received about licensee	s	156		156
4	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		75		73
5	Number of investigations of licensees		152		156
6	Average calendar days to renew a license				
7	(from receipt of application to issuance)		15		15
8	Administration as a per cent of total cost		7.9		8.0
9	Per cent of survey responses which indicate				
10	that staff was knowledgeable and courteou	S			
11	in public communications		96		97
12	≸ec. 19. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE				
13			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
14	FTE positions		91.5		91.5
15	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$	4,816,400	\$	4,816,900
16	Minority and women owned business		111,000		111,100
17	Small business advocate		110,000		110,000
18	Economic development matching fund	S	104,000		104,000
19	CEDC commission		248,300		248,300
20	Advertising and promotion		659,200		659,200
21	International trade offices		976,000		976,000
22	REDI matching grants		45,000		45,000
23	Motion picture development		640,200		640,400
24	National law center/free trade		250,000		250,000
25	Oil overcharge administration		139,200		139,300
26	Main street		130,000		130,000
27	Senior-living office		400,800	~	400,900
28	Special needs housing		77,800		77,800
29~			350,00 0-		350,000
30	Apprenticeship services		152,900	_	153,000
31	Total appropriation - department of commerce	2 \$	9,210,800	\$	9,211,900
32	Fund sources:		5 040 400		5 040 500
33	State general fund	\$	5,348,400	\$	5,349,500
34	Bond fund		115,100		115,100
35	CEDC fund		3,016,300		3,016,100
36	Housing trust fund		343,500		343,600
37	State lottery fund		248,300		248,300
38	Oil overcharge fund		139,200		139,300
39	Performance measures:		15 500		15 500
40	Number of jobs created		15,500		15,500
41	Number of workers trained		12,000		13,000
42	Number of new company relocations or				C A
43	expansions	£10	00 000 000	£10 f	60
44	•	¥13 ,	000,000,000	\$13, (
45	Average wage rate for new jobs created		\$17.80		\$17.80

1	Administration as a per cent of total cost		9.2		9.2
2	Customer satisfaction rating for economic				
3	development program (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
4	Sec. 20. STATE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR COMMUN	AITA C	OLLEGES		
5			<u> 2001-02</u>		<u> 2002 - 03</u>
6	<u>State board</u>				
7	FTE positions		13.0		13.0
8	Lump sum appropriation	\$	944,500	\$	953,800
9	Fund sources:				
10	State general fund	\$	777,700	\$	774,700
11	Community college certification fu		166,800		179,100
12	\$165,500 of the appropriation for fisc				
13	of the appropriation for fiscal year 2002-20	003 fr	om the comm	nunit	y college
14	certification fund are intended for the specif	ic pur	pose of def	rayin	ig teacher
15	certification costs and include \$155,500 ar	id \$15	3,800, resp	ecti	vely, for
16	total direct certification costs of staf	f sal	aries, emp	loyee	related
17	expenditures and all other direct operating				
18	respectively, for additional office lease ex	penses	, and \$10,0	00 fo	r related
19	indirect costs for administrative expenses i	ncurre	d by the bo	ard.	
20	<u>Equalization aid</u>				
21	Cochise	\$	2,113,500	\$	2,479,900
22	Graham	•	7,655,900		8,128,200
23	Navajo		1,270,300		1,597,800
24	Pinal		96,000		- 0 -
25	Yuma/La Paz		<u> </u>		269,100
26	Total - equalization aid	\$ 1	1,135,700	\$ 1	2,475,000
27	Operating state aid				
28	Cochise		6,321,400	\$	6,454,200
29	Coconino		3,259,700		3,313,500
30	Graham		5,821,700		5,995,700
31	Maricopa	4	9,973,900	5	52,553,400
32	Mohave		4,142,000		4,227,900
33	Navajo		4,434,500		4,434,500
34	Pima	2	0,439,600	2	21,117,400
35	Pinal		6,276,700		6,322,500
36	Yavapai		5,177,400		5,275,900
37	Yuma/La Paz		5,746,600		5,886,300
38	Total – operating state aid	\$11	1,593,500	\$13	15,581,300
39	<u>Capital outlay state aid</u>				
40	Cochise	\$	743,400	\$	767,800
41	Coconino		336,800		346,700
42	Graham		638,800		670,700
43	Maricopa		8,581,300		8,941,800
44	Mohave		499,400		515,100

1	Navajo		439,	300	430	5,400
2	Pima		850,			5,600
3	Pinal	·	682.	900	69	1,300
4	Yavapai		605,	900	62	3,900
5	Yuma/La Paz		741.	900	76	7,600
6	Total – capital outlay state aid	\$ 16,	120,	600	\$ 16,70	6,900
7	Arizona transfer articulation support					
8	•	\$	225,	700	\$ 22	5,700
9						
10	Total appropriation – state board of					
11	directors for community colleges	\$140	,020,	000	\$145,94	2,700
12	Fund sources:					
13	State general fund	\$139	,853,	200	\$145,76	3,600
14	Community college certification fund		166,	800	17	9,100
15	Performance measures:					
16	Per cent of upper-division students at					
17	universities who transfer from an Arizona					
18	community college with 12 or more credits			40		40
19	Per cent of students who transfer to Arizona					
20	public universities without loss of credits			85		95
21	Number of applied baccalaureate programs					
22	collaboratively developed with universities			10		12
23	Per cent of community college campuses that					
24	offer 2-way interactive TV courses			85		100
25	Per cent of students completing vocational					
26	education programs who enter jobs related					
27	to training			88		90
28	Administration as a per cent of total cost			0.7		0.7
29	Customer satisfaction rating for districts					
30	receiving board services (Scale 1–8)			6.0		6.0
31	It is the intent of the legislature tha	t the	е соп	nmunity	college	s and

It is the intent of the legislature that the community colleges and universities cooperate in operating a statewide articulation and transfer system, including the process for transfer of lower division general education credits, general elective credits and curriculum requirements for majors, to ensure that community college students may transfer to Arizona public universities without a loss of credits toward a baccalaureate degree. It is also the intent of the legislature that the higher education study committee continue the collaborative process that assures that the postsecondary education needs of students statewide are met without unnecessary duplication of programs. The committee shall focus its efforts on potential students who reside in rural areas or who cannot meet the regular class schedule due to their employment and family matters. The Arizona board of regents and the state board of directors for community colleges shall submit an annual report of their progress on both articulation

2

3

4

5

6

and meeting statewide postsecondary education needs to the joint legislative budget committee by December 15, 2001 and December 15, 2002.

All community college districts shall provide articulation information to students for classes that transfer for credit to an Arizona public university, including references to advisement, counseling and appropriate web sites, in all catalogues, course schedules and internet course guides. Sec. 21. REGISTRAR OF CONTRACTORS

7	Sec. 21. REGISTRAR OF CONTRACTORS				
8			2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
9	FTE positions		138.8		138.8
10	Lump sum appropriation	\$	8,547,500	\$	7,745,900
11	Office of administrative hearings				
12	costs		818,100		817,900
13	Incentive pay		113,500		113,500
14	Total appropriation – registrar of				
15	contractors	\$	9,479,100**	\$	8,677,300
16	Fund sources:				
17	Registrar of contractors' fund	\$	9,479,100	\$	8,677,300
18	Performance measures:				
19	Number of licensees (new and existing)		47,742		49,174
20	Number of complaints received (licensed				·
21	contractors)		9,139		9,413
22	Average calendar days from receipt of		•		
23	complaint to jobsite inspection		18		14
24	Number of inspections		11,230		11,567
25	Per cent of agency staff turnover		12.0		12.0
26	Administration as a per cent of total cost		30		30
27	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
28	Sec. 22. CORPORATION COMMISSION				
29			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
30	FTE positions		310.5		318.5
31	Lump sum appropriation	\$	21,749,400	,	\$21,848,700
32	Utility audits, studies,				
33	investigations and rate hearings	_	380,000*	_	380,000*
34	Total appropriation – corporation commission	\$	22,129,400	\$	22,228,700
35	Fund sources:				
36	State general fund	\$	5,578,500	\$	5,841,500
37	Arizona arts trust fund		35,800		35,800
38	Utility regulation revolving fund		10,787,900		10,540,300
39	Public access fund		1,770,600		1,770,600
40	Securities regulatory and				
41	enforcement fund		3,157,900		3,314,800
42	Investment management regulatory an	ıd			
43	enforcement fund		725,700		725,700
44	Pipeline safety revolving fund		73,000		- 0 -

1	Performance measures:		
2	Number of corporations and limited liability		
3	companies in Arizona	325,848	369,268
4	Average turnaround time in weeks for processing		•
5	of regular corporate filings	6.5	6.5
6	Average turnaround time in days for processing		
7	of expedited corporate filings	2.0	2.0
8	Average time in days to complete a utility		
9	rate case	195	200
10	Number of complaints received by the securities		
11	division	420	450
12	Number of railroad grade crossing accidents	35	35
13	Per cent of agency staff turnover	13	13
14	Administration as a per cent of total cost	8.1	8.2
15	Customer satisfaction rating for corporations		
16	program (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0
17	The Arizona corporation commission and t	the Arizona	department of

The Arizona corporation commission and the Arizona department of transportation shall each conduct a study and make a recommendation to the joint legislative budget committee by November 1, 2001 concerning which agency is most appropriate to conduct railroad safety activities.

Employees of the Arizona corporation commission who receive salary adjustments from monies appropriated specifically to the Arizona corporation commission in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for salary adjustments shall not be eligible for any statewide general salary adjustments authorized in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003. Sec. 23. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

27		2001-02	<u> 2002 - 03</u>
28	<u>Administration</u>		
29	FTE positions	304.0	304.0
30	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 32,427,700	\$ 32,904,300
31	Contingency bed openings	<u>155,700</u>	<u>155,700</u>
32	Total – administration	\$ 32,583,400	\$ 33,060,000
33	Fund sources:		•
34	. State general fund	\$ 32,427,700	\$ 32,904,300
35	Corrections fund	155,700	155,700
36	Community corrections		
37	FTE positions	157.0	157.0
38	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 8,870,200	\$ 8,879,700
39	Prison operations and services		
40	<u>Security</u>		
41	FTE positions	7,374.4	7,374.4
42	Lump sum appropriation	\$257,843,600	\$262,856,600
43	Contingency bed openings	4,519,000	4,519,000
44	Total - security	\$262,362,600	\$267,375,600

1	Fund sources:		
2	State general fund	\$257,573,600	\$262,586,600
3	Corrections fund	4,519,000	4,519,000
4	State charitable, penal and	•	
5	reformatory institutions		
6	land fund	270,000	270,000
7	Inmate education, treatment and		
8	work programs		
9	FTE positions	565.5	565.5
10	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 42,211,800	\$ 42,496,800
11	Fund sources:		
12	State general fund	\$ 39,885,400	\$ 40,141,900
13	Alcohol abuse treatment fund	449,300	449,300
14	State education fund for		
15	correctional education	1,877,100	1,905,600
16	<u>Private prisons</u>		
17	FTE positions	10.0	18.0
18	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 20,079,600	\$ 20,287,900
19	Contingency bed openings	5,719,300	14,904,300
20	Total – private prisons	\$ 25,798,900	\$ 35,192,200
21	Fund sources:		
22	State general fund	\$ 20,079,600	\$ 20,287,900
23	Corrections fund	5,719,300	14,904,300
24	Inspections and investigations		
25	FTE positions	132.0	132.0
26	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 5,869,600	\$ 5,914,300
27	Contingency bed openings	88,700	88,700
28	Total - inspections and investiga	ations\$ 5,958,300	\$ 6,003,000
29	Fund sources:		
30	State general fund	\$ 5,869,600	\$ 5,914,300
31	Corrections fund	88,700	88,700
32	<u>Health care</u>		
33	FTE positions	847.0	847.0
34	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 73,315,100	\$ 74,238,600
35	Contingency bed openings	626,400	626,400
36	Total - health care	\$ 73,941,500	\$ 74,865,000
37	Fund sources:		
38	State general fund	\$ 73,315,100	\$ 74,238,600
39	Corrections fund	626,400	626,400
40	Prison management and support	•	
41	FTE positions	1,237.5	1,237.5
42	Lump sum appropriation	\$132,387,800	\$133,195,600
43	Contingency bed openings	30,300	30,300
44	Total - prison management		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
45	and support	\$132,418,100	\$133,225,900
	- 23 -		

1	Fund sources:		
2	State general fund	\$129,929,300	\$130,737,100
3	Corrections fund	1,113,800	1,113,800
4	Penitentiary land fund	1,375,000	1,375,000
5	Total - prison operations and services	\$542,691,200	\$559,158,500
6	Fund sources:	•	• • • •
7	State general fund	\$526,652,600	\$533,906,400
8	Corrections fund	12,067,200	21,252,200
9	Penitentiary land fund	1,375,000	1,375,000
10	State charitable, penal and		• •
11	reformatory institutions		
12	land fund	270,000	270,000
13	State education fund for		,
14	correctional education	1,877,100	1,905,600
15	Alcohol abuse treatment fund	449,300	449,300
16	Total appropriation - department of		
17	corrections	\$584,144,800	\$601,098,200
18	Fund sources:		,
19	State general fund	\$567,950,500	\$575,690,400
20	Corrections fund	12,222,900	21,407,900
21	Penitentiary land fund	1,375,000	1,375,000
22	State charitable, penal and	• • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
23	reformatory institutions		
24	land fund	270,000	270,000
25	State education fund for	·	•
26	correctional education	1,877,100	1,905,600
27	Alcohol abuse treatment fund	449,300	449,300
28	Performance measures:	•	•
29	Average yearly cost per inmate	\$20,814	\$20,801
30	Average daily population - secure facilities	27,642	28,542
31	Fiscal year-end bed surplus/(shortage)	(1,941)	(1,091)
32	Escapes from secure facilities	0	0
33	Number of inmates receiving GED	2,550	2,600
34	Number of inmate random positive urinalysis	•	•
35	results	1,025	907
36	Per cent of agency staff turnover	11.6	11.6
37	Administration as a per cent of total cost	6.6	6.6
38	Customer satisfaction rating for employee		
39	satisfaction (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
40	Twenty-five per cent of land earnings		
41	charitable, penal and reformatory institutions	land fund shal	l be distributed

to the state department of corrections in compliance with section 25 of the enabling act and the constitution to be used for the support of state penal institutions.

44

42 43

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

One hundred per cent of land earnings and interest from the penitentiary land fund shall be distributed to the department of corrections in compliance with section 25 of the enabling act and the constitution to be used for the support of state penal institutions.

Before the expenditure of any state education fund for correctional education receipts in excess of \$1,905,600, the department of corrections shall report the intended use of the monies to the director of the joint legislative budget committee.

The department of corrections' fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 appropriation includes contingency monies to operate state-operated beds and to contract for 400 privately-operated DUI beds for twelve months in both fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003. The authorized contingency amounts shall be reduced by one-twelfth for each successive month that the trigger appropriation is not used.

The department of corrections' fiscal year 2002-2003 appropriation includes contingency monies to contract for 1,000 privately-operated beds for six months in fiscal year 2002-2003. The authorized contingency amounts shall be reduced by one-sixth for each successive month that the trigger appropriation is not used.

The amounts appropriated in the contingency bed openings special line item shall not be expended unless both the department's bed deficit exceeds the funded bed capacity by 2,500 beds and the department has experienced inmate population growth averaging 115 inmates for 6 consecutive months. In addition, the department shall submit a plan detailing the use of the contingency bed openings special line item monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee before expenditure. It is the intent of the legislature that use of the contingency monies be prioritized so that the most cost-efficient beds are opened first.

The number of foreign nationals of a single nationality incarcerated in the 1,000 bed private prison shall not exceed 50 per cent of the average daily population at the private prison. The department shall not exceed the 50 per cent limit without prior approval of the full legislature.

Sec.	24	COSMETO	I NGY	RUYBU
JEL.	<i>L</i> 7.	COSITETO		mann

34		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
35	FTE positions	24.5	24.5
36	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 1,578,600**	\$ 1,402,800
37	Fund sources:		
38	Board of cosmetology fund	\$ 1,578,600	\$ 1,402,800
39	Performance measures:		
40	Number of licensees (new and existing)	46,000	46,000
41	Number of complaints received about licensees	1,000	1,000
42	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint	120	120
43	Number of inspections of licensees	5,600	12,000
44	Average calendar days to renew a license		
45	(from receipt of application to issuance)	12	12

- 25 -

1	Administration as a per cent of total cost		4.3		4.9
2	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
3	Sec. 25. ARIZONA CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMISSION				
4			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		2002-03
5	FTE positions		6.0		6.0
6	Lump sum appropriation	\$	8,875,500	\$	8,850,500
7	Fund sources:				
8	State general fund	\$	2,905,200	\$	2,905,200
9	Criminal justice enhancement fund		455,500		430,500
10	Victim compensation and assistance				
11	fund		2,900,000		2,900,000
12	State aid to county attorneys fund		1,341,100		1,341,100
13	State aid to indigent defense fund		1,273,700		1,273,700
14	Performance measures:				
15	Crime victim program site visits completed		30		30
16	Per cent of crime victim program audits				
17	reflecting no deficiencies		100		100
18	Drug and violent crime arrests made by				
19	grant-funded task forces		5,611		5,891
20	Studies/reports completed and published		15		20
21	Number of juvenile jails assisted for				
22	improvement		4.0		4.0
23	Administration as a per cent of total cost		6.8		6.8
24	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
25	All victim compensation and victim assis		•		•
26	Arizona criminal justice commission in excess				
27	2001-2002 and \$2,900,000 in fiscal year 2002		• •	•	
28	crime victims program. Before the expenditure		-	•	
29	victim assistance receipts in excess of \$2,900				
30	and \$2,900,000 in fiscal year 2002-2003, t				
31	commission shall submit the intended use of	the	e monies for	, rev	iew by the
32	joint legislative budget committee.				
33	Sec. 26. ARIZONA STATE SCHOOLS FOR THE DEAF	AND			
34			<u> 2001-02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
35	Phoenix day school for the deaf				
36	FTE positions		175.2		184.7
37	Lump sum appropriation	\$	7,643,200	\$	8,191,900
38	Fund sources:				
39	State general fund	\$	2,330,000	\$	2,296,000
40	Arizona schools for the deaf				
41	and the blind fund	\$	5,313,200	\$	5,895,900
42	Tucson campus				
43	FTE positions		303.9		309.4
44	Lump sum appropriation	\$	14,692,400	\$	15,402,100

1	Fund sources:		
2	State general fund	\$ 9,263,300	\$ 9,496,600
3	Arizona schools for the deaf		
4	and the blind fund	\$ 5,429,100	\$ 5,905,500
5	Administration/statewide programs		
6	FTE positions	129.3	129.3
7	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 7,334,200	\$ 7,503,100
8	Fund sources:		
9	State general fund	\$ 7,132,600	\$ 7,291,900
10	Arizona schools for the deaf		
11	and the blind fund	\$ 201,600	\$ 211,200
12	Total appropriation – Arizona state schools		
13	for the deaf and the blind	\$ 29,669,800	\$ 31,097,100
14	Fund sources:	•	
15	State general fund	\$ 18,725,900	\$ 19,084,500
16	Arizona schools for the deaf		
17	and the blind fund	\$ 10,943,900	\$ 12,012,600
18	Performance measures:		
19	Parents rating overall quality of services		
20	as "good" or "excellent" based on annual		
21	survey	90	90
22	Per cent of certified positions filled	95	95
23	Per cent of agency staff turnover	11	8
24	Per cent of MDSSI students at or above		
25	"approaches standards" level on the		
26	AIMS-A test	100	100
27	Per cent of students achieving a year's growth		
28	in a year's time in reading and mathematics	75	75
29	Administration as a per cent of total cost	4.2	4.0

Before the expenditure of any Arizona schools for the deaf and the blind fund nonendowment monies in excess of \$10,600,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$11,643,400 in fiscal year 2002-2003, the Arizona state schools for the deaf and the blind shall report the intended use of the funds to the speaker of the house of representatives, the president of the senate, the chairmen of the house and senate appropriations committees, the directors of the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting.

All endowment earnings above \$343,900 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$369,200 in fiscal year 2002-2003 that are received by the Arizona state schools for the deaf and the blind and deposited into the Arizona schools for the deaf and the blind fund are appropriated for operating expenditures.

Before the expenditure of any recommended monies for classification salary adjustments in fiscal year 2001-2002 or fiscal year 2002-2003, the Arizona state schools for the deaf and the blind shall report the intended use of the funds to the joint legislative budget committee.

- 27 -

1 2	Sec. 27. COMMISSION FOR THE DEAF AND THE HARD	0F			2002 02
3	FTE positions		2001-02 11.0		<u>2002-03</u> 11.0
3 4	Lump sum appropriation	\$	5,700,300	\$	
5	Fund sources:	•	5,700,300	*	5,649,900
6	Telecommunication fund for				
7	the deaf	\$	5,700,300	\$	5,849,900
8	Performance measures:	•	3,700,300	•	3,043,300
9	Number of qualified interpreters		125		125
10	Newsletters on new services and technology		123		123
11	for the deaf and the hard of hearing		3,000		3,000
12	Average number of call minutes per month		0,000		0,000
13	to the telecommunications relay services				
14	program		335,910		337,232
15	Cost per minute of the telecommunications		,		
16	relay services program		\$1.37		\$1.37
17	Administration as a per cent of total cost		4.4		4.4
18	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
19	Before the execution of any contract	fo	r telecommu	nica	tion relay
20	services, the commission for the deaf and the				_
21	the proposed contract to the joint legislative	bu	dget committ	ee 1	for review.
22	Sec. 28. DENTAL EXAMINERS BOARD				
23					
LJ			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
24	FTE positions		<u>2001-02</u> 9.0		2002-03 0.0
	FTE positions Lump sum appropriation	\$		* \$	
24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$	9.0	* \$	0.0
24 25	Lump sum appropriation	\$ \$	9.0	* \$	0.0
24 25 26	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources:		9.0 753,400*		0.0
24 25 26 27	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Board of dental examiners fund		9.0 753,400*		0.0
24 25 26 27 28	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Board of dental examiners fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees		9.0 753,400** 753,400		0.0 - 0 - - 0 -
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Board of dental examiners fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		9.0 753,400** 753,400 5,648 575 150		0.0 - 0 - - 0 - 5,787
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Board of dental examiners fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees		9.0 753,400** 753,400 5,648 575		0.0 - 0 - - 0 - 5,787 600
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Board of dental examiners fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations of licensees Average calendar days to renew a license		9.0 753,400** 753,400 5,648 575 150		0.0 - 0 - - 0 - 5,787 600 150
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Board of dental examiners fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations of licensees Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance)		9.0 753,400** 753,400 5,648 575 150 400		0.0 - 0 - - 0 - 5,787 600 150 400
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Lump sum appropriation fund sources: Board of dental examiners fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations of licensees Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance) Administration as a per cent of total cost		9.0 753,400** 753,400 5,648 575 150 400		0.0 - 0 - - 0 - 5,787 600 150 400 10 1.9
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Board of dental examiners fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations of licensees Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance) Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	\$	9.0 753,400** 753,400 5,648 575 150 400	\$	0.0 - 0 - - 0 - 5,787 600 150 400 10 1.9 6.0
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Lump sum appropriation fund sources: Board of dental examiners fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations of licensees Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance) Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) By September 1, 2001, the board shall	\$ sub	9.0 753,400** 753,400 5,648 575 150 400 10 1.9 6.0	\$	0.0 - 0 - - 0 - 5,787 600 150 400 10 1.9 6.0 o the joint
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Lump sum appropriation fund sources: Board of dental examiners fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations of licensees Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance) Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) By September 1, 2001, the board shall legislative budget committee on how the board	\$ sub	9.0 753,400** 753,400 5,648 575 150 400 10 1.9 6.0 mit a repor implemented	\$	0.0 - 0 - - 0 - 5,787 600 150 400 10 1.9 6.0 o the joint provisions
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Board of dental examiners fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations of licensees Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance) Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) By September 1, 2001, the board shall legislative budget committee on how the board of Laws 2000, chapter 87, section 1, relations	\$ sub has	9.0 753,400** 753,400 5,648 575 150 400 10 1.9 6.0 mit a repor implemented	\$ t to the defi	0.0 - 0 - - 0 - 5,787 600 150 400 10 1.9 6.0 o the joint provisions nitions of
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Lump sum appropriation fund sources: Board of dental examiners fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations of licensees Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance) Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) By September 1, 2001, the board shall legislative budget committee on how the board of Laws 2000, chapter 87, section 1, relations	sub has itii	9.0 753,400** 753,400 5,648 575 150 400 10 1.9 6.0 mit a repor implemented include wri	t to the defi	0.0 - 0 - - 0 - 5,787 600 150 400 10 1.9 6.0 o the joint provisions nitions of n standards
24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Lump sum appropriation Fund sources: Board of dental examiners fund Performance measures: Number of licensees (new and existing) Number of complaints received about licensees Average calendar days to resolve a complaint Number of investigations of licensees Average calendar days to renew a license (from receipt of application to issuance) Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) By September 1, 2001, the board shall legislative budget committee on how the board of Laws 2000, chapter 87, section 1, relations	sub has itii so or	9.0 753,400** 753,400 5,648 575 150 400 10 1.9 6.0 mit a repor implemented ig to the include wri	t to the defi	0.0 - 0 - - 0 - 5,787 600 150 400 10 1.9 6.0 o the joint provisions nitions of n standards

1	Sec. 29. DRUG AND GANG PREVENTION RESOURCE C	ENTER	
2		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
3	FTE positions	45.8	45.8
4	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 5,030,900	\$ 5,020,900
5	Fund sources:		
6	Drug and gang prevention		
7	resource center fund	\$ 261,900	\$ 251,900
8	Intergovernmental agreements and		
9	grants	4,769,000	4,769,000
10	Performance measures:		
11	Number of effective research-based prevention		
12	programs identified by the center	38	39
13	Prevention materials disseminated (per item)	517,000	525,000
14	Agency and public service requests completed	11,000	11,000
15	Customer satisfaction rating of agencies		
16	served by the center (Scale 1–8)	7.0	7.0
17	Administration as a per cent of total cost	10.7	10.7
18	Grant and intergovernmental agreement re	venues in excess	of \$4,769,000
19	in fiscal year 2001–2002 and fiscal year 20	002-2003 are ap	propriated for
20	expenditure. Before the expenditure of the	ese monies, the	center shall
21	provide an expenditure plan to the joint leg	islative budget	committee for
22	review.		
23	Sec. 30. DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC SECURITY		
24		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
25	<u>Administration</u>		
26	FTE positions	316.9	316.9
27	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 38,777,100	\$ 38,814,200
28	Finger imaging	857,200	857,300
29	Lease purchase equipment	2,500,200	2,392,100
30	Public assistance collections	382,500	382,800
31	Attorney general legal services	<u>568,700</u>	569,000
32	Total – administration	\$ 43,085,700	\$ 43,015,400
33	Fund sources:		
34	State general fund	\$ 34,241,000	\$ 34,191,600
35	Public assistance collections fund	295,400	295,700
36	Federal temporary assistance for		
37	needy families block grant	6,988,600	6,968,100
38	Federal child care and development		
39	fund block grant	1,040,200	1,039,400
40	Special administration fund	520,500	520,600
41	Performance measures:		
42	Customer satisfaction ratings based on		
43	annual survey (Scale 1-5)		
AA	The state of the s		
44	Office of personnel management	3.0	3.0
44	-	3.0 3.0	3.0 3.0

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

1	Office of appellate services administration	4.0	4.0
2	Office of technology services	3.0	3.0
3	Number of districts where strategic planning		
4	model was implemented for early intervention		
5	program	3.0	6.0
6	Per cent information technology service help		
7	calls requests resolved in 1 day	90	95
8	Cost per dollar to recover overpayments	.10	.10
9	Per cent of agency staff turnover	15.6	15.6
10	Administration as a per cent of total cost	5.1	5.1

In accordance with section 35–142.01, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department of economic security shall remit to the department of administration any monies received as reimbursement from the federal government or any other source for the operation of the department of economic security west building and any other building lease-purchased by the State of Arizona in which the department of economic security occupies space: The department of administration shall deposit these monies in the state general fund.

In accordance with section 38-654, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department of economic security shall transfer to the department of administration for deposit in the special employee health insurance trust fund any unexpended state general fund monies at the end of each fiscal year appropriated for employer health insurance contributions.

LU	appropriated for emprojer mearth insurance ex	oner ibacions.		
24	<u>Developmental disabilities</u>			
25	FTE positions	373.0		373.0
26	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 4,814,000	\$ 4	,787,800
27	Case management	2,996,300	2	,997,800
28	Home and community based services	28,506,200	28	,507,100
29	Institutional services	294,900		294,900
30	Arizona training program at			
31	Coolidge	5,462,700	5	,465,300
32	State-funded long term care			
33	services	17,950,600	18	,821,800
34	Total – developmental disabilities	\$ 60,024,700	\$ 60	,874,700
35	Fund sources:			
36	State general fund	\$ 45,533,100	\$ 45	,511,900
37	Long term care system fund	14,491,600	15	,362,800
38	Performance measures:			
39	Per cent of consumer satisfaction with			
40	case management services	90		95
41	Per cent of consumers (people who live			
42	at home) who are satisfied with services			
43	and support (biennial survey)	75		NA
44	Average number of clients served monthly,			
45	including state-only and long term care	20,049		21,269

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

Cost per member year - Arizona training program - Coolidge 93,700 93,700 Average number of Arizona training program -Coolidge clients 175 175

It is the intent of the legislature that any available surplus monies for developmental disability programs be applied toward the waiting list, unless there are insufficient monies to annualize these costs in the subsequent year. The children's waiting list shall receive first priority. The amount appropriated for developmental disabilities shall be used to provide for services for non-title XIX eligible clients. The amount shall not be used for other purposes, unless a transfer of monies is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

It is the intent of the legislature that monies appropriated for services relating to adult day services in the division of developmental disabilities budget be transferred to the division of employment and rehabilitation services. rehabilitation services administration accommodate individuals who are determined by the division of developmental disabilities to need vocational independence in a supported work environment. These monies may be transferred back to the division of developmental disabilities if a supported work environment is no longer the most appropriate day placement for a client.

The department of economic security shall report all new placements into a state-owned ICF-MR or the Arizona training program at Coolidge campus in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house of representatives appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee, and the reason why this placement, rather than a placement into a privately run facility for the developmentally disabled, was deemed as the most appropriate placement. The department should also report if no new placements were made. This report shall be made available by July 15, 2002 and July 15, 2003

31	shall be made available by July 15, 2002 and	Ju	ly 15, 2003.		•
32	Long term care system fund				
33	FTE positions		1,278.4		1,365.7
34	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$	18,228,700	\$	19,440,100
35	Case management		18,891,200		21,140,300
36	Home and community based services		287,700,700		336,259,400
37	Institutional services		11,335,800		11,837,200
38	Medical services		54,735,700		64,736,500
39	Arizona training program at				
40	Coolidge		10,934,000		10,939,400
41	Less title XIX and other funds	(2	261,790,300)	(304,062,700)
42	Total – long term care system fund	\$	140,035,800	\$	160,290,200
43	Performance measures:				
44	Per cent of consumer satisfaction with		•		
45	case management services		90		95

issued accurately

Per cent of consumers (people who live at		
home) who are satisfied with services		
and support (biennial survey)	75	NA
Average number of clients served monthly,		
including state-only and long term care	20,049	21,269
Cost per member year at Arizona training		
program – Coolidge	\$ 93,700	\$ 93,700
Average number of Arizona training program -		
Coolidge clients	175	175

All monies in the long term care system fund unexpended and unencumbered at the end of the fiscal year revert to the state general fund, subject to approval by the Arizona health care cost containment system.

Monies for the long term care program are appropriated for the capitation rates effective on October 1, 2000. No monies may be expended for a change in these capitation rates unless an expenditure plan is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

Before the expenditure of any monies for improvements to the division of developmental disabilities automation system, the department of economic security shall submit a report to the joint legislative budget committee for its review. The report shall discuss how the automation improvements will ensure coordination between the division of developmental disabilities and other eligibility-based programs in the department of economic security.

22	other eligibility-based programs in the depar	tment of economi	c security.
23	Benefits and medical eligibility		
24	FTE positions	747.3	747.3
25	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 34,061,300	\$ 34,092,200
26	Temporary assistance for needy		
27	families cash benefits	96,185,900	99,552,800
28	FLSA supplement	1,267,200	1,305,200
29	Tribal welfare reform	1,000,000	1,000,000
30	General assistance	4,260,800	4,260,800
31	Institutional support payments	266,400	266,400
32	Tuberculosis control	32,200	32,200
33	Outreach and naturalization	250,000	250,000
34	Food stamp outreach and education	200,000	200,000
35	Tribal pass-through funding	4,212,800	4,212,800
36	Total – benefits and medical		
37	eligibility	\$141,736,600	\$145,172,400
38	Fund sources:		
39	State general fund	\$ 84,678,900	\$ 84,683,300
40	Federal temporary assistance for		
41	needy families block grant	57,057,700	60,489,100
42	Performance measures:		
43	Per cent of cash benefits issued timely	98.6	98.6
44	Per cent of total cash benefits payments		
	the contract of the contract o		

95.0

95.0

	Average cash benefits caseload	93,527	96,333
	Per cent of total food stamps payments		
,	issued accurately	95.0	95.0
	Average monthly number of food stamp		
•	recipients	297,400	341,900
•	Per cent of clients satisfied with family		
,	assistance administration	87.7	88.2

The operating lump sum appropriation may be expended on Arizona health care cost containment system eligibility determinations based on the results of the Arizona random moment sampling survey.

Notwithstanding section 35-173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, any transfer to or from the \$96,185,900 appropriated for temporary assistance for needy families cash benefits in fiscal year 2001-2002 and the \$99,552,800 appropriated for temporary assistance for needy families cash benefits in fiscal year 2002-2003 requires approval of the joint legislative budget committee.

The \$1,000,000 appropriated for tribal welfare reform in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 shall be distributed to Native American tribes in this state to enhance welfare reform efforts on behalf of tribal citizens. Each \$1,000,000 appropriation shall be distributed by the department of economic security on a proportional basis based on the population residing on the reservation of each tribe in this state.

Of the amounts appropriated for temporary assistance for needy families cash benefits, \$4,200,000 in both fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 reflects appropriation authority only. The department shall notify the joint legislative budget committee and the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting staff before the use of any of the \$4,200,000 appropriation authority.

The department of economic security shall provide data on the Arizona works program to the joint legislative budget committee on a bimonthly basis to accompany the report required by section 46-344, Arizona Revised Statutes. The department of economic security shall also provide data related to the performance contract with the Arizona works vendor to the vendor and the joint legislative budget committee no later than seventy days after the end of each fiscal quarter.

The department of economic security shall provide the Arizona works agency procurement board a level of support equivalent to that received in fiscal year 2000-2001.

Child support enforcement

40	FTE positions	732.2	732.2
41	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 30,476,400	\$ 30,464,600
42	Genetic testing	723,600	723,600
43	Central payment processing	3,088,600	3,275,700
44	County participation	10,066,300	10,598,900

- 33 -

1	Attorney general legal services	5,108,900	5,114,800
2	Less federal funds	(33,453,600)	(33,949,400)
3	Total – child support enforcement	\$ 16,010,200	\$ 16,228,200
4	Fund sources:		
5	State general fund	\$ 4,824,300	\$ 4,824,600
6	Child support enforcement		
7	administration fund	11,185,900	11,403,600
8	Performance measures:		
9	Number of IV-D cases	228,300	225,300
10	Total IV-D collections	\$258,000,000	\$284,000,000
11	Per cent of IV-D caseload with a IV-D		
12	collection	44.4	49.5
13	Ratio of current IV-D support collected		
14	and distributed to current IV-D support		
15	due	47.2	49.2
16	Per cent of IV-D court ordered cases with a		
17	collection during the year	69.4	72.8
18	Per cent of IV-D children in the paternity		
19	function for whom paternity was establish	ned	
20	during the year	20.2	22.8
21	Per cent of cases in the establishment		
22	function for which orders were established	ed	
23	during the year	29.5	31.0
24	All state share of retained earnings	s and federal in	centives above
25	\$10,377,700 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$10,	547,100 in fiscal	year 2002-2003
26	received by the division of child support e	nforcement are ap	propriated for
27	operating expenditures. New full-time equiva	•	
28	with the increased funding. The division of	child support ent	forcement shall
29	report the intended use of the monies to	-	
30	representatives, the president of the senate	, the chairmen of	the senate and
31	house appropriations committees and the dir		-
32	budget committee and the governor's offi	ice of strategic	planning and
33	budgeting.		
34	Aging and community services		
35	FTE positions	96.1	96.1
36	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 5,502,000	\$ 5,484,000
37	Community and emergency services	6,979,500	6,979,500
38	Coordinated hunger program	1,786,600	1,786,600
39	Information and referral	115,400	115,400
40	Coordinated homeless program	2,738,600	2,738,600
41	Adult services	11,496,300	11,545,300
42	Domestic violence prevention	8,823,800	8,823,800
43	Long-term care ombudsman	359,500	359,500

1	Temporary-assistance-for-needy-		
2	families-deposit-to-		
3	community-based-marriage and		
4	communication-skills-program-		
5	fund	1,150,000	1,150,000
6	Total – aging and community services	\$ 38,951,700	\$ 38,982,700
7	Fund sources:	,,	,,
8	State general fund	\$ 22,923,500	\$ 22,954,400
9	Federal temporary assistance for	,,	, ==,,,,,,,
10	needy families block grant	14,328,200	14,328,300
11	Domestic violence sheiter fund	1,700,000	1,700,000
12	Performance measures:		•
13	Average per cent of survey respondents		
14	indicating provision of services avoided		
15	premature institutionalization	81	84
16	Adult protective services investigation		
17	per cent rate	81	81
18	Per cent of participants in older workers		
19	program transitioned from subsidized to		
20	unsubsidized positions	47	49
21	Per cent of eligibility determination made		
22	within 48 hours for refugee medical		
23	assistance program	98	98
24	Per cent of clients surveyed who were		
25	accurately referred by the information		
26	and referral program	90	90
27	It is the intent of the legislature th	at the \$115,400 a	ppropriated in
28	fiscal year 2001–2002 and fiscal year 2002–20		
29	services shall be used to fund services in		
30	population of more than two hundred fifty th	•	cording to the
31	most recent United States decennial or speci		
32	It is the intent of the legislature th	-	
33	of \$250,000 in adult services be matched w		
34	social services block grant for nonmedical ho		
35	All domestic violence shelter fund mon		
36	the department of economic security in fisc	•	
37	year 2002-2003 are appropriated for the domes	•	•
38	line item. The department of economic securi	•	
39	of the monies above \$1,700,000 in fiscal		nd fiscal year
40	2002-2003 to the joint legislative budget co	ommittee.	
41	Children, youth and families		
42	FTE positions	1,031.4	1,033.1
43	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 43,591,600	\$ 43,321,100
44	Children services	41,186,500	49,128,400
45	Intensive family services	3,035,600	3,035,600

1	High risk infant services	686,300	686,300
2	Adoption services	21,734,800	23,856,400
3	Child severance project	146,500	146,500
4	Homeless youth intervention	400,000	400,000
5	Permanent guardianship subsidy	983,300	983,300
6	Temporary assistance for needy		
7	families deposit to the joint		
8	substance abuse treatment fund	3,333,300	10,000,000
9	Child abuse prevention	812,000	812,000
10	Healthy families	5,000,000	5,000,000
11	Family builders program	8,001,300	8,001,300
12	Comprehensive medical and dental		
13	program	2,779,900	2,779,900
14	Attorney general legal services	4,254,100	4,256,300
15	Child protective services incentive		
16	pay program	240,000	240,000
17	Child protective services appeals	587,000	587,300
18	Temporary assistance for needy		
19	families deposit to social		
20	services block grant	32,066,500	10,785,000
21	Child protective services		
22	expedited substance abuse		
23	treatment fund deposit	224,500	224,500
24	Total – children, youth and families	\$169,063,200	\$164,243,900
25	Fund sources:		
26	State general fund	\$ 93,738,000	\$106,425,100
27	Child abuse prevention fund	1,062,000	1,062,000
28	Children and family services		
29	training program fund	209,600	209,600
30	Federal temporary assistance for		
31	needy families block grant	74,053,600	56,547,200
32	Performance measures:		
33	Per cent of children in out-of-home care		
34	who exit the child welfare system who		
35	achieve permanent placement through		
36	reunification, adoption or legal		
37	guardianship	36	37
38	Per cent of children in out-of-home care		
39	who have not returned to their families		
40	or been placed in another type of		
41	permanent placement for more than 24		
42	consecutive months since they were		
43	removed from their homes	30	29
44	Number of children with finalized adoption	1,110	1,288
	•	•	-

1	Per cent of CPS reports responded to by CPS		
2	staff	74	74
3	Per cent of CPS reports responded to by	7 7	7 7
4	family builders	26	26
5	Substantiated reports of child maltreatment	4,589	4,589
6	Per cent of newly hired CPS specialists	4,303	4,505
7	completing training within 7 months		
8	of hire	93	100
9	Per cent of CPS original dependencies	33	100
10	cases where court denied or dismissed	3.0	3.0
11	Per cent of office of administrative	3.0	3.0
12			
13	hearings where CPS case findings are affirmed	o.c	00
13 14		86	89
	Per cent of CPS complaints reviewed by the office of the ombudsman-citizens		
15			
16	aide where allegations are reported	1.4	1.4
17	as valid by the ombudsman	14	14
18	Per cent of calls to the family advocate	4.0	4.0
19	that relate to CPS complaints	4.0	4.0
20	Per cent of CPS cases where the family		
21	advocate is involved and is successful	0.5	0.0
22	in facilitating a solution	85	90
23	Per cent of CPS cases where most or all of the		
24	foster care review board recommendations		
25	are agreed on before court action as	^ -	
26	reported by the board	85	85
27	Average per cent of time spent on		
28	administrative paperwork as reported by		
29	CPS workers in an annual survey		
30	District 1	Baseline	Baseline
31			minus 5%
32	District 2	Baseline	Baseline
33			minus 5%
34	District 3	Baseline	Baseline
35			minus 5%
36	District 4	Baseline	Baseline
37			minus 5%
38	District 5	Baseline	Baseline
39			minus 5%
40	District 6	Baseline	Baseline
41			minus 5%
42	Average per cent rate at which CPS		
43	reports are substantiated	20.1	20.1
44	The \$240,000 appropriated in fiscal year		-
45	2002-2003 for the child protective services in	centive pay pro	gram special

line item shall be used for personal services and employee related expenditures to implement a performance based incentives pilot program for eligible child protective services workers in accordance with Laws 1995, chapter 43.

Of the \$32,066,500 appropriated from the federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant to the social services block grant for deposit into the temporary assistance for needy families deposit to social services block grant special line item, \$25,595,500 is allocated for use to the children services program in fiscal year 2001-2002. The balance of \$6,471,000 is allocated for use to the children services program in fiscal year 2002-2003 and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations, until June 30, 2003. The \$6,471,000 may be expended during fiscal year 2001-2002 on review of the joint legislative budget committee. The department of economic security shall provide the joint legislative budget committee staff with bimonthly reports beginning August 1, 2001 of this and all other appropriated and nonappropriated expenditures for the children services program. bimonthly report shall compare for each month in the current fiscal year projected funding needs by funding source to client caseload levels and approved funding in the current fiscal year.

It is the intent of the legislature that the \$10,785,000 appropriated from the federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant to the social services block grant for deposit into the temporary assistance for needy families deposit to social services block grant special line item be allocated for use to the children services program in fiscal year 2002-2003.

Monies appropriated from the federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant and deposited into the joint substance abuse treatment fund pursuant to section 8-881, Arizona Revised Statutes, shall be administered jointly by the department of economic security and the department of health services. The program development costs shall be limited to five per cent and shall include training opportunities for community collaboratives. The program evaluation costs shall be limited to ten per cent and shall include technical assistance to communities for developing and providing substance abuse prevention and treatment programs. The program evaluation costs shall also include expenditures for conducting meetings to ensure collaboration, coordination and integration of services and funding sources between public and private agencies, programs, service providers, advocates and consumers to meet prevention, treatment and other service needs.

The department of economic security shall provide training to any new child protective services full-time equivalent positions before assigning to any of these employees any client caseload duties. The department shall also implement statewide by October 1, 2001 the family group decision making program authorized by Laws 2000, chapter 369.

- 38 -

1	Employment and rehabilitation services		
2	FTE positions	471.5	471.5
3	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 23,506,100	\$ 19,973,500
4	Job search stipends	93,900	93,900
5	Vocational rehabilitation services	3,913,400	4,070,700
6	Independent living rehabilitation		
7	services	2,203,500	2,203,500
8	Developmental disabilities		
9	employment support	7,093,600	7,093,600
10	Summer youth program	1,000,000	1,000,000
11	Project intervention	1,000,000	1,000,000
12	Summer youth employment and training	1,000,000	1,000,000
13	Day care subsidy	113,462,600	125,976,700
14	Transitional child care	30,428,000	37,096,500
15	Enhanced quality reimbursement	500,000	500,000
16	JOBS	25,701,700	26,531,100
17	Work-related transportation	3,302,200	3,302,200
18	Wheels to work program	2,000,000	2,000,000
19	Young father mentoring	1,000,000	1,000,000
20-	Parenting skills classes	250,000	250,000
21	Workforce investment act programs	46,070,600	46,070,600
22	Total – employment and rehabilitation		
23	services	\$262,525,600	\$279,162,300
24	Fund sources:		
25	State general fund	\$ 42,413,300	\$ 43,800,900
26	Federal temporary assistance for		
27	needy families block grant	84,321,300	93,373,300
28	Federal child care and development		
29	fund block grant	79,496,900	90,571,400
30	Special administration fund	2,585,000	1,085,000
31	Spinal and head injuries trust fund	2,256,100	2,447,400
32	Workforce investment act grant	47,875,000	47,884,300
33	Federal reed act grant	3,578,000	- 0 -
34	Performance measures:		-
35	Number of TANF recipients who obtained		
36	employment	12,264	12,264
37	Average cost per JOBS participant in all	,	22,23
38	work activities	\$771	\$771
39	Total average children in all child care	•••	
40	programs per month	41,907	43,839
41	עו טען עמון ענון אינו אווטוו נוו		,
	•		
42	Per cent of customer satisfaction with	91.0	91.5
42 43	•	91.0	91.5

It is the intent of the legislature that the \$25,701,700 appropriated for JOBS in fiscal year 2001-2002 and the \$26,531,100 appropriated for JOBS in fiscal year 2002-2003 may be used to support nonpermanent and seasonal positions to fulfill federal program requirements when contracts for services cannot be established with outside parties. The use of such positions shall be reported to the director of the joint legislative budget committee.

All federal workforce investment act funds that are received by the state in excess of \$47,875,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$47,884,300 in fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the workforce investment act programs special line item. Excess monies may not be spent until a proposed expenditure plan for the excess monies has been reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

Of the \$113,462,600 appropriated for day care subsidy in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$125,976,700 in fiscal year 2002-2003, \$84,839,200 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$93,224,800 in fiscal year 2002-2003 are for a program in which the upper income limit is one hundred sixty-five per cent of the federal poverty level. This provision may not be construed to impose a duty on an officer, agent or employee of the state to discharge a responsibility or to create any right in a person or group if the discharge or right would require an expenditure of state monies in excess of the \$84,839,200 appropriation in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$93,224,800 appropriation in fiscal year 2002-2003.

All spinal and head injuries trust fund receipts received by the department of economic security in excess of \$2,256,100 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$2,447,400 in fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the independent living rehabilitation services special line item. Before the expenditure of any spinal and head injuries trust fund receipts in excess of \$2,256,100 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$2,447,400 in fiscal year 2002-2003, the department of economic security shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

It is the intent of the legislature that the department shall use \$4,500,000 of the monies appropriated for the JOBS special line item in both fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for contracts with education and training entities. These contracts shall focus on assisting JOBS clients in obtaining jobs paying, on average, ten dollars per hour or more. The department shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by October 15, 2002 and October 15, 2003 on these efforts. The report shall include, but not be limited to, expenditure details and placement data.

Of the monies appropriated for the JOBS special line item, the department may use up to \$5,500,000 in both fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 to provide job training, education, supportive services, and other services that will promote job retention and career advancement of former temporary assistance for needy families recipients.

- 40 -

1	Total appropriation – department of		
2	economic security	\$871,433,500	\$907,969,800
3	Fund sources:		
4	State general fund	\$468,387,900	\$502,682,000
5	Federal temporary assistance for		
6	needy families block grant	236,749,400	231,706,000
7	Federal child care and development		
8	fund block grant	80,537,100	91,610,800
9	Special administration fund	3,105,500	1,605,600
10	Public assistance collections fund	295,400	295,700
11	Long term care system fund	14,491,600	15,362,800
12	Child support enforcement		
13	administration fund	11,185,900	11,403,600
14	Domestic violence shelter fund	1,700,000	1,700,000
15	Child abuse prevention fund	1,062,000	1,062,000
16	Children and family services		
17	training program fund	209,600	209,600
18	Spinal and head injuries trust fund	2,256,100	2,447,400
19	Workforce investment act grant	47,875,000	47,884,300
20	Federal reed act grant	3,578,000	- 0 -
21	Performance measures:		
22	CPS and family builders per cent		
23	response rate	100	100
24	Agencywide customer satisfaction rating		
25	(Scale 1-5)	3.0	3.0
26	Employee satisfaction rating (Scale 1–5)	3.7	3.7

The above appropriation is in addition to funds granted to the state by the federal government for the same purposes but shall be deemed to include the sums deposited in the state treasury to the credit of the department of economic security, pursuant to section 42-5029, Arizona Revised Statutes.

A monthly report comparing total expenditures for the month and year-to-date as compared to prior year totals shall be forwarded to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee by the twenty-fifth of the following month. The report shall include an estimate of (1) potential shortfalls in entitlement programs, (2) potential federal and other funds, such as the statewide assessment for indirect costs, and any projected surplus in state supported programs that may be available to offset these shortfalls, and a plan, if necessary, for eliminating any shortfall without a supplemental appropriation, (3) shortfalls resulting from new leases or renegotiations of current leases and associated costs, and (4) total expenditure authority of the child support enforcement program for the month and year-to-date as compared to prior year totals.

- 41 -

The department of economic security shall report the receipt and intended use of all current and prior year reversions from nonappropriated sources to the joint legislative budget committee.

Sec. 31. STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION AND SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC

INSTRUCTION

State board of education and state board
for vocational and technological
education
FTE positions
Operating lump sum appropriation

2001-02
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
2002-03
20

The appropriated amount includes \$100,000 for administering a survey each academic year to a random sample of parents of children in public schools statewide. The survey shall consist of the following question: "Students are given the grades A+, A, B, C, D and Fail to denote the quality of their work. Using the same A+, A, B, C, D and Fail scale, what grade would you give the school that your oldest child attends?"

The state board of education program may establish its own strategic plan separate from that of the department of education and based on its own separate mission, goals and performance measures.

21	FTE positions – Arizona teacher		
22	evaluation	2.0	2.0
23	Arizona teacher evaluation	201,000	201,100
24	FTE positions - career ladder		
25	administration	1.0	1.0
26	Career ladder administration	85,000	85,000
27	FTE positions – certification		
28	investigations	4.0	4.0
29	Certification investigations	225,000	225,000
30	FTE positions – charter schools	4.0	4.0
31	Charter schools	205,000	205,000
32	FTE positions – teacher		
33	certification	21.0	21.0
34	Teacher certification	984,800	985,000
35	Fund source:		
36	Teacher certification fund	\$ 984,800	\$ 985,000

Monies collected by the department of education for teacher certification fees, as authorized by section 15-531, paragraphs 1 and 2, Arizona Revised Statutes, shall be deposited in a teacher certification fund for use in funding costs of the teacher certification program.

41	Total - state board of education	\$	1,977,200	\$ 1,977,600
42	Fund sources:			
43	State general fund	\$.	992,400	\$ 992,600
44	Teacher certification fund		984.800	985.000

- 42 -

1	Performance measures:		
2	Average number of days to process		
3	applications for certification services	30	30
4	Increased percentage of customers satisfied		
5	with certification services above the		
6	fiscal year 2000–2001 percentage	+1	+2
7	General services administration		
8	FTE positions	110.4	110.4
9	Operating lump sum appropriation	\$ 6,667,300	\$ 6,665,200
10	At least 1 FTE position from the depart	tment's operatin	g budget shall
11	be used for auditing average daily membership	counts from sc	hool districts
12	and charter schools.		
13	FTE positions - achievement testing	3.0	3.0
14	Achievement testing	5,477,500	5,940,000
15	The appropriated amount includes \$1,906	,200 for fiscal	year 2001-2002
16	and \$2,088,400 for fiscal year 2002-2003 for	or norm-referen	ced testing of
17	pupils in grades one through nine.		•
18	Before making any changes to the achieve	ement testing pr	ogram that will
19	affect program costs, the state board of educa		-
20	fiscal impact of those changes to the joint 1	•	
21	FTE positions – charter schools		
22	administration	3.0	3.0
23	Charter schools administration	149,700	149,800
24	FTE positions – special education	·	
25	audit	2.5	2.5
26	Special education audit	321,000	321,000
27	Total – general services		
28	administration	\$ 12,615,500	\$ 13,076,000
29	Performance measures:		
30	Per cent of school report cards available		
31	in hard copy and on the agency's web site	20	25
32	Total cost of administration	\$ 4,300,000	\$ 4,700,000
33	Per cent difference between the average		•
34	daily membership (ADM) statewide total		
35	reported as of March 1" each year versus		
36	the year-end actual total as compared		
37	with the per cent difference observed		
38	for fiscal year 2000-2001:		
39	Charter schools	-1	-2
40	School districts	-1	-2
41	Increased percentage of customers satisfied	_	-
42	with the agency above the fiscal year		
43	2000-2001 percentage	+1	+1
44	Assistance to schools	•	7.
45	Basic state aid entitlement	\$2,363,019,000	\$2,495,844,800
	Sacra Cours and Characteria	12,000,013,000	4E, 130,011,000

Fund sources:

 State general fund
 \$2,288,120,700
 \$2,420,946,500

 Permanent state school fund
 74,898,300
 74,898,300

The above appropriation provides basic state support to school districts for maintenance and operations funding as provided by section 15-973, Arizona Revised Statutes, and includes an estimated \$74,898,300 per year in expendable income derived from the permanent state school fund for fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003.

Receipts derived from the permanent state school fund and any other nonstate general fund revenue source that is dedicated to fund basic state aid will be expended, whenever possible, before expenditure of state general fund monies.

All monies received during the fiscal year from national forests, interest collected on deferred payments on the purchase of state lands, the income from the investment of permanent funds as prescribed by the enabling act and the constitution and all monies received by the superintendent of public instruction from whatever source, except monies received pursuant to sections 15-237 and 15-531, Arizona Revised Statutes, when paid into the state treasury are appropriated for apportionment to the various counties in accordance with law. No expenditures may be made except as specifically authorized above.

Additional state aid to schools	219,581,000	230,103,900
Assistance to school districts for		
children of state employees	35,200	36,900
Certificates of educational		
convenience	859,700	895,200
Special education fund	22,848,700	25,117,000
FTE positions - adult education		
assistance	5.8	5.8
Adult education assistance	4,588,600	4,588,700

The appropriated amount is for classes in adult basic education, general education development and citizenship on a statewide basis.

It is the intent of the legislature that no more than ten per cent of the appropriation for adult education assistance be used by the department of education for operating the division of adult education. It is also the intent of the legislature that the greatest possible proportion of monies appropriated for adult education programs be devoted to instructional, rather than administrative, aspects of the programs.

39	AIMS intervention; dropout		
40	prevention	550,000	550,000
41	FTE positions – chemical abuse	3.0	3.0
42	Chemical abuse	863,400	863,500
43	Extended school year	500,000	500,000
44	Extraordinary special education		
45	needs fund	1 000 (100	1 083 800

- 44 -

1	The department of education shall repor	t annually to	the joint
2	legislative budget committee regarding allocat	ions distribut	ed from the
3	extraordinary special education fund.		
4	FTE positions – family literacy	1.0	1.0
5	Family literacy	1,000,000	999,700
6	FTE positions – gifted support	2.0	2.0
7	Gifted support	1,296,700	1,296,800
8	Optional performance incentive		
9	programs	120,000	120,000
10	The optional performance incentive program	shall be limite	d to schools
11	currently performing ranking performance pay.		
12	Parental choice for reading success	1,000,000	1,000,000
13	Residential placement	100,000	100,000
14	FTE positions - school accountability	14.0	14.0
15	School accountability	5,849,000	4,586,800
16	Fund sources:		
17	State general fund – dedicated		
18	proposition 301 fund	\$5,849,000	\$4,586,800
19	FTE positions – school report cards	3.0	3.0
20	School report cards	491,000	491,000
21	FTE positions - school safety program	3.0	3.0
22	School safety program	7,915,800	7,915,900
23	Small pass-through programs	581,600	581,600
24	The appropriated amounts for both fiscal ye	ar 2001-2002 an	d fiscal year
25	2002-2003 include \$50,000 for the academic contes	t fund, \$82,400	for academic
26	decathlon, \$50,000 for Arizona geographic all	iance, \$40,000	for Arizona
27	humanities council, \$25,200 for Arizona princip	oals' academy,	\$234,000 for
28	Arizona school service through education techn	ology, \$50,000	for project
29	citizen and \$50,000 for the economic academic co	ouncil.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
30	FTE positions – state block grant	5.7	5.7
31	State block grant for early		
32	childhood education	19,492,600	19,492,700
33	FTE positions – state block grant	34.3	34.3
34	State block grant for vocational		•
35	education	11,117,000	11,117,500
36	The appropriated amount is for block gra	ints to charter	schools and
37	school districts that have vocational education	programs. It	is the intent
38	of the legislature that monies appropriated in t	he fiscal year	2001-2002 and
39	fiscal year 2002-2003 general appropriations ac	t for the state	e block grant
40	for vocational education be used to promote imp	roved student a	chievement by
41	providing vocational education programs with fl	exible supplem	ental funding
42	that is linked both to numbers of students in s	such programs a	nd to numbers
43	of program completers who enter jobs in fiel	ds directly re	lated to the
44	vocational education program that they complete	•	
45	legislature that the amount of the state block gi	rant for vocatio	onal education

. - 45 -

3

funding that is used for state level administration of the program be limited to no more than the amount used for such costs during the prior fiscal year plus the applicable amount of any pay raise that may be provided for state employees through legislative appropriation.

4	emproyees through registative appropriation.		
5	Vocational education extended	600 000	COO 000
6	year	600,000	
7	Total - assistance to schools	\$2,663,409,300	\$2,807,885,800
8	Fund sources:	A0 500 660 000	to 700 too 700
9	State general fund	\$2,582,662,000	\$2,728,400,700
10	State general fund – dedicated		4 505 000
11	proposition 301 fund		\$ 4,586,800
12	Permanent state school fund	74,898,300	74,898,300
13	Performance measures:		
14	Per cent of students tested who perform		
15	at or above the national norm on the		
16	Stanford 9 test	54	55
17	Per cent of students in the class of		
18	2002 meeting state academic standards		
19	in reading, writing and math	96	N/A
20	Increased percentage of schools with at		
21	least 75 per cent of students meeting		
22	or exceeding standards in reading,		
23	writing and math above the fiscal	_	
24	year 2001–2002 percentage	+1	+2
25	Increased percentage of students who		
26	enter 9th grade and graduate within		
27	four years above the fiscal year	_	
28	2001-2002 percentage	+1	+2
29	Per cent of students in grade 3 meeting		
30	or exceeding state academic standards		
31	in reading	74	76
32	Per cent of students in grade 3 meeting		
33	or exceeding state academic standards	2.	70
34	in writing	71	73
35	Per cent of students in grade 3 meeting		
36	or exceeding state academic standards	5.0	
37	in math	52	54
38	Per cent of students in grade 5 meeting		
39	or exceeding state academic standards		7.0
40	in reading	68	70
41	Per cent of students in grade 5 meeting		
42	or exceeding state academic standards		
43	in writing	50	52.

1	Per cent of students in grade 5 meeting				
2	or exceeding state academic standards				
3	in math		43		45
4	Per cent of students in grade 8 meeting				
5	or exceeding state academic standards				
6	in reading		56		58
7	Per cent of students in grade 8 meeting				
8	or exceeding state academic standards				
9	in writing		52		54
10	Per cent of students in grade 8 meeting				
11	or exceeding state academic standards				•
12	in math		20		25
13	Per cent of students tested		94		95
14	Per cent of parents who rate "A+" the public	:			
15	school that their oldest school-age child	i			
16	attends		8.0		8.0
17	Total appropriation – state board of				
18	education and superintendent				
19	of public instruction	\$2,6	78,002,000	\$2,8	22,939,400
20	Fund sources:				
21	State general fund	\$2,5	96,269,900	\$2,7	42,469,300
22	State general fund – dedicated				
23	proposition 301 fund	\$	5,849,000	\$	4,586,800
24	Permanent state school fund		74,898,300		74,898,300
25	Teacher certification fund		984,800		985,000
26	Performance measures:				
27	Per cent of students tested who perform				
28	at or above the national norm on the				
29	Stanford 9 test		54		55
30	Per cent of students tested		94		95
31	Per cent of parents who rate "A+" the public	С			
32	school that their oldest school-age child				
33	attends		8.0		8.0
34	Per cent of agency staff turnover		19		18
35	Administration as a per cent of total cost		0.2		0.2
36	Total cost of administration	\$	4,300,000	\$	4,700,000
37	Sec. 32. DEPARTMENT OF EMERGENCY AND MILIT	ARY A	FFAIRS		
38			<u> 2001-02</u>		2002-03
39	<u>Administration</u>				
40	FTE positions		18.5		18.5
41	Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,326,500	\$	1,336,600

1	Emergency management				
2	FTE positions		15.0		15.0
3	Lump sum appropriation	\$	963,500	\$	964,300
4	Civil air patrol		61,500		61,500
5	Total – emergency management	\$	1,025,000	\$	1,025,800
6	Fund sources:				
7	State general fund	\$	892,300	\$	893,100
8	Emergency response fund		132,700		132,700
9	Military affairs				
10	FTE positions		89.3		89.3
11	Lump sum appropriation	\$	5,336,400	\$	5,433,900
12	The department of emergency and military	aff	airs appropr	iatio	n includes
13	\$1,488,900 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$1,49	0,30	00 in fiscal	year	2002-2003
14	for project challenge. These monies shall o	nly	be used to	fund	operating
15	expenditures for project challenge.				
16	Total appropriation - department of emergency	,			
17	and military affairs	\$	7,687,900	\$	7,796,300
18	Fund sources:				
19	State general fund	\$	7,555,200	\$	7,663,600
20	Emergency response fund		132,700		132,700
21	Performance measures:				
22	Number of communities with sustained disaster	r			
23	resistant community programs		11		13
24	Number of months of community recover time				
25	from declaration of emergency to				
26	termination of emergency		16.5		16.5
27	Per cent of project challenge graduates				
28	either employed or in school		94		95
29	Per cent of national guard tuition demands m	et	45		45
30	Administration as a per cent of total cost		14.2		14.6
31	Customer satisfaction rating for communities				
32	served during disasters (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
33	The department of emergency and military	/ af	fairs approp	riati	on includes
34	\$852,300 for service contracts. This amount	is	exempt from	secti	on 35–190,
35	Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing	of	appropriation	ons, e	except that
36	all fiscal year 2001–2002 monies remaining	unex	pended and	unenc	umbered on
37	October 31, 2002 revert to the state gener	ral	fund, and a	all f	iscal year
38	2002-2003 monies remaining unexpended and un	enc	umbered on O	ctobe	r 31, 2003
39	revert to the state general fund.				

- 48 -

1	Sec. 33.	DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY		
2			<u> 2001-02</u>	<u> 2002 - 03</u>
3		FTE positions	557.8	557.8
4		Personal services	\$ 12,303,700	\$ 12,303,700
5		Employee related expenditures	2,644,300	2,651,600
6		All other operating expenditures	7,514,600	7,741,800
7		Aquifer protection permit program	773,700	774,000
8		Hazardous waste program	540,000	522,300
9		Solid waste program	3,298,600	3,305,700
10		Waste tire program	195,700	195,800
11		Water quality program	3,484,100	3,485,800
12		Air permits administration program	4,943,400	4,960,600
13		Emissions control program -	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
14		administration	3,837,300	3,849,000
15		Emissions control - clean air	.,	
16		fund subsidy	3,600,000	- 0 -
17		Emissions control contractor	.,	
18		payment	15,869,800	31,739,600
19		Water infrastructure finance		
20		authority	2,995,100	2,995,100
21		Air quality program	4,051,300	4,122,300
22		Underground storage tank program	22,000	22,000
23		Pima county air quality programs	230,000	165,000
24	Total app	propriation – department of		
25	, .	environmental quality	\$ 66,303,600	\$ 78,834,300
26	Fui	nd sources:		
27		State general fund	\$ 16,609,600	\$ 16,808,600
28		Solid waste fee fund	1,200,400	1,205,200
29		Water quality fee fund	3,484,100	3,485,800
30		Hazardous waste fund	540,000	522,300
31		Air permits administration fund	4,943,400	4,960,600
32		Emissions inspection fund	23,307,100	35,588,600
33		Air quality fee fund	4,281,300	4,287,300
34		Indirect cost recovery fund	9,748,600	9,784,400
35		Used oil fund	127,000	127,000
36		Underground storage tank fund	22,000	22,000
37		Recycling fund	2,040,100	2,042,500
38	Рe	rformance measures:		
39	Per cent	of contaminated sites closed		
40	requi	ring no further action (cumulative)		
41	versu	s known sites	74.3	78.0
42	Number o	f remaining aquifer protection		
43	permi	t actions in the inventory	208	126

Vehicles that have failed inspection and		
later brought into compliance	180,000	180,000
Per cent of statutorily set permit timelines		
met through licensing time frames rule	99	99
Number of days per year exceeding national		
ambient air quality standards for ozone,		
carbon monoxide or particulates	0	0
Per cent of agency staff turnover	13.0	13.0
Administration as a per cent of total cost	12.6	12.6
Customer satisfaction rating for citizens		
(Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0

Up to \$1,250,000 of the state general fund appropriation may be used temporarily to maintain existing environmental programs for which an application for federal funds has been submitted.

When expenditures from the hazardous waste or environmental health reserves are authorized, the director of the department of environmental quality shall report the nature of the emergency and the authorized expenditure amount to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee.

The department of environmental quality shall report quarterly to the legislature in writing on the progress of WQARF activities, including emergency response, priority site remediation, cost recovery activity, revenue and expenditure activity and other WQARF-funded program activity. The department shall submit the report to the members of the joint legislative budget committee and to the director of the joint legislative budget committee staff.

The amounts appropriated for the water infrastructure finance authority in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 shall be used to provide a twenty per cent match of the fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 federal safe drinking water and clean water revolving fund allocations to Arizona. Of the amount appropriated, any amount in excess of the required twenty per cent match reverts to the state general fund.

Included in the modified lump sum appropriation is \$472,400 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$432,500 in fiscal year 2002-2003 for the national pollution discharge elimination system. If state primacy for the national pollution discharge elimination system is not granted through legislation in the first regular session of the forty-fifth legislature, these amounts shall revert to the state general fund in the year they are appropriated.

The monies appropriated in the Pima county air quality programs special line item are for use by Pima county to avoid being declared in non-attainment of particulate matter standards by establishing public notification and outreach programs, minimizing exposure to particulate matter concentrations, and to abatement and minimization of controllable sources of particulate matter through best available control measures. Of the monies

- 50 -

in the Pima county air quality programs special line item in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, \$50,000 shall be used for carbon monoxide monitoring as required by the Pima county limited maintenance plan with the federal environmental protection agency.

Pursuant to section 49-282, Arizona Revised Statutes, the department of environmental quality shall submit a budget for the water quality assurance revolving fund before September 1, 2002, for review by the house and senate appropriations committees.

Sec. 34. OFFICE OF EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

,	Sec. 54. Office of Equite of Official !		
10		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
11	FTE positions	4.0	4.0
12	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 234,900	\$ 234,900
13	Performance measures:		
14	Total training hours provided by the		
15	office of equal opportunity to state		
16	employees	1,200	1,200
17	Number of community organizations contacted		
18	to facilitate the dissemination of		
19	information	135	135
20	Individuals provided with training and		
21	technical assistance	300	300
22	Grievances and equal employment opportunity		
23	commission complaints	125	125
24	Administration as a per cent of total cost	96	96
25	Customer satisfaction rating for client		
26	agencies (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
27	Sec. 35. STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION		
28		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
29	FTE positions	8.0	8.0
30	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 639,100	\$ 639,100
31	Performance measures:		
32	Tax appeals received	15,500	18,000
33	Per cent of tax appeals filed on-line	45	50 .
34	Cost per petition/hearing	\$ 25	\$ 23
35	Average calendar days to process a		
36	property tax appeal from receipt to		
37	issuance (includes statutory 14 days		
38	minimum to mail the hearing notice		
39	before the hearing)	28	28
40	Per cent of rulings upheld in tax courts	100	100
41	Administration as a per cent of total cost	15.8	15.8
42	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0

1	Sec. 36. BOARD OF EXECUTIVE CLEMENCY				
2			2001-02		<u> 2002 - 03</u>
3	FTE positions		22.0		22.0
4	Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,318,800	\$	
5	Performance measures:	•	_,,	•	-,,,,,,,
6	Scheduled hearings .		3,018		2,774
7	Number of revocations of parole		2,927		3,141
8	Total victim and official notifications		25,119		25,119
9	Per cent of parole granted		25		25
10	Administration as a per cent of total cost		11.4		11.4
11	Customer satisfaction rating for victims				
12	(Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
13	Sec. 37. ARIZONA EXPOSITION AND STATE FAIR B	OARI	D		
14			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		2002-03
15	FTE positions		186.0		186.0
16	Lump sum appropriation	\$	14,890,400	\$	13,679,500
17	Fund source:				
18	Arizona exposition and state fair				
19	fund	\$	14,890,400	\$	13,679,500
20	Performance measures:				
21	Fair attendance		1,025,000		1,025,000
22	Per cent guests rating state fair "good"				
23	or "excellent" based on annual survey		85		88
24	Per cent increase in fair income		4.0		4.0
25	Non-fair events held on grounds		84		89
26	Facility rental days during the non-fair peri	iod	150		158
27	Per cent of agency staff turnover		4.5		4.5
28	Administration as a per cent of total cost		9.6		9.6
29	Sec. 38. STATE BOARD OF FUNERAL DIRECTORS AN	ND E	MBALMERS		
30			<u> 2001-02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
31	FTE positions		4.0		4.0
32	Lump sum appropriation	\$	251,500**	\$	251,600
33	Fund sources:				
34	Board of funeral directors and				
35	embalmers fund	\$	251,500	\$	251,600
36	Performance measures:				
37	Number of licensees (new and existing)		1,250		1,250
38	Number of complaints received about licensee	S	15		15
39	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		45		45
40	Number of inspections		145		145
41	Average calendar days to renew a license				. -
42	(from receipt of application to issuance)		45		45
43					
	Administration as a per cent of total cost		5.0		5.0

Sec. 39. GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT		
	<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
FTE positions	274.5	274.5
Lump sum appropriation \$ 1	9,836,800 \$	19,849,200
Pittman - Robertson/Dingell -		
Johnson act	2,708,000	2,708,000
Performance incentive pay program	346,800*	346,800*
Total appropriation – game and fish		
	2,891,600 \$	22,904,000
Fund sources:		
Game and fish fund \$ 2	20,206,400 \$	20,098,100
Waterfowl conservation fund	43,500	43,500
Wildlife endowment fund	16,000	16,000
Watercraft licensing fund	2,348,000	2,468,700
Game, nongame, fish and endangered		
species fund	277,700	277,700
Performance measures:		
Per cent of public rating the department		
as "good" or "excellent"	74	75
Per cent of public satisfaction with		
off-highway vehicle and watercraft		
information products and services	77	78
Total number of reported watercraft		
accidents on Arizona waterways	428	435
Per cent of anglers rating their experience		
as "excellent", or greater than or equal to		
9, on a scale of 1 to 10	25	28
Per cent of agency staff turnover	10	10
Administration as a per cent of total cost	17.2	17.1
Any part of the appropriation of \$40,000	for cooperati	ve fish and
	FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Pittman - Robertson/Dingell - Johnson act Performance incentive pay program Total appropriation - game and fish department Fund sources: Game and fish fund Waterfowl conservation fund Wildlife endowment fund Watercraft licensing fund Game, nongame, fish and endangered species fund Performance measures: Per cent of public rating the department as "good" or "excellent" Per cent of public satisfaction with off-highway vehicle and watercraft information products and services Total number of reported watercraft accidents on Arizona waterways Per cent of anglers rating their experience as "excellent", or greater than or equal to 9, on a scale of 1 to 10 Per cent of agency staff turnover Administration as a per cent of total cost	FTE positions Lump sum appropriation Pittman - Robertson/Dingell - Johnson act Performance incentive pay program Total appropriation - game and fish department Fund sources: Game and fish fund Waterfowl conservation fund Watercraft licensing fund Game, nongame, fish and endangered species fund Performance measures: Per cent of public rating the department as "good" or "excellent" Per cent of public satisfaction with off-highway vehicle and watercraft information products and services Per cent of anglers rating their experience as "excellent", or greater than or equal to 9, on a scale of 1 to 10 Per cent of agency staff turnover 10 2,708,000 2,708,000 346,800* 20,206,400 \$ 21,206,400 \$ 21,206,400 \$ 22,891,600 \$ 22,89

Any part of the appropriation of \$40,000 for cooperative fish and wildlife research and \$2,708,000 for Pittman - Robertson/Dingell - Johnson act may be used for the purpose of matching federal and apportionment funds.

The \$300,000 from the game and fish fund and \$46,800 from the watercraft licensing fund in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for the performance incentive pay program special line item shall be used for personal services and employee related expenditures associated with the department's performance incentive pay program in accordance with Laws 1999, chapter 138. This appropriation is a continuing appropriation and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

The department shall review its activities and funding needs concerning watercraft law enforcement and registration services performed by the administrative and field services program on behalf of the watercraft program that necessitate cost transfer reimbursements from the watercraft licensing fund to the game and fish fund. The department shall prepare a report of its

- 53 -

1 findings and suggest alternative budgeting and funding strategies that would 2 minimize the fund transfers from the watercraft licensing fund to the game 3 and fish fund for watercraft enforcement and safety activities. 4 department shall submit the report to the joint legislative budget committee 5 by December 15, 2001. 6 Sec. 40. DEPARTMENT OF GAMING 7 2001-02 2002-03 8 FTE positions 75.0 75.0 9 Lump sum appropriation \$ 5,111,700 4,892,900 10 Fund sources: 11 Tribal state compact fund \$ 5,111,700 4,892,900 12 Performance measures: 13 Per cent of gaming facilities reviewed 14 for compact compliance 80 80 8,350 8,350 15 Number of machines certified 16 Number of individual applications received 11,500 12,000 17 Per cent of vendor customers satisfied with 18 process 98 99 Administration as a per cent of cost 19 9.0 9.0 20 Sec. 41. ARIZONA GEOLOGICAL SURVEY 21 2001-02 2002-03 22 **FTE** positions 13.3 13.3 23 Lump sum appropriation \$ 899,600 \$ 893,400 24 Performance measures: 25 Maps and reports produced 30 30 26 Maps and reports sold 11,500 12,500 27 Average days turnaround time on mail orders 0.5 0.5 28 Compliance and safety inspections made 33 43 29 Applied geology investigations completed 30 and distributed 4.0 4.0 Satisfaction with service provided 31 32 1-5 (highest) scale 4.9 4.9 33 Administration as a per cent of total cost 8.1 7.8 GOVERNMENT INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AGENCY 34 Sec. 42. 35 2001-02 2002-03 36 FTE positions 21.0 21.0 37 Lump sum appropriation \$ 2,382,800 \$ 2,354,000 No wrong door 38 232,700 <u>56,300</u> 39 Total appropriation - government information 40 technology agency \$ 2,615,500 \$ 2,410,300 41 Fund sources: 42 Information technology fund \$ 2,382,800 \$ 2,354,000 43 Federal temporary assistance for 44 needy families block grant 232,700 56,300

1	Performance measures:				
2	Average calendar days to review information				
3	technology (IT) projects		18		18
4	Per cent of IT projects completed on				
5	schedule and within budget		50		60
6	Per cent of agencies maximizing bandwidth				
7	resulting in the leasing of fewer				
8	telecommunications lines		30		50
9	Number of transactions accessible on the				
10	Internet		21		33
11	Savings resulting from enterprise licensing				
12	agreements	\$	2,000,000	\$	5,000,000
13	Per cent of state employees working in the				
14	areas of data processing, computer				
15	programming and management of computer				
16	or data processing rating the performance				
17	of GITA as excellent		20		25
18	Administration as a per cent of total cost		16.4		16.4
19	Before the expenditure of any monies for	No	Wrong Door,	the	government
20	information technology agency shall submit a				
21	monies to agencies and the cost of proje		-		
22	legislative budget committee for its review.		•		•
23	Sec. 43. OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR				
24			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
25	Lump sum appropriation	\$	6,278,900*	\$	6,372,800*
26	Included in the lump sum appropriation	of	\$6,278,900 f	or f	iscal year
27	2001-2002 and the \$6,372,800 appropriation	for	fiscal year	r 20	02-2003 is
28	\$10,000 each year for the purchase of memo	ento	s and items	s fo	r visiting
29	officials.				
30	Sec. 44. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE FOR EXCELLENCE IN	GO'	VERNMENT		
31			2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
32	FTE positions		19.0		19.0
33	Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,609,200	\$	1,609,300
34	Fund sources:				
35	State general fund	\$	1,584,200	\$	1,584,300
36	Office for excellence in				
37	government fund		25,000		25,000
38	Performance measures:				
39	Amount of money saved through ideas				
40	approved under the shared savings				~
41	and suggest Arizona programs	\$	8,000	\$	15,000
42	Amount of money saved through outsourcing				
43	or business process improvement stemming				
44	from a competitive government review	\$	50,000	\$	100,000

1	Per cent of OEG customers indicating				
2	improved customer satisfaction				
3	attributable to assistance provided				
4	by OEG		65		75
5	Per cent of OEG employees expressing job				
6	satisfaction		87		90
7	Per cent of external customers indicating				
8	satisfaction with OEG services		100		100
9	Number of agency process improvement				
10	reports issued		5.0		5.0
11	Average calendar days to complete and				
12	release agency process improvement				
13	reports		246		246
14	Number of state employees trained		250		250
15	Administration as a per cent of total cost		9.8		9.8
16	Sec. 45. GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLAN	NNING	AND BUDGET	ING	
17			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
18	FTE positions		24.0		24.0
19	Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,941,100	\$	1,940,900
20	Performance measures:				
21	Per cent of state agencies loading budgets				
22	into ÁFIS		95		95
23	Non-technical supplemental appropriations				
24	dollars as a per cent of original				
25	appropriations dollars (excludes any				
26	separate appropriations)		0.5		0.5
27	Per cent of agencies submitting biennial				
28	budgets electronically		0		92
29	Per cent of agencies submitting biennial				
30	master list information electronically		100		0
31	Administration as a per cent of total cost		11.6		11.6
32	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
33	Sec. 46. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES				
34			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
35	<u>Administration</u>				
36	FTE positions		419.4		419.4
37	Personal services	\$	7,004,500	\$	7,004,500
38	Employee related expenditures		1,505,000		1,511,000
39	All other operating expenditures		4,670,100		4,687,300
40	Assurance and licensure		6,593,800		6,835,200
41	Newborn screening fund – indirect		•		
42	costs		478,600		478,600
43	Indirect cost fund	_	7,596,300		6,140,300
44	Total – administration	\$	27,848,300	\$	26,656,900

1	Fund sources:		
2	State general fund	\$ 19,321,200	\$ 19,588,300
3	Newborn screening fund	478,600	478,600
4	Indirect cost fund	7,596,300	6,140,300
5	Nursing care institution resident		
6	protection fund	38,000	38,000
7	Emergency medical services operatin	g	
8	fund	19,500	17,000
9	Federal child care and development		
10	fund block grant	394,700	394,700
11	Performance measures:		
12	Per cent of relicensure surveys completed		
13	on time		
14	Child care facilities	46	46
15	Health care facilities	46	46
16	Per cent complaint investigations initiated		
17	later than investigative guidelines		
18	Child care facilities	29	29
19	Health care facilities	56	56
20	Days to process enforcement action	41.6	40.0
21	Administration as a per cent of total cost	2.3	2.0
22	The department of health services shall	report to the jo	oint legislative
23	budget committee by November 1, 2002 on the s	tatus of licens	ure backlogs in
24	the assurance and licensure division.		
25	<u>Public health</u>		
26	FTE positions	242.7	242.7
27	Personal services	\$ 4,084,200	\$ 4,084,200
28	Employee related expenditures	944,200	948,200
29	All other operating expenditures	1,310,900	1,310,900
30	Tuberculosis provider care and		
31	control	1,082,000	1,082,000
32	Vaccines	3,415,100	4,415,100
33	STD control subventions	52,500	52,500
34	AIDS reporting and surveillance	1,125,000	1,125,000
35	Laboratory services	3,868,800	3,870,800
36	Kidney program	101,000	101,000
37	Direct grants	578,000	578,000
38	Reimbursement to counties	396,300	396,300
39	Loan repayment	100,000	100,000
40	Community health centers	1,000,000	- 0 -
41	Alzheimer disease research	1,000,000	1,000,000
42	U of A poison center funding	1,050,000	1,050,000

1	Poison control center funding	800,000	800,000
2	EMS operations	2,736,200	2,741,900
3	Trauma advisory board	250,000	250,000
4	Arizona statewide immunization	200,000	230,000
5	information system	477,000	477,000
6	Hepatitis C surveillance	<u>350,000</u>	350,000
7	Total – public health	\$ 24,721,200	\$ 24,732,900
8	Fund sources:	7 21,122,200	7 2.,,02,300
9	State general fund	\$ 16,290,900	\$ 17,296,600
10	Emergency medical services	, ,	
11	operating fund	2,986,200	2,991,900
12	Poison control fund	1,850,000	1,850,000
13	Tobacco tax and health care fund		
14	medically needy account	2,827,000	1,827,000
15	Environmental laboratory licensure		
16	revolving fund	767,100	767,400
17	Performance measures:		
18	Number of uninsured clients receiving		
19	primary care services through the		
20	tobacco tax grants	65,000	65,000
21	Number of clients receiving HIV medications		
22	through the Arizona drug assistance		
23	program (average per month)	800	800
24	Immunization rate among 2-year-old children	82	84
25	Per cent of high school youth who smoked		
26	in the last month	25.1	24.9
27	Exposure calls received at Arizona poison		
28	control centers	82,000	82,000
29	Customer waiting time in vital records'		
30	lobby (in minutes)	20	20

The appropriation for direct grants is to provide for local health work and a portion of the cost of employing one public health nurse and one sanitarian in each county and is to be divided equally among the fifteen counties on a nonmatching basis. All monies that are received by a county under this appropriation and that are not used for the prescribed purposes revert to the state general fund.

The \$396,300 appropriated in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for reimbursement to counties is to provide matching monies to counties for local health work on an equal matching basis and shall be distributed in the following manner: \$174,790 of the monies shall be distributed to counties with populations of less than five hundred thousand persons based on amounts received in fiscal year 1996-1997. The distribution for counties with a population of five hundred thousand persons or more but less than one million persons is \$57,750, and for counties with a population of one million persons or more the distribution is \$163,760.

The \$1,050,000 appropriated in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for the university of Arizona poison control center shall not be used to support any poison control center other than the one at the university of Arizona. The department of health services shall transmit all of the appropriated amount to the university of Arizona for this purpose.

The \$800,000 appropriated in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for poison control center funding shall only be expended for poison control services in counties with a population of more than one million five hundred thousand persons according to the most recent United States decennial census.

The department of health services shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by February 1, 2002 and February 1, 2003 on the amount of federal monies received for fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 for the 317 vaccines program. If the department receives more than \$1,188,000 in federal 317 monies for vaccines purchase for fiscal year 2001-2002, the state general fund amount of the state fiscal year 2001-2002 appropriation for the vaccines special line item equal to the amount by which the federal monies exceed \$1,188,000 up to \$576,600 shall revert to the state general fund. If the department receives more than \$1,266,400 in federal 317 monies for vaccines purchase for fiscal year 2002-2003, the state general fund amount of the state fiscal year 2002-2003 appropriation for the vaccines special line item equal to the amount by which the federal monies exceed \$1,266,400 up to \$961,000 shall revert to the state general fund.

The department of health services shall require the screening of potential recipients of vaccines for private insurance coverage, eligibility for the federal vaccines for children program and eligibility for the state children's health insurance program. This requirement applies to vaccines purchased with state monies appropriated for the vaccines special line item for both the federal 317 program and the state-only immunization program.

The \$1,000,000 appropriated for community health centers in fiscal year 2001-2002 shall be allocated to qualifying community health centers pursuant to section 36-2907.06, subsection A, Arizona Revised Statutes. The monies shall not be used for abortion or abortion referral and counseling under the same conditions as set forth in section 36-2989, subsection A, paragraph 9, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Fami	lv	hea1	l t.h

FTE positions	87.0	87.0
Personal services	\$ 2,383,900	\$ 2,383,900
Employee related expenditures	531,100	533,400
All other operating expenditures	788,100	788,100
Children's rehabilitative services	3,693,800	3,693,800
AHCCCS – children's rehabilitative		
services	32,016,200	33,819,500
Adult cystic fibrosis	223,600	223,600
Adult sickle cell anemia	70,100	70,100

- 59 -

1	High risk perinatal services	3,829,000	3,829,000
2	Nutrition services	390,100	390,100
3	County prenatal services grant	1,281,100	1,281,100
4	Teenage pregnancy prevention task		
5	force	250,000	250,000
6	Health start	1,199,300	1,199,400
7	Child fatality review team	99,800	99,800
8	Newborn screening program	2,307,400	2,307,700
9	Out of wedlock pregnancy prevention	2,507,000	2,507,100
10	TANF perinatal services	450,000	450,000
11	Less federal collections	(21,369,800)	(22,508,500)
12	Total - family health	\$ 30,650,700	\$ 31,318,100
13	Fund sources:		
14	State general fund	\$ 24,836,500	\$ 25,503,500
15	Child fatality review team fund	99,800	99,800
16	Emergency medical services		
17	operating fund	450,000	450,000
18	Newborn screening fund	2,307,400	2,307,700
19	Federal temporary assistance for		
20	needy families block grant	2,957,000	2,957,100
21	Performance measures:		
22	CRS clients served (title XIX and non-title XI	(X) 16,200	16,400
23	Number of newborns screened under newborn		
24	screening program	82,000	84,000
25	Births by girls age 19 and under (rate per 1,0	000) 28.1	28.1
26	Per cent of women in health start program		
27	receiving prenatal care in first trimester	65	65
28	The amounts appropriated for children's	rehabilitative	e services, for
29	AHCCCS-children's rehabilitative services a	ind for feder	al expenditure

The amounts appropriated for children's rehabilitative services, for AHCCCS-children's rehabilitative services and for federal expenditure authority are intended to cover all indirect, fixed contract, fee-for-services costs and all other costs of the children's rehabilitative services program in full, unless a transfer of monies is approved by the joint legislative budget committee.

Monies in the Arizona health care cost containment system - children's rehabilitative services special line items are appropriated for capitation rates effective December 1, 2000. No monies may be expended for a change in these capitation rates unless an expenditure plan is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

In accordance with Laws 2000, chapter 339, the sum of \$133,000 in personal services and employee related expenditures and 2 FTE positions has been transferred from the department of health services to the department of insurance and is not included in this appropriation.

The department of health services shall distribute all monies appropriated for the county prenatal services grant on a pass-through basis

- 60 -

4			
1	to counties to provide prenatal programs wit	h consideration	to population,
2	need and amount received in prior years.		
3	Behavioral health services		4.00
4	FTE positions	128.6	128.6
5	Personal services	\$ 3,821,500	\$ 3,821,500
6	Employee related expenditures	890,500	892,500
7	All other operating expenditures	3,409,000	3,409,000
8	Client satisfaction incentive prog		100,000
9	RBHA client encounter reports	1,848,900	1,848,900
10	Computer hardware lease	250,000	250,000
11	Children's behavioral health servi	ces 10,137,700	10,137,700
12	Children's behavioral health state	!	
13	match for title XIX	93,048,400	102,255,700
14	Seriously emotionally handicapped		
15	children	4,375,300	4,375,300
16	Seriously mentally ill state match		
17	for title XIX	99,553,700	107,691,500
18	Seriously mentally ill non-title X		65,308,800
19	Court monitoring	205,700	205,700
20	Psychiatric review board	85,200	85,200
21	Arnold v. Sarn	27,500,000	54,902,100
22	Mental health non-title XIX	9,862,100	9,862,100
23	Substance abuse non-title XIX	15,485,400	15,485,400
24	Mental health and substance abuse	13,403,400	13,403,400
25	state match for title XIX	25,055,800	27,101,800
26	Offset for receipts	(8,000,000)	- 0 -
27	Less federal collections	(144,794,800)	(158,113,200)
28	Total - behavioral health services	\$ 208,143,200	\$ 249,620,000
29	Fund sources:	¥ 200,143,200	¥ 243,020,000
30		\$ 198,293,200	£ 220 770 000
	State general fund	• •	\$ 239,770,000
31	Substance abuse services fund	1,850,000	1,850,000
32	Tobacco tax and health care fund	0 000 000	0 000 000
33	medically needy	8,000,000	8,000,000
34	Performance measures:		
35	Per cent SMI clients on anti-psychotics		
36	receiving new generation psychotropic		
37	medications	68	71
38	Per cent of RBHA title XIX clients		
39	satisfied with services	68	68
40	Per cent of clients with improved		
41	functioning	26	27
42	Title XIX clients served	45,600	48,300
43	Non-title XIX clients served	39,400	36,500
44	Per cent of eligible title XIX consumer		
45	enrolled	8.0	8.0

All federal title XIX funds appropriated for administration are appropriated as a lump sum.

The amount appropriated for children's behavioral health services shall be used to provide services for non-title XIX eligible children. The amount shall not be used to pay for either federally or nonfederally reimbursed services for title XIX eligible children, unless a transfer of monies is approved by the joint legislative budget committee.

Monies in the children's behavioral health state match for title XIX, seriously mentally ill state match for title XIX and mental health and substance abuse state match for title XIX special line items are appropriated for capitation rates effective on December 1, 2000. No monies may be expended for a change in these capitation rates unless an expenditure plan is reviewed by the joint legislative budget committee.

On a monthly basis, the department shall provide information by program for all populations on the number of new and non-title XIX clients reviewed for title XIX eligibility under the provisions of Proposition 204 as well as the number that convert from non-title XIX status or that are newly enrolled.

For every dollar appropriated from the state general fund for the Arnold v. Sarn special line item that is used for state match for title XIX eligible clients, an amount consistent with the federal matching assistance program that is current during the fiscal year of the appropriation shall be appropriated in federal expenditure authority. It is the intent of the legislature that the total amount available in the Arnold v. Sarn special line item be used for the population covered by the Arnold v. Sarn lawsuit in counties with a population of over 2,000,000 persons and for seriously mentally ill persons that meet the same criteria as those covered by the Arnold v. Sarn lawsuit in counties with populations of less than 2,000,000 persons. Prior to expenditure of these monies, the department shall submit an expenditure plan to the joint legislative budget committee for review.

The report shall include projected Title XIX and non-Title XIX expenditures and estimated expenditures by service category.

		· y ·	
32	<u>Arizona state hospital</u>		
33	FTE positions	910.2	975.2
34	Personal services	\$ 23,102,800	\$ 24,897,200
35	Employee related expenditures	4,977,400	5,610,400
36	All other operating expenditures	10,777,600	12,377,600
37	Community placement treatment	7,848,000	7,848,000
38	Sexually violent persons	9,339,000	9,776,300
39	Total – Arizona state hospital	\$ 56,044,800	\$ 60,509,500
40	Fund sources:		
41	State general fund	\$ 43,150,900	\$ 47,615,600
42	Arizona state hospital fund	12,493,9 00	12,493,900
43	ASH land earnings fund	400,000	400,000

- 62 -

Treatment and clinical services 90 90 90 4 Per cent of adult clients successfully 5 placed in community who return for another stay within 1 year of discharge 9.5 9.5 9.5 Adult forensic patients year-end census 154 172 172 172 172 173 174 175 1	1	Performance measures:		
Per cent of adult clients successfully	2	Per cent of clients satisfied with	00	
Placed in community who return for another stay within 1 year of discharge 9.5 9.5 4.5			90	90
6 another stay within 1 year of discharge 9.5 9.5 7 Adult forensic patients year-end census 154 172 8 Civil adult patients year-end census 169 149 9 SVP program year-end census 237 253 10 Per cent of staff turnover during first 15 15 11 12 months of employment 15 15 12 Total appropriation - department of 13 health services \$347,408,200 \$392,837,400 14 Fund sources: \$347,408,200 \$392,837,400 15 State general fund \$301,892,700 \$349,774,000 16 Nursing care institution resident protection fund 38,000 38,000 18 Newborn screening program fund 2,786,000 2,786,300 19 Indirect cost fund 7,596,300 6,140,300 20 Federal child care and development 10,827,000 39,87,000 21 fund block grant 394,700 39,87,000 22 Tobacco tax and health care fund				
7 Adult forensic patients year-end census 154 172 8 Civil adult patients year-end census 169 149 9 SVP program year-end census 237 253 10 Per cent of staff turnover during first 11 12 months of employment 15 15 11 12 months of employment 15 15 15 12 Total appropriation - department of 18 18 1847,408,200 \$392,837,400 14 Fund sources: \$347,408,200 \$392,837,400 \$392,837,400 15 State general fund \$301,892,700 \$349,774,000 \$349,774,000 16 Nursing care institution resident 17 protection fund 38,000 38,000 38,000 38,000 38,000 38,000 2,786,300 2,786,300 2,786,300 2,786,300 2,786,300 2,786,300 2,786,300 394,700 2,786,300 394,700 394,700 394,700 394,700 394,700 394,700 394,700 394,700 398,27,000 29,827,000 29,827,0		•	2.5	0.5
8 Civil adult patients year-end census 169 149 9 SVP program year-end census 237 253 10 Per cent of staff turnover during first 1 12 11 12 months of employment 15 15 12 Total appropriation - department of 347,408,200 \$392,837,400 14 Fund sources: \$347,408,200 \$349,774,000 15 State general fund \$301,892,700 \$349,774,000 16 Nursing care institution resident 17 protection fund 38,000 38,000 18 Newborn screening program fund 2,786,000 2,786,300 19 Indirect cost fund 7,596,300 6,140,300 20 Federal child care and development 394,700 394,700 21 fund block grant 394,700 394,700 22 Tobacco tax and health care fund 394,700 9,827,000 23 medically needy account 10,827,000 9,827,000 24 Emergency medical services operating 767,100				
9 SVP program year-end census 237 253 10 Per cent of staff turnover during first 1 15 15 11 12 months of employment 15 15 12 Total appropriation - department of health services \$347,408,200 \$392,837,400 14 Fund sources: \$347,408,200 \$392,837,400 14 Fund sources: \$347,408,200 \$392,837,400 16 Nursing care institution resident protection fund \$38,000 38,000 18 Newborn screening program fund 2,786,000 2,786,300 19 Indirect cost fund 7,596,300 6,140,300 20 Federal child care and development fund block grant 394,700 394,700 21 fund block grant 394,700 9,827,000 22 Tobacco tax and health care fund medically needy account 10,827,000 9,827,000 24 Emergency medical services operating fund 3,455,700 3,458,900 25 fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 27 Environmental laboratory licensu		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Per cent of staff turnover during first 12 months of employment 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1		•		
12 months of employment 15 15 15 16 16 17 18 18 18 19 19 19 19 19		•	237	253
Total appropriation - department of health services		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
13		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	15	<u> 15</u>
Fund sources: State general fund				
State general fund \$301,892,700 \$349,774,000			\$347,408,200	\$392,837,400
Nursing care institution resident				
17 protection fund 38,000 38,000 18 Newborn screening program fund 2,786,000 2,786,300 19 Indirect cost fund 7,596,300 6,140,300 20 Federal child care and development 394,700 394,700 21 fund block grant 394,700 394,700 22 Tobacco tax and health care fund 10,827,000 9,827,000 23 medically needy account 10,827,000 9,827,000 24 Emergency medical services operating 25 fund 3,455,700 3,458,900 25 fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 1,850,000 27 Environmental laboratory licensure 2 revolving fund 767,100 767,400 29 Child fatality review fund 99,800 99,800 30 Federal temporary assistance for 31 needy families block grant 2,957,000 2,957,100 32 Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 33 Arizona state hospital fund 12,493,900			\$301,892,700	\$349,774,000
18 Newborn screening program fund 2,786,000 2,786,300 19 Indirect cost fund 7,596,300 6,140,300 20 Federal child care and development 394,700 394,700 21 fund block grant 394,700 394,700 22 Tobacco tax and health care fund 10,827,000 9,827,000 23 medically needy account 10,827,000 9,827,000 24 Emergency medical services operating 3,455,700 3,458,900 25 fund 3,455,700 3,458,900 26 Poison control fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 27 Environmental laboratory licensure 2 1,850,000 767,400 29 Child fatality review fund 99,800 99,800 99,800 30 Federal temporary assistance for 31 needy families block grant 2,957,000 2,957,100 32 Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 33 Arizona state hospital fund 12,493,900 400,000 34 <				
Indirect cost fund		· ·	·	38,000
Federal child care and development 394,700 394,700 394,700 22 Tobacco tax and health care fund 10,827,000 9,827,000 24 Emergency medical services operating 25 fund 3,455,700 3,458,900 26 Poison control fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 27 Environmental laboratory licensure 28 revolving fund 767,100 767,400 29 Child fatality review fund 99,800 99,800 30 Federal temporary assistance for 31 needy families block grant 2,957,000 2,957,100 32 Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 33 Arizona state hospital fund 12,493,900 12,493,900 34 ASH land earnings fund 400,000 400,000 35 Performance measures: 36 Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics 7 receiving new generation psychotropic 38 medications 68 71 39 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed 394,700 394,700 394,700 394,700 394,700 3,458,90		The state of the s	2,786,000	2,786,300
21 fund block grant 394,700 394,700 22 Tobacco tax and health care fund 23 medically needy account 10,827,000 9,827,000 24 Emergency medical services operating 25 fund 3,455,700 3,458,900 26 Poison control fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 27 Environmental laboratory licensure 28 revolving fund 767,100 767,400 29 Child fatality review fund 99,800 99,800 30 Federal temporary assistance for 31 needy families block grant 2,957,000 2,957,100 32 Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 33 Arizona state hospital fund 12,493,900 12,493,900 34 ASH land earnings fund 400,000 400,000 35 Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics 71 36 Per ceiving new generation psychotropic 68 71 39 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed		Indirect cost fund	7,596,300	6,140,300
Tobacco tax and health care fund 10,827,000 9,827,000 24 Emergency medical services operating 3,455,700 3,458,900 25 fund 3,455,700 1,850,000 26 Poison control fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 27 Environmental laboratory licensure revolving fund 767,100 767,400 29 Child fatality review fund 99,800 99,800 30 Federal temporary assistance for 31 needy families block grant 2,957,000 2,957,100 32 Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 33 Arizona state hospital fund 12,493,900 12,493,900 34 ASH land earnings fund 400,000 400,000 35 Performance measures: 36 Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics 7 receiving new generation psychotropic 38 medications 68 71 39 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed 3,455,700 9,827,000 1,850,000 1,8	20	Federal child care and development		
23 medically needy account 10,827,000 9,827,000 24 Emergency medical services operating 25 fund 3,455,700 3,458,900 26 Poison control fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 27 Environmental laboratory licensure 28 revolving fund 767,100 767,400 29 Child fatality review fund 99,800 99,800 30 Federal temporary assistance for 2,957,000 2,957,100 31 needy families block grant 2,957,000 2,957,100 32 Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 33 Arizona state hospital fund 12,493,900 12,493,900 34 ASH land earnings fund 400,000 400,000 35 Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics 71 36 Per ceiving new generation psychotropic 68 71 39 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed	21	fund block grant	394,700	394,700
Emergency medical services operating fund fund 3,455,700 3,458,900 Poison control fund 1,850,000 Environmental laboratory licensure revolving fund 767,100 767,400 Child fatality review fund 99,800 Federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant Substance abuse services fund 3,455,700 767,400 76,400 76,400 76,400 76,400 76,400 76,400 76,400 76	22	Tobacco tax and health care fund		
25 fund 3,455,700 3,458,900 26 Poison control fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 27 Environmental laboratory licensure 28 revolving fund 767,100 767,400 29 Child fatality review fund 99,800 99,800 30 Federal temporary assistance for 2,957,000 2,957,100 31 needy families block grant 2,957,000 2,957,100 32 Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 33 Arizona-state hospital fund 12,493,900 12,493,900 34 ASH land earnings fund 400,000 400,000 35 Performance measures: 70 400,000 400,000 36 Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics 68 71 39 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed 71	23	medically needy account	10,827,000	9,827,000
Poison control fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 Environmental laboratory licensure revolving fund 767,100 767,400 Child fatality review fund 99,800 99,800 Federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant 2,957,000 2,957,100 Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 Arizona state hospital fund 12,493,900 12,493,900 ASH land earnings fund 400,000 400,000 Performance measures: Fer cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics receiving new generation psychotropic medications 68 71 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed	24	Emergency medical services operating	g	
Environmental laboratory licensure revolving fund 767,100 767,400 29 Child fatality review fund 99,800 99,800 30 Federal temporary assistance for 31 needy families block grant 2,957,000 2,957,100 32 Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 33 Arizona state hospital fund 12,493,900 400,000 35 Performance measures: 36 Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics 37 receiving new generation psychotropic 38 medications 68 71 39 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed	25	fund	3,455,700	3,458,900
revolving fund 767,100 767,400 Child fatality review fund 99,800 99,800 Federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant 2,957,000 2,957,100 Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 Arizona state hospital fund 12,493,900 12,493,900 ASH land earnings fund 400,000 400,000 Performance measures: Fer cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics receiving new generation psychotropic medications 68 71 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed	26	Poison control fund	1,850,000	1,850,000
Child fatality review fund 99,800 99,800 Federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant 2,957,000 2,957,100 Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 Arizona-state hospital fund 12,493,900 12,493,900 ASH land earnings fund 400,000 400,000 Performance measures: Fer cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics receiving new generation psychotropic medications 68 71 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed	27	Environmental laboratory licensure		
Federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant 2,957,000 2,957,100 2 2,957,10	28	revolving fund	767,100	767,400
31 needy families block grant 2,957,000 2,957,100 32 Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 33 Arizona-state hospital fund 12,493,900 12,493,900 34 ASH land earnings fund 400,000 400,000 35 Performance measures: 36 Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics 37 receiving new generation psychotropic 38 medications 68 71 39 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed	29	Child fatality review fund	99,800	99,800
Substance abuse services fund 1,850,000 1,850,000 Arizona-state hospital fund 12,493,900 12,493,900 ASH land earnings fund 400,000 400,000 Performance measures: Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics receiving new generation psychotropic medications 68 71 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed	30	Federal temporary assistance for		
Arizona-state hospital fund 12,493,900 12,493,900 ASH land earnings fund 400,000 400,000 Performance measures: Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics receiving new generation psychotropic medications 68 71 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed	31	needy families block grant	2,957,000	2,957,100
ASH land earnings fund 400,000 400,000 Performance measures: Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics receiving new generation psychotropic medications 68 71 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed	32	Substance abuse services fund	1,850,000	1,850,000
ASH land earnings fund 400,000 400,000 Performance measures: Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics receiving new generation psychotropic medications 68 71 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed	33 -	Arizona state hospital fund	12,493,900	12,493,900
Performance measures: Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics receiving new generation psychotropic medications Per cent of relicensure surveys completed	34	•	400,000	
71 receiving new generation psychotropic medications 68 71 39 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed	35	Performance measures:		
71 receiving new generation psychotropic medications 68 71 39 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed	36	Per cent of SMI clients on anti-psychotics		
38 medications 68 71 39 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed		• •		
39 Per cent of relicensure surveys completed		• • •	68	71
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				, -
	40	•		
41 Child care facilities 46 46			46	. 46
42 Health care facilities 46 46				

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

Per cent of high school youth who smoked

in the last month	25.1	24.9
Births by girls age 19 and under (rate per 1,000)	28.1	28.1
Per cent of agency staff turnover	9.5	9.0

In addition to the appropriation for the department of health services, earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated to the state hospital in compliance with the enabling act and the constitution.

A monthly report comparing total expenditures for the month and year to date as compared to prior year totals shall be forwarded to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, the chairmen of the senate and house appropriations committees and the director of the joint legislative budget committee by the twenty-fifth of the following month. The report shall include an estimate of (1) potential shortfalls in programs, (2) potential federal and other funds, such as the statewide assessment for indirect costs, that may be available to offset these shortfalls, and a plan, if necessary, for eliminating any shortfall without a supplemental appropriation, and (3) total expenditure authority of the month and year to date for seriously mentally ill state match for title XIX, seriously mentally ill non-title XIX, children's behavioral health services, children's behavioral health state match for title XIX, mental health non-title XIX, substance abuse non-title XIX, seriously emotionally handicapped children and children's rehabilitative services.

Notwithstanding section 35–173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, any transfer to or from the amounts appropriated for seriously mentally ill state match for title XIX, seriously mentally ill non-title XIX, Arnold v. Sarn, children's behavioral health services, children's behavioral health state match for title XIX, mental health non-title XIX, substance abuse nontitle XIX, mental health and substance abuse state match for title XIX, seriously emotionally handicapped children, children's rehabilitative services, AHCCCS - children's rehabilitative services, tuberculosis provider care and control, alzheimer's disease research, kidney program, adult cystic fibrosis, adult sickle cell anemia, high risk perinatal services, county prenatal services grant, nutrition services, community placement treatment, sexually violent persons, university of Arizona poison control center funding and the poison control center funding shall require approval of the joint legislative budget committee. The amounts appropriated for these items shall be used exclusively for contracts for the provision of services to clients unless a transfer of monies is approved by the joint legislative budget No monies may be used from these appropriated amounts for any other expenses of the department of health services.

- 64 -

1	Sec. 47. ARIZONA HISTORICAL SOCIETY					
2			2001-02			<u> 2002 - 03</u>
3	FTE positions		68.5			68.5
4	Lump sum appropriation	\$	2,290,800	\$		2,293,300
5	Field services and grants		80,000			80,000
6	Papago park museum		2,316,600			2,319,200
7	Total appropriation – Arizona historical					
8	society	\$	4,687,400	\$		4,692,500
9	Performance measures:					
10	Museum visitors and researchers		210,116			220,621
11	Number of volunteer hours		35,516			39,068
12	Private funds raised					
13	grants and donations	\$	2,000,000	\$,	1,200,000
14	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		6.8			7.0
15	Administration as a per cent of total cost		11.8			11.6
16	Of the \$80,000 appropriation for field	ser	vices and g	ran	t	s, \$50,000
17	reverts if the city of Phoenix does not	ma	ke its agre	eed		upon cash
18	contribution pursuant to the memorandum of unde		_	ted	b	etween the
19	city of Phoenix and the Arizona historical soc	iet	y.			
20	The executive director shall provide mon	thl	y financial	rep	00	rts to the
21	board of directors of the Arizona historical s	oci	ety and to t	he	d	irector of
22	the joint legislative budget committee staff.					
23	Sec. 48. PRESCOTT HISTORICAL SOCIETY					
24			<u> 2001-02</u>			<u> 2002-03</u>
25	FTE positions		18.0			18.5
26	Lump sum appropriation	\$	751,800	1	•	808,900
27	Performance measures:					
28	Museum visitors and researchers		110,000			110,000
29	Number of volunteer hours		33,000			33,000
30	Private funds raised (grants and donations)		\$570,000			\$575,000
31	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		8.0			8.0
32	Administration as a per cent of total cost		17.3			17.3
33	Sec. 49. BOARD OF HOMEOPATHIC MEDICAL EXAMIN	ERS				
34	·		<u> 2001 - 02</u>			<u> 2002-03</u>
35	FTE positions		1.0			1.0
36	Lump sum appropriation	\$	71,600*	*	\$	71,600
37	Fund sources:					
38	Board of homeopathic medical					
39	examiners fund	\$	71,600		\$	71,600
40	Performance measures:					
41	Number of licensees (new and existing)		261			263
42	Number of complaints received about licensees		25			23
43	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		120			120
44	Number of investigations		22			21

1	Average calendar days to renew a license		
2	(from receipt of application to issuance)	120	120
3	Administration as a per cent of total cost	8.0	8.0
4	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
5	Sec. 50. ARIZONA COMMISSION OF INDIAN AFFAIRS		
6		2001-02	<u> 2002-03</u>
7	FTE positions	4.0	4.0
8	·	\$ 231,200	\$ 231,300
9	Performance measures:	•	•
10	Number of native Americans serving on		
11	state boards/commissions	4.0	4.0
12	Per cent of tribes, legislators and state		
13	agencies rating commission information		
14	and referral services as "good" or "better"	40	45
15	Per cent of Indian economic development		
16	workshop participants rating workshop		
17	"good" or "better"	55	60
18	Administration as a per cent of total cost	5.2	5.2
19	Sec. 51. INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION		
20		2001-02	2002-03
21	FTE positions	282.0	282.0
22	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 15,359,400	\$ 15,365,600
23	Fund sources:		
24	Industrial commission administrative		
25	fund	\$ 15,359,400	\$ 15,365,600
26	Performance measures:		
27	Claims for workers' compensation processed	156,637	160,553
28	Hearings conducted by the administrative		
29	law judge division	3,223	3,304
30	Average number of days to resolve a case		
31	by the administrative law judge division	126	129
32	Safety violations found	1,832	1,878
33	Child labor law violations investigated	359	368
34	Per cent of agency staff turnover	9.0	9.0
35	Administration as a per cent of total cost	10	10
36	Customer satisfaction rating for workers'		
37	compensation program (Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
38	Sec. 52. DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE		
39		2001-02	2002-03
40	FTE positions	118.0	122.0
41	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 5,577,200	\$ 5,671,900
42	Managed care and dental plan		
43	oversight	633,000	631,300
44	Total appropriation – department of insurance	\$ 6,210,200	\$ 6,303,200

1	Performance measures:		
2	Average calendar days to complete a		
3	consumer complaint investigation	90	90
4	Number of new domestic company receiverships	0	0
5	Average days required to complete fraud	•	
6	investigations	100	70
7	Per cent of survey licensees respondents		
8	indicating "satisfied" or better	85.0	85.0
9	Per cent of consumer services survey		
10	respondents indicating "satisfied"		
11	or better	88	88
12	Average days to issue license after receiving		
13	all required information from applicant	31.4	31.4
14	Maximum number of days for approval of		
15	new products	60	60
16	Maximum number of days for approval of		
17	new rates	60	60
18	Per cent of agency staff turnover	15.1	15.1
19	Administration as a per cent of total cost	22.3	22.1
20	In accordance with Laws 2000, chapter 3	39, the fiscal	year 2001–2002
21	appropriation includes the \$133,000 in personal	services and e	mployee related
22	expenditures transferred from the department of	of health servi	ces.
23	Sec. 53. ARIZONA JUDICIARY		
24	·	<u> 2001-02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
25	Supreme court		
26	FTE positions	247.4	253.9
27	Justices and support	\$ 3,456,000	\$ 3,487,300
28	Administrative supervision	7,822,500	7,820,300
29	Regulatory activities	985,300	985,500
30	Court assistance	2,932,800	2,932,900
31	Case processing		
32	State aid	8,378,200	8,378,200
33	County reimbursements	590,000	590,000
34	Automation	14,431,200	14,431,500
35	Family services		
36	Foster care review board	2,142,700	2,143,000
37	Court appointed special advocate	2,513,400	2,680,200
38	Model court	528,400	528,400
39	Domestic relations	1,008,900	1,008,900
40	Judicial nominations and performanc	е	
41	review	355,800	355,800
42	Commission on judicial conduct	338,600	338,700
43	Total – supreme court	\$ 45,483,800	\$ 45,680,700
	•	-	

1	Fund sources:		
2	State general fund	\$ 18,294,300	\$ 18,323,800
3	Confidential intermediary and		
4	private fiduciary fund	394,100	394,100
5	Court appointed special advocate		
6	fund	2,513,400	2,680,200
7	Criminal justice enhancement fund	3,009,600	3,009,600
8	Defensive driving fund	5,139,800	5,140,300
9	Judicial collection enhancement fund	12,572,500	12,572,600
10	State aid to the courts fund	3,560,100	3,560,100
11	Performance measures:		
12	Annual per cent increase in court revenues	5.0	5.0
13	Per cent of courts with automated accounting		
14	and case management systems	81	81
15	New supreme court case filings	1,402	1,402
16	Supreme court cases pending at end of year	580	580
17	Average calendar days to issue an opinion	Baseline	Baseline
18	Per cent of agency staff turnover	15.4	15.4
19	Administration as a per cent of total cost	3.9	3.9
20	Customer satisfaction rating for defensive		
21	driving schools (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0
22	Included in the lump sum appropriat	ion for the	administrative

Included in the lump sum appropriation for the administrative supervision program is \$1,000 for the purchase of mementos and items for visiting officials.

By December 31, 2001, the administrative office of the courts shall prepare a report to the joint legislative budget committee that examines the barriers for placing juveniles on probation in out-of-state residential treatment when no in-state residential treatment facility is available to meet the juvenile's needs. The report shall include recommendations to address the barriers and progress made to eliminate these barriers.

The administrative office of the courts shall report annually, by November 1, to the joint legislative budget committee on the total receipts and expenditures in each account of the adult probation services fund established by section 12-267, Arizona Revised Statutes, and the juvenile probation fund established by section 12-268, Arizona Revised Statutes. The report shall present the information by county and include the amount of personal services expended from each revenue source of each account.

All case processing assistance fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$3,009,600 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the supreme court. Before the expenditure of any case processing assistance fund receipts in excess of \$3,009,600 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

- 68 -

All defensive driving fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$5,139,800 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$5.140.300 in fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the supreme court. Before the expenditure of any defensive driving fund receipts in excess of \$5,139,800 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$5,140,300 in fiscal year 2002-2003, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

All judicial collection enhancement fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$12,572,500 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$12,572,600 in fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the supreme court. Before the expenditure of judicial collection enhancement fund receipts in excess of \$12,572,500 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$12,572,600 in fiscal year 2002-2003, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

<u>Court</u>	of	<u>appeals</u>	
FI	ſΕ	position	١

FTE positions	140.5	140.5
Division I	\$ 7,252,100	\$ 7,312,400
Performance measures:		
All cases on file	4,744	4,744
Cases pending at the end of the year	1,918	1,918
Cases upheld upon review	Baseline	Baseline
Customer satisfaction rating for		
settlement program (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0
Division II	3,445,800	3,431,800
Performance measures:		
All cases on file	2,412	2,412
Cases pending at the end of the year	1,101	1,101
Cases upheld upon review	Baseline	Baseline
Customer satisfaction rating for		
settlement program (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0
Total – court of appeals	\$ 10,697,900	\$ 10,744,200
Of the 140.5 FTE positions for fisca	l year 2001-2002 a	and fiscal year
2002-2003, 103.5 FTE positions are for div	ision I and 37 FTI	positions are
for division II.		

Superior court

36	<u>Superior court</u>		
37	FTE positions	199.0	199.0
38	Judges compensation	\$ 12,337,000	\$ 12,382,700
39	Adult probation services		
40	Standard probation	27,624,900	28,400,800
41	Intensive probation	21,144,700	21,144,700
42	Community punishment	5,736,000	5,736,000
43	Interstate compact	1,445,700	1,445,700

- 69 -

1	Juvenile probation services		
2	Standard probation	8,675,300	9,173,300
3	Intensive probation	13,378,000	13,570,900
4	Treatment services	24,942,900	25,738,500
5	Family counseling	660,400	661,400
6	Progressively increasing		
7	consequences	9,724,400	9,724,400
8	Juvenile crime reduction	5,053,900	5,053,900
9	Total – superior court	\$130,723,200	\$133,032,300
10	Fund sources:		
11	State general fund	\$123,838,900	\$126,148,000
12	Criminal justice enhancement fund	6,884,300	6,884,300
13	Performance measures:		
14	Customer satisfaction rating by states		
15	participating in the interstate compact		
16	(Scale 1-8)	6.0	6.0
17	Juvenile standard probation:		
18	Per cent of probationers successfully		
19	completing probation without a referral		
20	(a notice of misbehavior)	75	75
21	Number of probationers at year end	8,500	8,800
22	Average annual state cost per probation slot	\$1,016	\$1,016
23	<u>Juvenile intensive probation (JIPS):</u>		
24	Per cent of probationers successfully		
25	completing probation without a referral		
26	(a notice of misbehavior)	70	70
27	Number of probationers at year end	1,720	1,740
28	Average annual state cost per probation slot	\$6,941	\$6,941
29	Adult standard probation:		
30	Per cent of probationers successfully		
31	completing probation without a new		
32	conviction	90	90
33	Number of probationers at year end	36,100	37,500
34	Average annual state cost per probation slot	\$756	\$7 56
35	Adult intensive probation (AIPS):	•	
36	Per cent of probationers successfully		
37	completing probation without a new	0.1	0.1
38	conviction	81	81
39	Number of probationers at year end	3,380	3,380
40	Average annual state cost per probation slot	·	\$5,821
41	Of the 199.0 FTE positions, 155 FTE pos		=
42	judges. One-half of their salaries are pu	•	
43	appropriations pursuant to section 12-128, A		
44 46	is not meant to limit the counties' ability to		Juages pursuant
45	to section 12–121, Arizona Revised Statutes.		

- 70 -

Up to 4.6 per cent of the amounts appropriated for juvenile probation services - treatment services and progressively increasing consequences may be retained and expended by the supreme court to administer the programs established by section 8-322, Arizona Revised Statutes, and to conduct evaluations as needed. The remaining portion of the treatment services and progressively increasing consequences programs shall be deposited in the juvenile probation services fund established by section 8-322, Arizona Revised Statutes.

Receipt of state probation monies by the counties is contingent on the county maintenance of fiscal year 1994-1995 expenditure levels for each probation program. State probation monies are not intended to supplant county dollars for probation programs.

All community punishment program receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$5,736,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the community punishment subprogram. Before the expenditure of any community punishment receipts in excess of \$5,736,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

All juvenile crime reduction fund receipts received by the administrative office of the courts in excess of \$5,053,900 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 are appropriated to the juvenile crime reduction subprogram. Before the expenditure of any juvenile crime reduction fund receipts in excess of \$5,053,900 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, the administrative office of the courts shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

27	committee.		
28	Total appropriation – Arizona judiciary	\$186,904,900	\$189,457,200
29	fund sources:		
30	State general fund	\$152,831,100	\$155,216,000
31	Confidential intermediary and		
32	private fiduciary fund	394,100	394,100
33	Court appointed special advocate		•
34	fund	2,513,400	2,680,200
35	Criminal justice enhancement fund	9,893,900	9,893,900
36	Defensive driving school fund	5,139,800	5,140,300
37	Judicial collection enhancement		
38	fund	12,572,500	12,572,600
39	State aid to the courts fund	3,560,100	3,560,100
40	Sec. 54. DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE CORRECTIONS		
41		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
42	FTE positions	1,300.7	1,300.7
43	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 71,594,400	\$ 71,830,600

- 71 -

1	Fund sources:		
2	State general fund	66,898,800	\$ 67,197,200
3	State charitable, penal and		
4	reformatory institutions		
5	land fund	300,000	360,000
6	Criminal justice enhancement fund	714,600	585,100
7	State education fund for committed		
8	youth	3,681,000	3,688,300
9	Performance measures:		
10	Average yearly cost per juvenile in secure care	\$54,400	\$54,400
11	Average daily population in secure care	927	925
12	Fiscal year-end bed surplus/(shortage)	41	43
13	Escapes from DJC secure care facilities	0	0
14	Juveniles passing the GED language test	85	85
15	Per cent of juveniles who show progress in		
16	their primary treatment problem area	77	80
17	Per cent of juveniles returned to custody		
18	within 12 months of release	22	22
19	Customer satisfaction rating for employee		
20	satisfaction (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0
21	Per cent of agency staff turnover	25	25
22	Administration as a per cent of total cost	8.5	` 8.5

The department shall provide a travel stipend to all southwest regional juvenile correction complex staff whose residence is at least 20 miles from work.

Twenty-five per cent of land earnings and interest from the state charitable, penal and reformatory institutions land fund shall be distributed to the department of juvenile corrections, in compliance with section 25 of the enabling act and with the constitution, to be used for the support of state juvenile institutions and reformatories.

Following implementation of the travel stipend the department shall study the relationship between the stipend, turnover and overtime pay. The department shall provide a report to the joint legislative budget committee staff on its findings no later than September 15, 2002.

Sec. 55. STATE LAND DEPARTMENT

	<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
FTE positions	192.0	192.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 15,251,600	\$ 15,470,100
Natural resource conservation		
districts	490,000	490,000
Environmental license plate program	674,000	674,000
Total appropriation .– state land department	\$ 16,415,600	\$ 16,634,100

- 72 -

1	Fund sources:		
2	State general fund	\$ 14,738,500	\$ 14,971,200
3	Environmental special plate fund	674,000	674,000
4	Cooperative forestry fund	1,003,100	988,900
5	Performance measures:		
6	Average land sales processing time		
7	(application to auction, in months)	16.5	14.9
8	Average score on customer service survey		
9	(5≖very satisfied)	3.6	3.7
10	Total expendable fund earnings, excluding		
11	interest on permanent fund	\$ 25,200,000	\$ 27,600,000
12	Total annual revenue to permanent fund	\$ 74,900,000	\$ 59,900,000
13	Per cent of fires controlled at 100 acres	•	·
14	or less	95	95
15	Per cent of agency staff turnover	12.0	12.0
16	Administration as a per cent of total cost	16.4	16.4
17	The appropriation includes \$1,084,100	for central Arizo	na project user
18	fees in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$1,282,400		•
19	fees in fiscal year 2002-2003. For every d		•
20	to the state in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fi	scal year 2002-2	003 from cities
21	that assume their allocation of central A	rizona project	water for past
22	central Arizona water conservation district	payments, one do	llar reverts to
23	the state general fund in the year that the	reimbursement i	s collected.
24	Of the amounts appropriated from the e	environmental spe	cial plate fund
25	in fiscal year 2001–2002 and fiscal year 2002	2-2003, up to \$30	,000 may be used
26	for costs associated with informing the pub	lic about the pu	rposes and uses
27	of monies in the environmental special pla	te fund as prov	ided by section
28	41-2252, subsection E, Arizona Revised Stat	utes.	
29	Sec. 56. LAW ENFORCEMENT MERIT SYSTEM COUN	CIL	
30		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
31	FTE positions	1.0	1.0
32	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 56,600	\$ 56,600
33	Sec. 57. LEGISLATURE		
34		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
35	<u>Senate</u>		
36	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 7,035,400*	\$ 7,037,000*
37	Included in the lump sum appropriation	ns of \$7,035,400	for fiscal year
38	2001-2002 and \$7,037,000 for fiscal year 20	02-2003 is \$1,00	00 each year for
39	the purchase of mementos and items for visi	ting officials.	
40	House of representatives		
41	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 12,052,400 ³	\$ 12,991,500*
42	Included in the lump sum appropriation	ns of \$12,052,400	for fiscal year
43	2001-2002 and \$12,991,500 for fiscal year 2	002-2003 is \$1.0	00 each year for
44	the purchase of mementos and items for visi	ting officials.	

- 73 -

1	<u>Legislative council</u>		
2	FTE positions	54.0	54.0
3	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 4,997,700*	\$ 4,820,300*
4	Ombudsman-citizens aide office	 <u>355,600</u> *	 <u>356,000</u> *
5	Total appropriation – legislative		
6	council	\$ 5,353,300*	\$ 5,176,300*
7	Performance measures:		
8	Customers rating accuracy of bill		
9	drafting "good" or "excellent"		
10	based on annual survey	96	97
11	Customers rating timeliness of bill		
12	drafting "good" or "excellent"		
13	based on annual survey	93	94
14	Customers rating accuracy of computer		
15	help desk "good" or "excellent" based		
16	on annual survey	90	92
17	Individuals assisted by office of the		
18	ombudsman-citizens aide	2,800	3,000
19	Investigations completed within 3 months		
20	by office of the ombudsman-citizens aide	75	75
21	Administration as a per cent of total cost	5.9	5.9

Dues for the council of state governments shall only be expended on an affirmative vote of the legislative council. Monies not expended for these dues shall be used by the council for ongoing operating expenses or automation requirements.

The operating budget includes a total of \$1,181,900 in fiscal year 2001-2002 for new computer equipment. The senate share of this amount is \$246,500 and will be spent solely at the exclusive discretion of the president of the senate. The house of representatives share of this amount is \$272,000 and will be spent solely at the exclusive discretion of the speaker of the house of representatives. The joint legislative budget committee share of this amount is \$10,000 and the legislative council share is \$653,400, and both will only be spent with the joint approval of both the president of the senate and the speaker of the house of representatives. The \$653,400 for the legislative council includes \$643,400 for systemwide costs for network infrastructure upgrade.

The operating budget includes a total of \$977,000 in fiscal year 2002-2003 for new computer equipment. The senate share of this amount is \$34,600 and will be spent solely at the exclusive discretion of the president of the senate. The house of representatives share of this amount is \$53,000 and will be spent solely at the exclusive discretion of the speaker of the house of representatives. The legislative council share is \$889,400, and will only be spent with the joint approval of both the president of the senate and the speaker of the house of representatives. The \$889,400 for the legislative council is for systemwide costs for server operating system and

- 74 -

1	office product upgrades to Windows 2000, and	enł	nancements t	o i	nternet and
2	video broadcast capacities.				
3	Joint legislative budget committee		35.0		35.0
4	FTE positions				
5	Lump sum appropriation	•	2,452,500*	•	2,452,000^
6	Performance measures:				
7	Survey of legislator satisfaction (4=high):		2 72		2 72
8	appropriations and JLBC members		3.73		3.73
9	Survey of legislator satisfaction:		2.40		2 40
10	other members		3.48		3.48
11	Errors in budget bills		0		0
12	Maximum per cent actual revenues vary from				
13	forecasted revenues		3.0		3.0
14	Days to transmit fiscal notes		14		14
15	Administration as a per cent of total cost		6.7		6.7
16	<u>Auditor general</u>				
17	FTE positions	_	203.5		228.0
18	Lump sum appropriation	\$	13,050,500*	\$	14,624,100*
19	Performance measures:				
20	Federal department of health and human				
21	services acceptance of single financial				
22	audit reports		100		100
23	Per cent of administrative recommendations				
24	implemented or adopted within 1 year for				
25	financial audits		65		65
26	Per cent of administrative recommendations				
27	implemented or adopted within 2 years for		•		
28	performance audits		90		90
29	Legislative recommendations implemented or				
30	adopted within 3 years for performance aud	its	60		60
31	Average hours per performance audit		2,500		2,500
32	Per cent of agency staff turnover		27		27
33	Administration as a per cent of total cost		7.8		7.4
34	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
35	Advocate for private property rights				
36	FTE positions		1.0		1.0
37	Lump sum appropriation	\$	97,500*		\$ 97,600*
38	Performance measures:		•		•
39	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
40	Library, archives and public records				
41	FTE positions		129.1		129.1
42	Lump sum appropriation	\$			\$ 7,742,400
43	Grants-in-aid	·	651,400		651,400

1	Statewide radio reading service				
2	for the blind	-	97,000		97,000
3	Total – library, archives and public			_	
4	records	\$	8,197,500*	\$	8,490,800*
5	Fund source:				
6	State general fund	\$	7,888,800	\$	8,182,100
7	Records services fund		308,700		308,700
8	Performance measures:				
9	Tour participants and program attendees		186,539		193,176
10	Number of volunteers hours		34,191		34,928
11	Outside funds raised (grants and donations)	;	\$4,600,000		\$4,600,000
12	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		7.3		7.4
13	Per cent of agency staff turnover		10.0		10.0
14	Administration as a per cent of total cost		3.5		3.5
15	Sec. 58. DEPARTMENT OF LIQUOR LICENSES AND	CONTR	ROL		
16			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002 - 03</u>
17	FTE positions		45.0		45.0
18	Lump sum appropriation	\$	2,557,100	\$	2,555,100
19	Performance measures:				
20	Investigations and routine liquor				
21	inspections completed		5,100		5,200
22	Cost per investigation and routine liquor				
23	inspection		\$270		\$270
24	Average calendar days to complete an				
25	investigation		39		37
26	New licenses, transferred licenses and				
27	renewals issued		10,300		10,300
28	Per cent of customers who responded to the				
29	survey reporting very good or excellent				
30	service		99.3		99.3
31	Administration as a per cent of total cost		40		40
32	Sec. 59. ARIZONA STATE LOTTERY COMMISSION				
33			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		2002-03
34	FTE positions		123.0		123.0
35	Lump sum appropriation	\$	6,951,400	\$	
36	Sales incentive program	•	50,000	•	50,000
37	Telecommunications		2,090,500		2,090,500
38	Compulsive gambling treatment and		2,000,000		2,000,000
39	information		500,000		500,000
40	Total appropriation - Arizona state lottery			-	000,000
41	commission	\$	9,591,900	\$	9,397,100
42	Fund source:	*	3,032,300	•	3,037,100
43	State lottery fund	\$	9,591,900	\$	9,397,100
43	State lottery runa	•	A'2AT'A00	*	9,397,100

Performance measures:				
Amount of estimated on-line sales	\$112	2,900,000	\$10	5,400,000
Amount of estimated instant ticket sales	\$130	5,900,000	\$14	0,100,000
Average amount of sales per instant ticket				
vending machine (ITVM)	\$	98,754	\$	107,477
Per cent of active retailer accounts in				
good standing		99.8		99.8
Per cent of agency staff turnover		13.5		13.5
Administration as a per cent of total cost		8.1		8.1
Customer satisfaction rating for retailers				
(Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0

An amount equal to 3.1 per cent of actual instant ticket sales is appropriated for the printing of instant tickets or for contractual obligations concerning instant ticket distribution. This amount is currently estimated to be \$4,244,300 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$4,343,500 in fiscal year 2002-2003.

An amount equal to a percentage of actual on-line game sales as determined by contract is appropriated for payment of on-line vendor fees. This amount is currently estimated to be \$5,633,300 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$5,254,100 in fiscal year 2002-2003 or 4.99 per cent of actual on-line ticket sales.

An amount equal to 2.7 per cent of gross lottery game sales, but no more than \$11,000,000, is appropriated for advertising in accordance with section 5-505, Arizona Revised Statutes, that states that not more than four per cent of the annual gross revenues shall be expended for advertising. This amount is currently estimated to be \$6,744,700 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$6,599,100 in fiscal year 2002-2003.

An amount equal to 6.5 per cent of gross lottery game sales is appropriated for payment of sales commissions to ticket retailers. In accordance with Laws 1997, chapter 214, an additional amount of not to exceed 0.5 per cent of gross lottery game sales is appropriated for payment of sales commissions to ticket retailers. The combined amount is currently estimated to be 6.625 per cent of total ticket sales, or \$16,549,400 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$16,257,900 in fiscal year 2002-2003.

Of the amount appropriated for compulsive gambling treatment and information, fifty per cent shall be used to contract for a statewide toll free crisis hotline to promote public education and awareness about compulsive gambling problems and to provide public information on gambling addiction. The remaining fifty per cent of the appropriated amount shall be used to contract for the treatment of individuals who are compulsive gamblers.

Sec. 60. MEDICAL EXAMINERS BOARD

43		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002 - 03</u>
44	FTE positions	58.5	58.5
45	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 4,536,000**	\$ 4,537,600

- 77 -

Performance measures:				
Amount of estimated on-line sales	\$112	2,900,000	\$10	5,400,000
Amount of estimated instant ticket sales	\$136,900,000		\$140,100,000	
Average amount of sales per instant ticket				
vending machine (ITVM)	\$	98,754	\$	107,477
Per cent of active retailer accounts in				
good standing		99.8		99.8
Per cent of agency staff turnover		13.5		13.5
Administration as a per cent of total cost		8.1		8.1
Customer satisfaction rating for retailers				
(Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0

An amount equal to 3.1 per cent of actual instant ticket sales is appropriated for the printing of instant tickets or for contractual obligations concerning instant ticket distribution. This amount is currently estimated to be \$4,244,300 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$4,343,500 in fiscal year 2002-2003.

An amount equal to a percentage of actual on-line game sales as determined by contract is appropriated for payment of on-line vendor fees. This amount is currently estimated to be \$5,633,300 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$5,254,100 in fiscal year 2002-2003 or 4.99 per cent of actual on-line ticket sales.

An amount equal to 2.7 per cent of gross lottery game sales, but no more than \$11,000,000, is appropriated for advertising in accordance with section 5-505, Arizona Revised Statutes, that states that not more than four per cent of the annual gross revenues shall be expended for advertising. This amount is currently estimated to be \$6,744,700 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$6,599,100 in fiscal year 2002-2003.

An amount equal to 6.5 per cent of gross lottery game sales is appropriated for payment of sales commissions to ticket retailers. In accordance with Laws 1997, chapter 214, an additional amount of not to exceed 0.5 per cent of gross lottery game sales is appropriated for payment of sales commissions to ticket retailers. The combined amount is currently estimated to be 6.625 per cent of total ticket sales, or \$16,549,400 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$16,257,900 in fiscal year 2002-2003.

Of the amount appropriated for compulsive gambling treatment and information, fifty per cent shall be used to contract for a statewide toll free crisis hotline to promote public education and awareness about compulsive gambling problems and to provide public information on gambling addiction. The remaining fifty per cent of the appropriated amount shall be used to contract for the treatment of individuals who are compulsive gamblers.

Sec. 60. MEDICAL EXAMINERS BOARD

43		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002 - 03</u>
44	FTE positions	58.5	58.5
45	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 4,536,000** \$	4,537,600

- 77 -

1	Fund sources:		4 505 000		1 507 500
2	Board of medical examiners fund	\$	4,536,000	\$	4,537,600
3	Performance measures:				
4	Number of licensees (new and existing)		16.250		16 645
5	M.D.		16,359		16,645
6	P.A.		1,075		1,118
7	Number of complaints received about licensees		1,025		1,230
8	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		180		180
9	M.D.		140		140
10 11	P.A. Number of investigations of licensess		850		1020
12	Number of investigations of licensees Average calendar days to renew a license		030		1020
	(from receipt of application to issuance)		15		15
13	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		6.5		6.9
14	Administration as a per cent of total cost		6.0		6.0
15	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) Sec. 61. BOARD OF MEDICAL STUDENT LOANS		0.0		0.0
16	Sec. DI. BUAKU UF MEDICAL STUDENT LUANS		2001-02		2002-03
17	Medical student leans	\$	350,400	\$	361,500
18	Medical student loans Fund sources:	,	350,400	*	301,300
19		\$	285,500	\$	353,600
20	State general fund Medical student loan fund	•	64,900	*	7,900
21 22	Performance measures:		04,500		7,500
23	Number of physicians who have finished school				
23 24	and are currently fulfilling service oblig	ati	on 13		13
	Cumulative per cent of physicians providing	acı	011 13		15
25 26	service with contracts under new law		100		100
20 27	Number of physicians continuing service		100		100
21 28	after service requirement is met		16		18
	Administration as a per cent of total cost		0.4		0.4
29	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
30	Sec. 62. STATE MINE INSPECTOR		0.0		0.0
31	Sec. oz. STATE MINE INSPECTOR		2001 - 02		2002-03
32	FTE positions		19.0		19.0
33 34	Lump sum appropriation	\$		\$	
34 35	Abandoned mines safety fund	•	1,200,000	•	1,100,000
36	deposit		30,000		30,000
30 37	Total appropriation – state mine inspector	\$		\$	
38	Performance measures:	•	1,230,000	•	1,210,000
39	Per cent of mandated inspections completed		84		83
40	Reportable accidents		75		80
41	Number of miners and contractors trained		4,500		4,500
42	Number of inspections		450		450
43	Administration as a per cent of total cost		23.7		23.7
43 44	Customer satisfaction rating for mines		2017		20.7
45	(Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
43	(Scale I O)		0.0		0.0

1	Sec. 63.	DEPARTMENT OF MINES AND MINERAL RES	OURCE:	S		
2				2001-02		2002-03
3		FTE positions		8.0		8.0
4		Lump sum appropriation	\$	725,600	\$	718,300
5	Per	formance measures:				
6	Files, bo	oks and specimens collected or				
7	donate	•		300		300
8	General m	useum visitors		35,000		35,000
9	Total num	ber of volunteer hours		8,757		8,757
10	Per cent	of customers and stakeholders				
11	satisf	ied with information received		98		98
12	Administr	ation as a per cent of total cost		7.9		7.9
13	Sec. 64.	NATUROPATHIC PHYSICIANS BOARD OF ME	DICAL	EXAMINERS		
14				<u> 2001-02</u>		<u> 2002 - 03</u>
15		FTE positions		3.0		3.0
16		Personal services	\$	93,000	\$	93,000
17		Employee related expenditures		11,800		11,900
18		All other operating expenditures		52,600		52,600
19		Inspection and evaluation		50,000		50,000
20	Total app	propriation – naturopathic				
21	phy	sicians board of medical examiners	\$	207,400**	\$	207,500
22	Fur	nd sources:		·		
23		Naturopathic physicians board of				
24		medical examiners fund	\$	207,400	\$	207,500
25	Pei	rformance measures:				
26	Number of	f licensees (new and existing)		540		734
27	Number of	f complaints received about licensees	;	18		22
28	Average (calendar days to resolve a complaint		120		120
29	Number of	f inspections		120		160
30	Average (calendar days to renew a license				
31	(from	receipt of application to issuance)		180		180
32	Administ	ration as a per cent of total cost		13		13
33	Customer	satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
34	The	e naturopathic physicians board of med	ical	examiners sh	all	report all
35	expendi t	ures in the prior fiscal year from	the i	nspection a	nd	evaluation
36	special 1	line item to the joint legislative bud	get c	ommittee by	Augu	ıst 1, 2002
37	and Augu	st 1, 2003.				
38	0f	the appropriated amount, \$5,900	rever	ts to the	na	turopathic
39	physicia	ns board of medical examiners fund in	each	year if the	dep	partment of
40	administ	ration does not reclassify the secret	tary p	osition.		
41	Sec. 65.	ARIZONA NAVIGABLE STREAM ADJUDICATI	ION CO	MMISSION		
42				2001-02		<u> 2002-03</u>
43		FTE positions		2.0		- 0 -
44		Lump sum appropriation	\$	185,800	\$	- 0 -

1	Performance measures:				
2	Major watercourse reports and recommendations				
3	to the legislature		0		0
4	Small and minor watercourse reports and				
5	recommendations to the legislature		15,760		0
6	Cost per county to study watercourses	\$	31,400	\$	- 0 -
7	Administration as a per cent of total cost		12.3		0
8	Customer satisfaction rating for hearing				
9	attendees (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
10	Sec. 66. NURSING BOARD				
11			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
12	FTE positions		46.2		39.2
13	Lump sum appropriation	\$	2,985,300**	\$	2,559,200
14	Fund sources:				
15	State general fund	\$	132,000	\$	132,000
16	Board of nursing fund		2,853,300		2,427,200
17	Performance measures:				
18	Number of licensees (new and existing)		41,600		41,600
19	Number of complaints received about licensees		2,160		2,160
20	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		200		180
21	Number of investigations of licensees		3,500		3,500
22	Average calendar days to renew a license				
23	(from receipt of application to issuance)		10		10
24	Administration as a per cent of total cost		8.9		9.3
25	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
26	Sec. 67. BOARD OF EXAMINERS OF NURSING CARE I	INST	ITUTION ADMIN	IIST	RATORS AND
27	ASSISTED LIVING FACILITY MANAGERS				
28			<u> 2001-02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
29	FTE positions		5.0		5.0
30	Lump sum appropriation	\$	351,400**	\$	330,400
31	Fund sources:				
32	Nursing care institution				
33	administrators' licensing and				
34	assisted living facility				
35	managers' certification fund	1	351,400	\$	330,400
36	Performance measures:				
37	Number of licensees (new and existing)		4,300		4,800
38	Number of complaints received about licensees		176		196
39	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		90		90
40	Number of investigations		96		105
41	Average calendar days to renew a license				
42	(from receipt of application to issuance)		60		60
43	Administration as a per cent of total cost		5.0		5.0
44	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0

1	Sec. 68.	OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIE	W B0	ARD		
2			-,	2001-02		2002-03
3		Lump sum appropriation	\$	7,000	\$	7,000
4	Sec. 69.	OCCUPATIONAL THERAPY EXAMINERS BOARD		.,	•	,,,,,,
5				<u> 2001 - 02</u>		2002-03
6		FTE positions		3.0		3.0
7		Lump sum appropriation	\$		\$	203,300
8	Fun	d sources:	•	203,.00	•	200,000
9	· un	Occupational therapy fund	\$	209,400	\$	203,300
10	Par	formance measures:	•	203,100	•	200,000
11		licensees (new and existing)		1,682		1,837
12		complaints received about licensees		14		17
13		alendar days to resolve a complaint		120		120
14	-	investigations of licensees		14		17
15		alendar days to renew a license		17		17
16	•	receipt of application to issuance)		30		30
17		ation as a per cent of total cost		9.2		7.8
18		satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
19		STATE BOARD OF DISPENSING OPTICIANS		0.0		0.0
20	sec. 70.	STATE BUARD OF DISPENSING OFFICIANS		2001-02		2002-03
21		FTE positions		1.0		1.0
22		Lump sum appropriation	\$	94,200**	ŧ	94,400
23	Eur	d sources:	*	94,200	*	34,400
	run		_		_	
21		Poand of dichoncing onticians fund	ŧ	01 200	· ·	OA AOO
24	Dor	Board of dispensing opticians fund	\$	94,200	\$	94,400
25		formance measures:	\$		\$	•
25 26	Number of	formance measures: licensees (new and existing)	\$	1,076	\$	1,131
25 26 27	Number of Number of	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees	\$	1,076 25	Ş	1,131 25
25 26 27 28	Number of Number of Average o	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint	\$	1,076 25 90	\$	1,131 25 90
25 26 27 28 29	Number of Number of Average of Number of	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations	\$	1,076 25 90 25	\$	1,131 25 90 25
25 26 27 28 29 30	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees alendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days	\$	1,076 25 90 25 90	\$	1,131 25 90 25 90
25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administr	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days cation as a per cent of total cost	\$	1,076 25 90 25 90 6.0	\$	1,131 25 90 25 90 6.0
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administr Customer	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days ration as a per cent of total cost satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	\$	1,076 25 90 25 90	S	1,131 25 90 25 90
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administr Customer	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days cation as a per cent of total cost		1,076 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0	\$	1,131 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administr Customer	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days ration as a per cent of total cost satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY		1,076 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0	\$	1,131 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administr Customer	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days ration as a per cent of total cost satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY FTE positions		1,076 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2001-02 2.0		1,131 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2002-03 2.0
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administr Customer Sec. 71.	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days ration as a per cent of total cost satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY FTE positions Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,076 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0		1,131 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administr Customer Sec. 71.	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days ration as a per cent of total cost satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY FTE positions Lump sum appropriation and sources:	\$	1,076 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2001-02 2.0 156,600**	\$	1,131 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2002-03 2.0 141,400
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administr Customer Sec. 71.	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days ration as a per cent of total cost satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY FTE positions Lump sum appropriation and sources: Board of optometry fund		1,076 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2001-02 2.0		1,131 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2002-03 2.0
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administr Customer Sec. 71.	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days ration as a per cent of total cost satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY FTE positions Lump sum appropriation and sources: Board of optometry fund rformance measures:	\$	1,076 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2001-02 2.0 156,600**	\$	1,131 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2002-03 2.0 141,400
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administr Customer Sec. 71.	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days ration as a per cent of total cost satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY FIE positions Lump sum appropriation and sources: Board of optometry fund rformance measures: f licensees (new and existing)	\$	1,076 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2001-02 2.0 156,600**	\$	1,131 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2002-03 2.0 141,400 141,400
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administrations of Sec. 71.	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days ration as a per cent of total cost satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY FTE positions Lump sum appropriation and sources: Board of optometry fund rformance measures: f licensees (new and existing) f complaints received about licensees	\$	1,076 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2001-02 2.0 156,600** 156,600	\$	1,131 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2002-03 2.0 141,400 141,400
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administrations (Customer Sec. 71.) Further of Number of Number of Average	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days ration as a per cent of total cost satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY FIE positions Lump sum appropriation and sources: Board of optometry fund rformance measures: f licensees (new and existing) f complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint	\$	1,076 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2001-02 2.0 156,600** 156,600	\$	1,131 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2002-03 2.0 141,400 141,400 750 100 90
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administration Customer Sec. 71. Fun Per Number of Number of Number of Average Number of Number	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days ration as a per cent of total cost satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY FIE positions Lump sum appropriation and sources: Board of optometry fund rformance measures: f licensees (new and existing) f complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint f investigations	\$	1,076 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2001-02 2.0 156,600** 156,600	\$	1,131 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2002-03 2.0 141,400 141,400
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Number of Number of Average of Number of Per cent Administration Customer Sec. 71. Further of Number of Number of Average Number of Average	formance measures: licensees (new and existing) complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint investigations of renewals within 3 days ration as a per cent of total cost satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) STATE BOARD OF OPTOMETRY FIE positions Lump sum appropriation and sources: Board of optometry fund rformance measures: f licensees (new and existing) f complaints received about licensees calendar days to resolve a complaint	\$	1,076 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2001-02 2.0 156,600** 156,600	\$	1,131 25 90 25 90 6.0 6.0 2002-03 2.0 141,400 141,400 750 100 90

1	Administration as a per cent of total cost		5.0		5.0 6.0
2 3	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) Sec. 72. OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS BOARD		6.0		0.0
3 4	Sec. 72. OSTEOFATRIC EXAMINERS DOARD		2001-02		2002-03
5	FTE positions		8.0		8.0
6	Lump sum appropriation	\$	379,800	\$	380,300
7	Health crisis fund repayment	•	85,000	•	- 0 -
8	Total appropriation - osteopathic examiners				
9	board	\$	464,800**	\$	380,300
10	Fund sources:		·		
11	Board of osteopathic examiners fund	\$	464,800	\$	380,300
12	Performance measures:				
13	Number of licensees (new and existing)		1,790		1,815
14	Number of complaints received about licensees		250		250
15	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		180		180
16	Number of investigations of licensees		200		200
17	Average calendar days to renew a license				
18	(from receipt of application to issuance)		15		15
19	Administration as a per cent of total cost		0.34		0.34
20	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
21	Sec. 73. STATE PARKS BOARD				
22			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
23	FTE positions		235.0		235.0
24	Lump sum appropriation	\$	11,440,600	\$	11,533,700
25	Kartchner caverns		1,305,900	_	1,307,400
26	Total appropriation – state parks board	\$	12,746,500	\$	12,841,100
27	Fund sources:				
28	State general fund	\$	7,510,600	\$	7,525,600
29	State parks enhancement fund		3,925,000		3,972,800
30	Law enforcement and boating				
31	safety fund		1,060,900		1,092,700
32	Reservation surcharge revolving				
33	fund		250,000		250,000
34	Performance measures:				
35	Annual park attendance		2,450,000		2,500,000
36	Per cent of park visitors rating their		0.0		0.5
37	experience "good" or "excellent"		96		96
38	Average cost per state park visitors	\$	0.22	\$	0.17
39	New acres of open space and parkland		7.000		7 000
40	dedicated in Arizona		7,000		7,000
41	Per cent of agency staff turnover		9.2		9.2
42	Administration as a per cent of total cost		5.9	_	5.9
43	The appropriation for law enforcement an				
44	is an estimate representing all monies distri				
45	balance forward, revenue and transfers duri	ng	Tiscal year	20	01-2002 and

fiscal year 2002-2003. These monies are appropriated to the Arizona state parks board for the purposes established in section 5-383, Arizona Revised Statutes. The appropriation shall be adjusted as necessary to reflect actual final receipts credited to the law enforcement and boating safety fund.

All other operating expenditures include \$26,000 from the state parks enhancement fund for Fool Hollow state park revenue sharing. If receipts to Fool Hollow exceed \$260,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 or fiscal year 2002-2003, an additional ten per cent of this increase of Fool Hollow receipts is appropriated from the state parks enhancement fund to meet the revenue sharing agreement with the city of Show Low and the United States forest service.

All reservation surcharge revolving fund receipts received by the Arizona state parks board in excess of \$250,000 in fiscal year 2002 and \$250,000 in fiscal year 2003 are appropriated to the reservation surcharge revolving fund special line item. Before the expenditure of any reservation surcharge revolving fund monies in excess of \$250,000 in fiscal year 2002 and \$250,000 in fiscal year 2003, the Arizona state parks board shall submit the intended use of the monies for review by the joint legislative budget committee.

Sec. 74. PERSONNEL BOARD

	<u> 2001 - 02</u>	2002-03
FTE positions	3.0	3.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 396,600	\$ 392,700
Performance measures:		
Appeals/complaints filed	89	89
Average number of calendar days from		
receipt of appeal/complaint to final	105	105
Average cost of an appeal/complaint	\$ 1,642	\$ 1,726
Per cent of customers rating service as		
"good" or "excellent"	98	98
Administration as a per cent of total cost	19.4	19.1
Sec. 75. PHARMACY BOARD		
	<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
FTE positions	17.0	17.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 1,252,000**	\$ 1,178,200
Fund sources:		
Board of pharmacy fund	\$ 1,252,000	\$ 1,178,200
Performance measures:		
Number of licensees (new and existing)	12,000	12,000
Number of complaints received about licensees	120	120
Average calendar days to resolve a complaint	120	120
Number of inspections of licensees	3,047	3,047
Average calendar days to renew a license		

1 Administration as a per cent of total cost 0.3 2 Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) 6.0	0.3
L GUSCOME SUCCESSION SUCCESSION (SUCCESSION LANGUAGE LOS)	6.0
3 Sec. 76. BOARD OF PHYSICAL THERAPY	
	2002-03
5 FTE positions 3.0	3.0
	22,800
7 Fund sources:	
8 Board of physical therapy fund \$ 223,000 \$ 2	22,800
9 Performance measures:	
10 Number of licensees (new and existing) 3,100	3,100
11 Number of complaints received about licensees 15	15
12 Average calendar days to resolve a complaint 75	75
13 Number of investigations 15	15
14 Average calendar days to renew a license	
15 (from receipt of application to issuance) 1.0	1.0
16 Administration as a per cent of total cost 8.0	8.0
17 Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8) 6.0	6.0
18 Sec. 77. PIONEERS' HOME	
19 <u>2001-02</u> <u>2</u>	2002-03
20 FTE positions 117.4	117.4
21 Personal services \$ 2,967,500 \$ 2,9	967,500
Employee related expenditures 817,800	324,600
Professional and outside services 162,700	162,700
24 Travel in-state 19,200	19,200
25 Food 231,800	231,800
Other operating expenditures 443,800	452,000
27 Equipment 234,300	- 0 -
28 Prescription drugs <u>436,400</u>	436,400
29 Total appropriation - pioneers' home \$ 5,313,500 \$ 5,0	094,200
30 Fund sources:	
31 State general fund \$ 2,664,300 \$ 2,6	679,300
32 Miners' hospital fund 1,934,300 1,	700,000
33 State charitable fund 714,900	714,900
34 Performance measures:	
35 DHS quality rating (excellent, standard	
36 or substandard) Excellent Ex	cellent
37 Per cent of residents rating services as	
38 "good" or "excellent" 98	98
39 Monthly cost per resident \$3,110	\$3,110
40 Average monthly payment per resident \$650	\$650
41 Per cent of agency staff turnover 16	16
42 Administration as a per cent of total cost 8.4	8.4
In addition to the amounts appropriated, earnings on state la	nds and
44 interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropria	ted for

the pioneers' home and the hospital for disabled miners in compliance with the enabling act and the constitution.

Any monies appropriated for nurses' stipends that are not spent on nurses' stipends shall revert to the state general fund at the end of the fiscal year in which the monies were appropriated.

The pioneers' home shall not exceed its expenditure authority for monies appropriated from the miners' hospital for disabled miners' land fund.

The governor's office for excellence in government shall prepare a report exploring the viability of privatizing the Arizona pioneers' home. This report will, at a minimum, discuss the potential costs and benefits of privatization and the legal issues pertaining to a private entity's use of the miners' hospital fund. The report shall be submitted to the joint legislative budget committee no later than June 30, 2002.

Before the expenditure of the \$44,700 appropriated for nursing assistant stipends, the Arizona pioneers' home shall obtain approval from the Arizona department of administration for the alternative salary structure for nursing assistants.

Sec. 78. STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY EXAMINERS

		<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
FTE positions		1.0		1.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$	94,800**	\$	94,800
Fund sources:				
Podiatry fund	\$	94,800	\$	94,800
Performance measures:				
Number of licensees (new and existing)		310		310
Number of complaints received about licensees		29		29
Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		90		90
Number of investigations		29		29
Average days to process an application				
for licensure (from receipt of application				
to issuance)		60		60
Administration as a per cent of total cost		6.0		6.0
Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
Sec. 79. COMMISSION FOR POSTSECONDARY EDUCATI	ON			
		<u> 2001 - 02</u>		2002-03
FTE positions		6.0		6.0
Personal services	\$	180,000	. \$	180,000
Employee related expenditures		34,300		34,400
All other operating expenditures		104,200		104,200
Leveraging educational assistance				
partnership		3,364,500		3,364,500
Private postsecondary education				
student financial assistance				
program		400,000		400,000
family college savings program		85,100		85,100

25,000
74,500
231,200
4,498,900
1,723,800
2,775,100
3,900
130,500
6,838
10,000
85
4.0

The appropriation for leveraging educational assistance partnership is to be used to make grants under the Arizona state student incentive grant program administered by the Arizona commission for postsecondary education. Grants may be made according to the provisions of applicable federal and state laws and regulations relating to this program to Arizona residents who demonstrate financial need and who are attending, on at least a half-time basis, an approved program at a properly accredited Arizona postsecondary educational institution.

Each participating institution, public or private, in order to be eligible to receive state matching funds under the state student incentive grant program for grants to students, shall provide an amount of institutional matching funds that equals the amount of funds provided by the state to the institution for the state student incentive grant program. Administrative expenses incurred by the commission for postsecondary education shall be paid from institutional matching funds and shall not exceed twelve per cent of the funds in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003.

For fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, any unencumbered balance remaining in the postsecondary education fund on June 30, 2001 and June 30, 2002, respectively, and all grant monies and other revenues received by the commission for postsecondary education during this fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for the explicit purposes designated by special line items and for additional responsibilities prescribed in sections 15-1851 and 15-1852, Arizona Revised Statutes.

- 86 -

Of the total amount appropriated for the private postsecondary education student financial assistance program, no more than ten per cent may be used for program administrative costs.

The appropriations for Arizona college and career guide, Arizona minority educational policy analysis center and twelve plus partnership are estimates representing all monies distributed to this fund, including balance forward, revenue and transfers, during fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003. The appropriations shall be adjusted as necessary to reflect actual final receipts credited to the postsecondary education fund.

Sec. 80. STATE BOARD FOR PRIVATE POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION

11			2001-02	<u> 2002-03</u>
12	FTE positions		4.0	4.0
13	Lump sum appropriation	\$	243,200**	\$ 247,600
14	Fund sources:			
15	Board for private postsecondary			
16	education fund	\$	243,200	\$ 247,600
17	Performance measures:			
18	Institutional licenses approved		350	350
19	Number of student and consumer complaints			
20	received		40	40
21	Average number of days to pay fund claims		90	90
22	Number of institutional inspections		100	100
23	Average days to process student record request	ts	21	21
24	Administration as a per cent of total cost		16	16
25	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		6.0	6.0
26	Sec. 81. STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGIST EXAMINE	RS		
27			<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002 - 03</u>
28	FTE positions		4.0	4.0
29	Lump sum appropriation	\$	300,600**	\$ 316,200
30	Fund sources:			
31	Board of psychologist examiners			
32	fund	\$	300,600	\$ 316,200
33	Performance measures:			
34	Number of licensees (new and existing)		1,735	1,819
35	Number of complaints received about licensees		30	30
36	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		90	90
37	Number of investigations		30	30
38	Average days to process an application			
39	for licensure (from receipt of			
40	application to issuance)		45	45
41	Administration as a per cent of total cost		7.0	7.0
42	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)		6.0	6.0

- 87 -

1	Sec. 82. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY		
2		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	2002-03
3	Agency support	<u> </u>	3332 33
4	FTE positions	194.5	194.5
5	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 21,113,700	\$ 21,171,000
6	Fund sources:		
7	State general fund	\$ 21,020,300	\$ 21,077,000
8	Criminal justice enhancement fund	93,400	94,000
9	Highway patrol		
10	FTE positions	835.0	836.0
11	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 53,018,000	\$ 53,349,600
12	Fund sources:		
13	State general fund	\$ 12,499,000	\$ 12,538,200
14	State highway fund	12,730,100	12,813,900
15	Highway user revenue fund	15,981,800	16,105,500
16	Arizona highway patrol fund	10,969,300	11,054,000
17	Safety enforcement and transportati	on	
18	infrastructure fund	750,000	750,000
19	Criminal justice enhancement fund	87,800	88,000
20	<u>Criminal investigations</u>		
21	FTE positions	314.0	314.0
22	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 15,368,800	\$ 15,350,300
23	GITEM	6,134,600	6,139,500
24	Total – criminal investigations	\$ 21,503,400	\$ 21,489,800
25	<u>Criminal justice support</u>		
26	FTE positions	435.3	448.3
27	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 29,691,500	\$ 31,922,000
28	Fingerprint board	<u> 158,900</u>	<u>159,600</u>
29	Total – criminal justice support	\$ 29,850,400	\$ 32,081,600
30	Fund sources:		
31	State general fund	\$ 21,095,200	\$ 23,259,800
32	Arizona highway patrol fund	524,900	527,200
33	Crime laboratory assessment fund	3,618,300	3,724,000
34	Automated fingerprint identification		
35	fund	2,158,800	2,108,200
36	Arizona deoxyribonucleic acid		
37	identification fund	416,300	417,500
38	Fingerprint clearance card fund	35,500	35,500
39	Criminal justice enhancement fund	1,939,700	1,947,000
40	Board of fingerprinting fund	61,700	62,400
41	Governor's office of highway safety		
42	FTE positions	4.0	4.0
43	Lump sum appropriation	<u>\$ 232,500</u>	<u>\$ 233,300</u>

1	Fund sources:				
2	State highway fund	\$	232,500	\$	233,300
3	Total appropriation - department of public				
4	safety	\$125	,718,000	\$12	8,325,300
5	Fund sources:				
6	State general fund	\$ 76	,117,900	\$ 7	8,364,800
7	Highway user revenue fund	15	,981,800	1	6,105,500
8	State highway fund	12	,962,600	1	3,047,200
9	Arizona highway patrol fund	11	,494,200	1	1,581,200
10	Criminal justice enhancement fund	2	,120,900		2,129,000
11	Safety enforcement and transportation	n			
12	infrastructure fund		750,000		750,000
13	Crime laboratory assessment fund	3	,618,300		3,724,000
14	Arizona deoxyribonucleic acid				
15	identification fund		416,300		417,500
16	Automated fingerprint identification	1			
17	fund	2	,158,800		2,108,200
18	Fingerprint clearance card fund		35,500		35,500
19	Board of fingerprinting fund		61,700		62,400
20	Performance measures:				
21	Fatal highway crashes		352		352
22	Per cent of total highway crashes related				
23	to alcohol		15		15
24	Per cent of scientific analysis cases over				
25	30 calendar days old		3.0	ı	1.0
26	Per cent of system reliability of the Arizona				
27	automated fingerprint identification netwo	rk	98	,	98
28	Clandestine labs dismantled		440		460
29	Per cent of agency staff turnover		5.0	J	5.0
30	Administration as a per cent of total cost		13.9	t	13.9
31	Customer satisfaction rating for citizens				
32	(Scale 1-8)		6.0)	6.0
33	Any monies remaining in the department of	of pu	blic safe	ty joi	nt account
34	on June 30, 2002 and June 30, 2003 shall rever	t to	the funds	s from	which they
35	were appropriated. The reverted monies s	hall	be ret	urned	in direct
36	proportion to the amounts appropriated.				
37	The \$61,700 for fingerprinting in fiscal	year	2001-200	2 and f	iscal year
38	2002-2003 is appropriated from the state g	enera	1 fund	to the	board of
39	fingerprinting fund and is further appropr	iated	d from t	the fur	nd to the
40	fingerprint board special line item for purp	oses	as set	forth '	by section
41	41-619.56, Arizona Revised Statutes.				
42	Sec. 83. ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF RACING				
43			<u> 2001 - 02</u>	2	<u> 2002 - 03</u>
44	FTE positions		54.8	3	54.8
45	Lump sum appropriation	\$	3,173,000	0 \$	3,173,300

1	Fund sources:			
2	State general fund	\$	2,789,800	\$ 2,790,100
3	County fair racing fund		322,400	322,400
4	Racing administration fund		60,800	60,800
5	Performance measures:			
6	Per cent of horse racing customers reporting			
7	very good or excellent service		94	95
8	Per cent of greyhound racing customers			
9	reporting very good or excellent service		94	95
10	Per cent of positive horse drug tests		0.9	0.9
11	Per cent of positive greyhound drug tests		0.1	0.1
12	Administration as a per cent of total cost		31	31
13	Sec. 84. RADIATION REGULATORY AGENCY			
14			<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
15	FTE positions		27.0	27.0
16	Lump sum appropriation	\$	1,287,000	\$ 1,287,400
17	Medical radiologic technology			
18	board of examiners		192,000	 192,000
19	Total appropriation – radiation			
20	regulatory agency	\$	1,479,000	\$ 1,479,400
21	Fund sources:			
22	State general fund	\$	1,287,000	\$ 1,287,400
23	State radiologic technologist			
24	certification fund		192,000	192,000
25	Performance measures:			
26	Per cent of x-ray tubes inspected		22	26
27	Per cent of x-ray tubes inspected on time		97	98
28	Radiological incidents (non-Palo Verde relate	d)	16	16
29	Radiological incidents (Palo Verde)		2	2
30	Administration as a per cent of total cost		18	17
31	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	_	6.0	6.0
32	Sec. 85. GOVERNOR - ARIZONA RANGERS' PENSION	2	0001 00	0000 00
33	t		<u>2001 - 02</u>	<u>2002-03</u>
34	Lump sum appropriation	\$	11,800	\$ 12,000
35	Sec. 86. REAL ESTATE DEPARTMENT		0001 00	2000 02
36	FTF positions		2001-02	2002-03
37	FTE positions		67.0	67.0
38	Lump sum appropriation	\$	3,205,700	\$ 3,173,600
39	Performance measures:			
40	Average days from receipt to approval of		2.0	2.0
41	continuing education course		3.0	3.0
42	Average days from receipt to issuance of		2.0	2.0
43	license reports		3.0	3.0
44	Per cent of surveys from licensees indicating	,	٥٢	0.5
45	good to excellent service		95	95

1	Average days from receipt of complaint to				
2	resolution		120		120
3	Number of working days to issue public reports				
4	for improved lot subdivision		30		30
5	Per cent of licensees with disciplinary action		0.3		0.3
6	Administration as a per cent of total cost		19.4		19.7
7	Sec. 87. RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE				
8			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		2002-03
9	FTE positions		12.0		12.0
10	Lump sum appropriation	\$	923,700	\$	923,400
11	Professional witnesses		145,000*		145,000*
12	Total appropriation – residential utility				
13	consumer office	\$	1,068,700	\$	1,068,400
14	Fund sources:				
15	Residential utility consumer office				
16	revolving fund	\$	1,068,700	\$	1,068,400
17	Performance measures:				
18	Number of cases analyzed		105		115
19	Number of interventions in rate making		12		14
20	Average rate increase requested by utilities	\$	6,000,000	\$	6,000,000
21	Average rate increase recommended by RUCO	\$	1,800,000	\$	1,800,000
22	Average rate increase approved by				
23	corporation commission	\$	3,400,000	\$	3,000,000
24	Administration as a per cent of total cost		4.4		4.4
25	Customer satisfaction rating for residential				
26	utility customers (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
27	It is the intent of the legislature that	the	e salary rang	e fo	or attorney
28	positions in the residential utility consumer of)ff	ice be increa:	sed	to a level
29	equivalent to other agencies with similar pos-	iti	ons. The new	ı sa	lary range
30	shall not be implemented without approval b	y ·	the Arizona	dep	artment of
31	administration.				
32	Sec. 88. BOARD OF RESPIRATORY CARE EXAMINERS				
33			<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002 - 03</u>
34	FTE positions		4.0		4.0
35	Lump sum appropriation	\$	169,300**	\$	169,200
36	Fund sources:				
37	Board of respiratory care examiners	•			
38	fund	\$	169,300	\$	169,200
39	Performance measures:				
40	Number of licensees (new and existing)		3,650		3,650
41	Number of complaints received about licensees		118		120
42	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		60		60
43	Number of investigations of licensees		110		110
44	Average calendar days to renew a license				
45	(from receipt of application to issuance)		1.0		1.0

1 2	Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)	1.3 6.0	1.3 6.0
3	Sec. 89. STATE RETIREMENT SYSTEM	0.0	0.0
4	· ·	2001-02	2002-03
5	FTE positions	168.0	168.0
6	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 14,536,400	\$ 14,108,300
7	Information technology plan	9,000,000	9,000,000
8	Total appropriation - state retirement system		\$ 23,108,300
9	Fund sources:	,,	,,
10	State retirement system		
11	administration account	\$ 21,249,700	\$ 20,684,400
12	Long-term disability		
13	administration account	2,286,700	2,423,900.
14	Performance measures:		, ,
15	Per cent of members satisfied with ASRS		
16	telephone services	85	85
17	Per cent of members satisfied with the		
18	service purchase process	85	85
19	Per cent of investment returns	8.0	8.0
20	Per cent of liability funded	100	100
21	Per cent of benefit payment calculations		
22	that are accurate as measured by quality		
23	control sample	96	96
24	Before the expenditure of the \$18,000,0	000 biennial app	ropriation and
25	the hiring of FTE positions appropriated t	for the agency'	s information
26	technology plan, the retirement system shall	present an expen	diture plan to
27	the joint legislative budget committee staff	f for review.	The retirement
28	system shall include the approval of the pro		
29	of occur strain increase one approval of the pro	ject investment	justification
	document by the information technology authorize	-	•
30	•	zation committee	as part of its
30 31	document by the information technology authoriz	zation committee mmittee staff.	as part of its On review, the
	document by the information technology authorize submission to the joint legislative budget con	zation committee mmittee staff. the joint legi	as part of its On review, the slative budget
31	document by the information technology authorize submission to the joint legislative budget con agency shall provide semi-annual reports to	zation committee mmittee staff. the joint legi nd project task	as part of its On review, the slative budget s completed to
31 32	document by the information technology authorize submission to the joint legislative budget contagency shall provide semi-annual reports to committee staff regarding the expenditures a	zation committee mmittee staff. the joint legi nd project task is exempt from	as part of its On review, the slative budget s completed to the provisions
31 32 33 34 35	document by the information technology authorize submission to the joint legislative budget considered shall provide semi-annual reports to committee staff regarding the expenditures a date. Funding appropriated for this purpose of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statut appropriations through June 30, 2005. Actual	zation committee mmittee staff. the joint legi nd project task is exempt from tes, relating divestiture of a	as part of its On review, the slative budget s completed to the provisions to lapsing of nonies from the
31 32 33 34 35 36	document by the information technology authorize submission to the joint legislative budget considered shall provide semi-annual reports to committee staff regarding the expenditures a date. Funding appropriated for this purpose of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statut appropriations through June 30, 2005. Actual retirement fund for expenditure shall occur for the submission of the subm	zation committee mmittee staff. the joint legind project task is exempt from tes, relating divestiture of a	as part of its On review, the slative budget s completed to the provisions to lapsing of monies from the
31 32 33 34 35 36 37	document by the information technology authorize submission to the joint legislative budget considered agency shall provide semi-annual reports to committee staff regarding the expenditures a date. Funding appropriated for this purpose of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statut appropriations through June 30, 2005. Actual retirement fund for expenditure shall occur founded to committee staff review of the agency's	zation committee mmittee staff. the joint legind project task is exempt from tes, relating divestiture of a	as part of its On review, the slative budget s completed to the provisions to lapsing of monies from the
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	document by the information technology authorize submission to the joint legislative budget considered shall provide semi-annual reports to committee staff regarding the expenditures a date. Funding appropriated for this purpose of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statut appropriations through June 30, 2005. Actual retirement fund for expenditure shall occur for the submission of the subm	zation committee mmittee staff. the joint legi nd project task is exempt from tes, relating divestiture of a collowing the joins information te	as part of its On review, the slative budget s completed to the provisions to lapsing of monies from the int legislative chnology plan.
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	document by the information technology authorize submission to the joint legislative budget considered shall provide semi-annual reports to committee staff regarding the expenditures a date. Funding appropriated for this purpose of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statut appropriations through June 30, 2005. Actual retirement fund for expenditure shall occur foundations through June 30, 2005. Sec. 90. DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE	zation committee mmittee staff. the joint legind project task is exempt from tes, relating divestiture of a following the join information te	as part of its On review, the slative budget is completed to the provisions to lapsing of monies from the int legislative chnology plan.
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	document by the information technology authorize submission to the joint legislative budget considered spency shall provide semi-annual reports to committee staff regarding the expenditures a date. Funding appropriated for this purpose of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statut appropriations through June 30, 2005. Actual retirement fund for expenditure shall occur found budget committee staff review of the agency's Sec. 90. DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE	zation committee mmittee staff. the joint legi nd project task is exempt from tes, relating divestiture of relation te $\frac{2001-02}{1,108.0}$	as part of its On review, the slative budget is completed to the provisions to lapsing of monies from the int legislative chnology plan. 2002-03 1,096.0
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	document by the information technology authorize submission to the joint legislative budget considered shall provide semi-annual reports to committee staff regarding the expenditures a date. Funding appropriated for this purpose of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statut appropriations through June 30, 2005. Actual retirement fund for expenditure shall occur found budget committee staff review of the agency's Sec. 90. DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE FTE positions Lump sum appropriation	zation committee mmittee staff. the joint legi nd project task is exempt from tes, relating divestiture of a collowing the joint information te $\frac{2001-02}{1,108.0}$ \$ 62,647,000	as part of its On review, the slative budget s completed to the provisions to lapsing of nonies from the ent legislative chnology plan. 2002-03 1,096.0 \$ 61,483,300
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	document by the information technology authorize submission to the joint legislative budget considered spency shall provide semi-annual reports to committee staff regarding the expenditures a date. Funding appropriated for this purpose of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statut appropriations through June 30, 2005. Actual retirement fund for expenditure shall occur found budget committee staff review of the agency's Sec. 90. DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE	zation committee mmittee staff. the joint legind project task is exempt from tes, relating divestiture of modern tes and the joint of the second second tes and the second testand test	as part of its On review, the slative budget is completed to the provisions to lapsing of monies from the int legislative chnology plan. 2002-03 1,096.0

1	Fund sources:		
2	State general fund	\$ 61,049,700	\$ 59,786,300
3	Tobacco tax and health care fund	393,800	393,800
4	Estate and unclaimed property fund	1,388,400	1,473,000
5	Liability setoff fund	360,400	375,500
6	Performance measures:		
7	Average calendar days to refund income tax	19.4	19.4
8	Total tax documents processed	5,800,000	5,800,000
9	Per cent of non-audit revenue to total		
10	revenue	97.2	97.3
11	Per cent of private taxpayer rulings		
12	completed within 45 calendar days		
13	of receipt	90	90
14	Per cent that collector contacts taxpayer		
15	within 30 calendar days of being		
16	assigned a delinquent account	75	95
17	Per cent of delinquent accounts collected	20	20
18	Per cent of agency staff turnover	16	16
19	Administration as a per cent of total cost	5.8	5.8
20	Customer satisfaction rating for taxpayer		
21	information section (Scale 1–8)	6.0	6.0
22	Before the expenditure of any of the \$33	9,100 appropriat	ed for computer
23	projects (without an approved project investme	nt justification	as of December
24	1, 2000) in fiscal year 2001-2002, the joint	t legislative bu	dget committee
25	staff shall review the department's plans	for the expend	iture of these
26	monies. Before the expenditure of any of the	\$339,100 appropr	iated for other
27	computer projects in fiscal year 2002-2003,	the joint legi	slative budget
28	committee staff shall review the department'	s plans for the	expenditure of
29	these monies.	·	·
30	The department of revenue may contract	with a third p	arty vendor to
31	accept credit card payment for taxes only if	there is no cos	st to the state
32	general fund for accepting credit card payment	s. The departme	nt shall report
33	to the joint legislative budget committee	by December 31,	, 2001 on this
34	program.		
35	Sec. 91. SCHOOL FACILITIES BOARD		
36		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
37	FTE positions	19.0	19.0
38	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 1,699,800	\$ 1,699,900
39	Performance measures:		
40	Per cent of school districts meeting		
41	minimum adequacy standards	NA	100
42	Per cent of schools annually inspected		
43	for adequacy	20	20
44	Per cent of inspected schools determined		
45	to have an adequate maintenance program	75	100
	•		

1	Don cont of cumulative emitical deficiency		
2	Per cent of cumulative critical deficiency	95	100
3	correction projects completed	95	100
	Per cent of cumulative non-critical	5 2	100
4	deficiency correction projects completed	53	100
5	Number of new school construction projects	5.0	0.5
6	completed	50	35
7	Per cent of school districts rating the		
8	board's services as "good" or "excellent"		
9	in an annual survey	80	90
10	Administration as a per cent of total		
11	cost (excluding deficiencies correction)	0.4	0.4
12	Sec. 92. DEPARTMENT OF STATE - SECRETARY OF ST		
13		<u> 2001-02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
14	FTE positions	43.0	43.0
15	All other lump sum appropriation	\$ 2,249,200	\$ 2,244,600
16	Elections	903,700	3,790,200
17-	Optical scan voting equipment	3,400,000	- 0 -
18	Total appropriation – secretary of state	\$ 6,552,900	\$ 6,034,800
19	Monies appropriated to the optical scan v	oting equipment	special line
20	item shall be non-lapsing until the end of fisc	cal year 2002-20	003.
21	Before spending any monies appropriated	to the optica	scan voting
22	equipment special line item the secretary	of state shall	1 submit an
23	expenditure plan to the joint legislative budge	et committee fo	r review
0.4			I CTICH.
24	For every dollar received from the fede		
24 25	For every dollar received from the fede voting equipment, one dollar from the optical s	ral government	for updating
		ral government can voting equi	for updating pment special
25	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical s	ral government can voting equi	for updating pment special
25 26	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical s line item shall revert to the general fund i	ral government can voting equi	for updating pment special
25 26 27	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical s line item shall revert to the general fund i federal money is provided.	ral government can voting equi	for updating pment special
25 26 27 28	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical s line item shall revert to the general fund i federal money is provided. Performance measures:	ral government can voting equi	for updating pment special
25 26 27 28 29	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical s line item shall revert to the general fund i federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public	ral government can voting equi	for updating pment special
25 26 27 28 29 30	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund if federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division)	ral government can voting equi n the fiscal y	for updating pment special rear that the
25 26 27 28 29 30 31	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund if federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services	ral government can voting equi n the fiscal y	for updating pment special rear that the
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division)	ral government can voting equi n the fiscal y	for updating pment special rear that the
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed	ral government can voting equi n the fiscal y	for updating pment special rear that the
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund if federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days	ral government can voting equi n the fiscal y 95	for updating pment special rear that the
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing	ral government can voting equi n the fiscal y 95 40 100	for updating pment special rear that the 95
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports	eral government can voting equing the fiscal yas seen to be seen t	for updating pment special rear that the 95 40 100 100
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund it federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronical	eral government can voting equing the fiscal yas seen to be seen t	for updating pment special rear that the 95 40 100 95
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund in federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronical Administration as a per cent of total cost	eral government can voting equion the fiscal yas seen to be seen t	for updating pment special rear that the 95 40 100 100
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund if federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronical Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating for county electi	ral government can voting equing the fiscal yang sequence of the fiscal yang sequence	for updating pment special rear that the 95 40 100 95 0
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund if federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronical Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating for county electiofficials (Scale 1-8)	eral government can voting equion the fiscal yas seen to be seen t	for updating pment special rear that the 95 40 100 95
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund if federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronical Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating for county electi	eral government can voting equion the fiscal yang sequence of the fiscal yang sequence	for updating pment special rear that the 95 40 100 95 0
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund if federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronical Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating for county electiofficials (Scale 1-8) Sec. 93. STATE BOARDS' OFFICE	95 40 100 100 1y 95 000 2001-02	for updating pment special rear that the rea
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	voting equipment, one dollar from the optical soline item shall revert to the general fund if federal money is provided. Performance measures: Per cent of documents returned to public filer in 48 hours (business services division) Per cent of all business documents filed electronically (business services division) Per cent of election law complaints reviewed and acted on within 7 days Per cent of candidates using diskette filing of campaign finance reports Per cent of agencies filing rules electronical Administration as a per cent of total cost Customer satisfaction rating for county electiofficials (Scale 1-8)	eral government can voting equion the fiscal yang sequence of the fiscal yang sequence	for updating pment special rear that the 95 40 100 95 0

1	Fund sources:			
2	Special services revolving fund	\$ 249,100	\$	249,100
3	Performance measures:			
4	Customer satisfaction rating for timeliness			
5	(Scale 1-8)	7.1		7.1
6	Customer satisfaction rating for			
7	responsiveness (Scale 1–8)	7.2		7.2
8	Customer satisfaction rating for			
9	customer-oriented (Scale 1-8)	7.2		7.2
10	Customer satisfaction rating for			
11	quality (Scale 1-8)	7.2		7.2
12	Customer satisfaction rating for			
13	accessibility (Scale 1–8)	7.3		7.3
14	Overall customer satisfaction rating			
15	(Scale 1-8)	7.2	•	7.2
16	Administration as a per cent of total cost	5.0		5.0
17	Sec. 94. STRUCTURAL PEST CONTROL COMMISSION			
18		<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002-03</u>
19	FTE positions	33.0		33.0
20	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 1,726,400**	\$	1,738,900
21	Fund sources:			
22	Structural pest control commission			
23	fund	\$ 1,726,400	\$	1,738,900
24	Performance measures:			
25	Number of licensees (new and existing)	6,500		6,500
26	Number of complaints received about licensees	160		160
27	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint	45		45
28	Number of inspections of licensees	1,600		1,600
29	Average calendar days to renew a license			
30	(from receipt of application to issuance)	30		30
31	Administration as a per cent of total cost	14.2		14.2
32	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)	6.0		6.0
33	Sec. 95. STATE BOARD OF TAX APPEALS			
34		<u> 2001 - 02</u>		<u> 2002 - 03</u>
35	FTE positions	5.0		5.0
36	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 314,000	\$	311,800
37	Performance measures:			
38	Tax appeals caseload	176		206
39	Tax appeals unresolved at fiscal year end	106		126
40	Months to process appeal	6.5		6.5
41	Per cent of rulings upheld in tax courts	90		90
42	Administration as a per cent of total cost	16.4		16.4
43	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1–8)	6.0		6.0

1	Sec. 96. BOARD OF TECHNICAL REGISTRATION				
2	occi 301 Bonno oi reamitone negrotianiton		2001-02		2002-03
3	FTE positions		18.0		19.0
4	Personal services	\$	590,100	\$	615,900
5	Employee related expenditures	•	147,000	•	153,700
6	All other operating expenditures		387,400		393,800
7	Total appropriation - board of technical				
8	registration	\$	1,124,500**	\$	1,163,400
9	Fund sources:	•	-,,		.,,
10	Technical registration fund	\$	1,124,500	\$	1,163,400
11	Performance measures:	•	-,,		_,
12	Number of licensees (new and existing)		25,400		26,700
13	Number of complaints received about licensees		224		224
14	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint		200		180
15	Number of investigations of licensees		260		260
16	Average calendar days to renew a license		200		200
17	(from receipt of application to issuance)		1.0		1.0
18	Administration as a per cent of total cost		15.3		15.3
19	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
20	Sec. 97. OFFICE OF TOURISM		0.0		0.0
21	Sec. 37. Office of Tourish		2001-02		2002-03
22	FTE positions		28.0		28.0
23	Lump sum appropriation	\$		\$	
24	Media advertising	•	6,044,900	•	6,788,100
25	Travel counseling and direct		0,011,500		0,,00,100
26	marketing		1,363,700		1,363,700
27	Travel industry marketing		1,002,700		1,002,700
28	Media communication		442,000		442,000
29	Research and data repository		241,500		241,500
30	Maricopa county tourism promotion		4,000,000		4,200,000
31	Total appropriation - office of tourism	\$	15,420,800	5	16,422,600
32	Fund sources:	•	10,110,000	•	10,122,000
33	State general fund	\$	11,420,800	\$	12,222,600
34	Tourism fund	•	4.000.000	•	4,200,000
35	Performance measures:		.,000,000		1,200,000
36	Number of domestic tourists		26,300,000		27,100,000
37	Number of requests for travel materials		500,000		500,000
38	Advertising cost per inquiry for travel		000,000		000,000
39	materials		\$8.00		\$8.00
40	Visitors at the welcome center		115,000		118,000
41	Administration as a per cent of total cost		4.4		4.4
42	Customer satisfaction rating for travel		7.7		7,7
43	kits program (Scale 1–8)		6.0		6.0
44	An amount equal to the revenues coll	ect		a i	
45	section 42-5029, Arizona Revised Statutes, i				•
40	SCOULDING TE JULY, MILLUNG NETTICE SCULUTES, I	J (ippi opi i accu		n the state

general fund to the tourism fund in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003. This amount is currently estimated to be \$11,420,800 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$12,222,600 in fiscal year 2002-2003.

All monies deposited into the tourism fund by legislative appropriation, or by transfer from the tourism and sports authority under the provisions of section 5-835, Arizona Revised Statutes, are appropriated for use by the office of tourism in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003. These amounts are currently estimated to be \$15,420,800 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$16,422,600 in fiscal year 2002-2003. This appropriation is not in addition to the amounts shown as lump sum and special line items. The amounts shown as lump sum and special line items are estimates of the appropriation that will be provided under current law.

The office of tourism shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by November 1, 2001, and by November 1, 2002 as to how any additional funds that become available to the agency through the statutory funding formula, in excess of the estimates included in the general appropriations act, will be used. If available funds are less than what are displayed in this act, the office of tourism will instead report where the expenditure reductions will occur.

Sec. 98. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

	<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002 - 03</u>
<u>Administration</u>		•
FTE positions	467.0	452.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$ 57,262,300	\$ 51,786,400
Fund sources:		
State highway fund	\$ 57,214,900	\$ 51,739,000
Air quality fund	47,400	47,400
Performance measures:		
External customer satisfaction rating		
based on annual survey (Scale 1–10)	8.6	8.7
Per cent that highway user revenue fund		
actual revenues exceed forecast	+2	+2
Per cent that Maricopa regional area road		
fund actual revenues exceed forecast	+2	+2
Per cent of agency staff turnover	13.8	13.8
Administration as a per cent of total cost	16.5	16.1
<u>Highways</u>		
FTE positions	2,004.0	2,004.0
Lump sum appropriation	\$171,624,700	\$174,375,100
Local transportation assistance		
fund deposit	7,052,300	7,052,300
Total appropriation – highways	\$178,677,000	\$181,427,400

- 97 -

Fund sources:		
State general fund	\$ 7,137,800	\$ 7,137,800
State highway fund	138,893,500	141,632,900
Transportation department		
equipment fund	32,645,700	32,656,700
Performance measures:		
Statewide lane miles	17,976	18,168
Maricopa regional area road fund lane miles	569	641
Per cent of Maricopa regional freeway miles		
completed (144 center line miles total)	63	72
Per cent of overall highway construction		
projects completed on schedule	85	85
Per cent of highway maintenance level of		
service – roads meeting minimum standards	88	90

Of the total amount appropriated for the highways program, \$93,691,900 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$95,473,300 in fiscal year 2002-2003 for the maintenance subprogram are exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations, except that all unexpended and unencumbered monies of the appropriations revert to the state highway fund on August 31, 2002 and August 31, 2003, respectively.

The department of transportation shall report by August 31, 2002 and August 31, 2003 to the joint legislative budget committee on the current levels of service for each of the 9 categories of highway maintenance. The reports shall explain the department's progress in improving its assessment of levels of service and of assigning costs to different levels of service. The 2002 and 2003 reports shall explain how the \$2,200,000 in fiscal year 2001-2002 and \$2,500,000 in fiscal year 2002-2003 appropriations to improve level of service were spent and how the level of service changed for each of these categories.

The Arizona corporation commission and the Arizona department of transportation shall each conduct a study and make a recommendation to the joint legislative budget committee by November 1, 2001 concerning which agency is most appropriate to conduct railroad safety activities.

Employees who participate in the Arizona department of transportation's engineering pay plan are not eligible to receive any general salary adjustments appropriated for state employees for either fiscal year 2001-2002 or fiscal year 2002-2003.

38	<u>Aeronautics</u>		
39	FTE positions	33.0	19.0
40	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 1,774,100	\$ 1,140,200
41	Fund sources:		
42	State aviation fund	\$ 1,774,100	\$ 1,140,200
43	Performance measures:		
44	Per cent of airport development projects		²⁰⁰ 大 f 小数 ₁ 75
45	completed on schedule	75	75

1	Per cent that state aviation fund actual		
2	revenues exceed projection	+4	+4
3	Working days to complete aircraft registrati	on 2.0	2.0
4	Of the total appropriation for the ac	eronautics program	, \$641,500 in
5	fiscal year 2001-2002 is for operating the	Grand Canyon air	port. Before
6	expending any of the \$641,500 of the fiscal y	year 2001-2002 app	ropriation for
7	operating the Grand Canyon airport, the depart	• •	•
8	legislative budget committee on the state	•	
9	privatization of the airport. No more than	• =	
10	be made available to the Arizona department		•
11	Any monies not expended for operation of	•	-
12	revert to the state aviation fund.		
13	Motor vehicle		
14	FTE positions	1,649.0	1,684.0
15	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 82,193,100	\$ 83,720,800
16	Fund sources:		
17	State highway fund	\$ 78,962,600	\$ 80.488.300
18	Safety enforcement and	, , ,	,,
19	transportation infrastructure		
20	fund	1,244,000	1,244,800
21	Motor vehicle liability insurance		
22	enforcement fund	1,013,700	1,014,200
23	Vehicle inspection and title		
24	enforcement fund	972,800	973,500
25	Performance measures:		
26	Average office wait time (minutes)	15 to 20	15 to 20
27	Average telephone wait time (minutes)	1.5	1.4
28	Per cent of business processed by third		
29	parties	28	30
30	Per cent of alternative renewal methods		
31	(mail, internet, third party)	68	69
32	It is the intent of the legislature t	hat all monies ap	propriated for
33	the motor vehicle division field offices and	electronic service	es are combined
34	resources designed to improve customer se	rvices and that	the department
35	should pursue increased efforts to further		
36	services (e-business transactions) to enhar	nce customer servi	ces and create
37	efficiencies, enhanced customer service and	security issues.	
38	Fiscal year 2001–2002 and fiscal year		\$2,750,400 as
39	a non-lapsing appropriation for the mo		
40	enhancement issues and to replace and upgra	ide computer equip	ment.
41	Total appropriation – department of		
42	transportation	\$319,906,500	\$318,074,800
43	Fund sources:		
44	State general fund	\$ 7,137,800	\$ 7,137,800
45	State highway fund	275,071,000	273,860,200

1	Air quality fund		47,400		47,400
2	Transportation department				
3	equipment fund	•	32,645,700		32,656,700
4	State aviation fund		1,774,100		1,140,200
5	Safety enforcement and				
6	transportation infrastructure				
7	fund		1,244,000		1,244,800
8	Motor vehicle liability insurance				
9	enforcement fund		1,013,700		1,014,200
10	Vehicle inspection and title				
11	enforcement fund		972,800		973,500
12	Sec. 99. STATE TREASURER				
13			2001-02		2002-03
14	FTE positions		36.0		36.0
15	Lump sum appropriation	\$		\$	2,489,400
16	Justice of peace salaries		2,921,000		3,020,100
17	Property tax refunds		200,000*		- 0 -
18	Total appropriation - state treasurer	\$		\$	5,509,500
19	Performance measures:	•	.,,	•	-,,
20	Number of deposits with state treasurer		62,000		66,000
21	Number of wire transfers in and out of		22,000		20,000
22	servicing bank		27,000		28,000
23	Combined balances of all investment		27,000		20,000
24	portfolios	\$8.5	00,000,000	\$9.0	00.000.000
25	Ratio of yield of LGIP to Standard	40,0	00,000,000	73,0	.00,000,000
26	and Poor's LGIP index		1.1		1.1
27	Administration as a per cent of total cost		0		0
28	Customer satisfaction rating for local		J		J
29	government investment pool participants				
30	(Scale 1-8)		6.0		6.0
31	It is the intent of the legislature th	at th		h man:	
32	on monies managed by the state treasurer be				
33	Sec. 100. ARIZONA COMMISSION ON UNIFORM ST			919 h	oines.
34	Sec. 100. ARIZONA COMMISSION ON UNIFORM SI	AIC L			2002-03
	lump cum appropriation	\$	2001-02 44,900	\$	
35	Lump sum appropriation	•	44,900	•	45,200
36	Performance measures:		0.0		0.0
37	National conference committees staffed		8.0		8.0
38	Uniform acts approved and adopted by		4.5		
39	national conference		4.0		5.0
40	Uniform laws introduced in Arizona		3.0		4.0
41	Uniform laws enacted in Arizona		2.0		3.0
42	Administration as a per cent of total cost		0		0

28 29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

1	Sec. 101. ARIZONA BOARD OF REGENTS			
2		2001-02		2002-03
3	FTE positions	29.4		29.4
4	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 2,209,800	\$	2,229,100
5	Student financial assistance	2,355,200		2,455,200
6	Western interstate commission office	99,000		103,000
7	WICHE student subsidies	 3,282,400	_	3,436,800
8	Total appropriation – Arizona board of			
9	regents	\$ 7,946,400	•	8,224,100
10	Performance measures:			
11	Per cent of graduating seniors who rate			
12	their overall university experience			
13	as "good"/"excellent"	94		95
14	Per cent of full-time undergraduate students			
15	enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary			
16	courses with ranked faculty	81		82
17	Number of degrees granted:	23,431		23,745
18	Bachelors	16,545		16,830
19	Master's	5,610		5,630
20	First professional	516		525
21	Doctorate	760		760
22	Average number of years taken to			
23	graduate for student who began			
24	as freshman	4.9		4.9
25	Per cent of agency staff turnover	18.9		18.9
26	Administration as a per cent of total cost	1.7		1.6

It is the intent of the legislature that the community colleges and universities cooperate in operating a statewide articulation and transfer system, including the process for transfer of lower division general education credits, general elective credits and curriculum requirements for majors, to ensure that community college students may transfer to Arizona public universities without loss of credit toward a baccalaureate degree. It is also the intent of the legislature that the higher education study committee continue the collaborative process that assures that the postsecondary education needs of students statewide are met without unnecessary duplication of programs. The committee shall focus its efforts on potential students who reside in rural areas or who cannot meet the regular class schedule due to their employment and family matters. Arizona board of regents and the state board of directors for community colleges shall submit an annual report of their progress on both articulation and meeting statewide postsecondary education needs to the joint legislative budget committee by December 15, 2001 and December 15, 2002.

It is the intent of the legislature that adjustments to student enrollment funding for fiscal year 2002-2003 may be considered for each university during the second regular session of the forty-fifth legislature.

- 101 -

1 2	Sec. 102. ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY		
3	<u>Main campus</u>	<u> 2001 - 02</u>	2002 02
3 4	FTE positions	6,049.5	<u>2002-03</u> 6,052.5
5	Lump sum appropriation	\$380,599,100	\$382,401,100
6	Fund sources:	\$300,333,100	\$302,401,100
7	State general fund	\$279,120,300	\$280,504,100
8	University collections fund	101,478,800	101,897,000
9	Performance measures:	101,470,000	101,057,000
10	Per cent of graduating seniors who rate		
11	their overall university experience		
12	as "good"/"excellent"	94	95
13	Per cent of full-time undergraduate	3 4	90
14	students enrolled per semester in 3 or		
15	more primary courses with ranked faculty	80	81
16	Number of degrees granted:	9,340	9,340
17	Bachelors	6,700	6,700
18	Master's	2,200	2,200
19	First professional	160	160
20	Doctorate	, 280	280
21	Average number of years taken to graduate	200	200
22	for student who began as freshman	4.8	4.8
23	External dollars for research and creative		
24	activity	\$118,000,000	\$124,000,000
25	Per cent of agency staff turnover	19.2	19.2
26	Administration as a per cent of total cost	1.2	1.1
27	<u>East campus</u>		
28	FTE positions	243.0	243.0
29	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 18,659,800	\$ 19,649,300
30	Fund sources:		,,
31	State general fund	\$ 13,492,400	\$ 13,195,100
32	University collections fund	5,167,400	6,454,200
33	Performance measures:		•
34	Per cent of graduating seniors who rate		
35	their overall university experience		
36	as "good"/"excellent"	90	91
37	Per cent of full-time undergraduate students		•
38	enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary		
39	courses with ranked faculty	75	78
40	Number of degrees granted:	520	650
41	Bachelors	450	570
42	Master's	70	80
43	Average number of years taken to graduate		
44	for student who began as freshman	4.8	4.8
45	Fall semester enrollment (headcount)	2,450	3,150

1	Per cent of agency staff turnover	10.3	10.3		
2	Administration as a per cent of total cost	4.7	3.9		
3	West campus				
4	FTE positions	672.0	672.0		
5	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 42,608,400	\$ 42,807,500		
6	Fund sources:				
7	State general fund	\$ 39,629,100	\$ 39,643,200		
8	University collections fund	2,979,300	3,164,300		
9	Performance measures:				
10	Per cent of graduating seniors who rate				
11	their overall university experience				
12	as "good"/"excellent"	95	95		
13	Per cent of full-time undergraduate students				
14	enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary				
15	courses with ranked faculty	80	81		
16	Number of degrees granted:	1,345	1,370		
17	Bachelors	1,130	1,150		
18	Master's	215	220		
19	Fall semester enrollment (FTE)	3,822	3,900		
20	Per cent of agency staff turnover	13.4	13.4		
21	Administration as a per cent of total cost	4.2	4.1		
22	Total appropriation – Arizona state				
23	university	\$441,867,300	\$444,857,900		
24	Fund sources:				
25	State general fund	\$332,241,800	\$333,342,400		
26	University collections fund	109,625,500	111,515,500		
27	The appropriated monies are not to be u	sed for scholars	ships.		
28	The appropriated monies shall not be	used by the	Arizona state		
29	university college of law legal clinic for any	lawsuits involv	ing inmates of		
30	the state department of corrections in which the state is the adverse party.				
31	Any unencumbered balances remaining in t				
32	30, 2001 and June 30, 2002, respectively, and a	all collections	received by the		
33	university during the fiscal year, when paid	into the state	treasury, are		
34	appropriated for operating expenditures, capi	tal outlay and	fixed charges.		
35	Earnings on state lands and interest on the in	vestment of the	permanent land		
36	funds are appropriated in compliance with	n the enabling	act and the		
37	constitution. No part of this appropriation m	ay be expended fo	or supplemental		
38	life insurance or supplemental retirement. Receipts from summer session,				
39	when deposited in the state treasury, together	with any unencu	umbered balance		
40	in the summer session account, are hereby ap	propriated for	the purpose of		
41	conducting summer sessions but are excluded	from the amou	nts enumerated		
42	above. Within ten days of the acceptance of t				
43	funds budget reports, the Arizona board of r	-	_		
44	legislative budget committee of any tuiti		unts that are		
45	different from the amounts appropriated by the	ne legislature.			

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

1	Sec. 103. NORTHERN ARIZONA UNIVERSITY		
2		<u> 2001-02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
3	FTE positions	2,304.8	2,304.8
4	Lump sum appropriation	\$138,767,000	\$143,141,000
5	NAU - Yuma	2,320,000	2,320,900
6	Total appropriation – northern Arizona		
7	university	\$141,087,000	\$145,461,900
8	Fund sources:		
9	State general fund	\$113,322,900	\$117,693,600
10	University collections fund	27,264,100	27,268,300
11	Federal temporary assistance for		
12	needy families block grant	500,000	500,000
13	Performance measures:		
14	Per cent of graduating seniors who rate		
15	their overall university experience		
16	as "good"/"excellent"	97	97
17	Per cent of full-time undergraduate students		
18	enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary		
19	courses with ranked faculty	95	95
20	Number of degrees granted:	4,900	4,900
21	Bachelors	3,000	3,000
22	Master's	1,840	1,840
23	First professional	35	40
24	Doctorate	60	60
25	Average number of years taken to graduate		
26	for student who began as freshman	5.0	5.0
27	Degrees granted to statewide students	660	675
28	Per cent of agency staff turnover	21.2	21.2
29	Administration as a per cent of total cost	1.9	1.8

The appropriated monies are not to be used for scholarships.

Any unencumbered balances remaining in the collections account on June 30, 2001 and June 30, 2002, respectively, and all collections received by the university during the fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for operating expenditures, capital outlay and fixed charges. Earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated in compliance with the enabling act and the constitution. No part of this appropriation may be expended for supplemental life insurance or supplemental retirement. Receipts from summer session, when deposited in the state treasury, together with any unencumbered balance in the summer session account, are hereby appropriated for the purpose of conducting summer sessions but are excluded from the amounts enumerated above. Within ten days of the acceptance of the universities' semiannual all funds budget reports, the Arizona board of regents shall inform the joint legislative budget committee of any tuition revenue amounts that are different from the amounts appropriated by the legislature.

- 104 -

<u>2001-02</u>	2002-03
3 <u>Main campus</u>	
4 FTE positions 5,540.9	5,540.9
5 Lump sum appropriation \$308,363,300 \$3	309,601,400
6 Agriculture 47,839,600	47,852,600
7 Sierra Vista campus 2,901,400	3,452,000
8 Arizona international college <u>2,034,500</u>	2,035,000
9 Total - main campus \$361,138,800 \$3	362,941,000
10 Fund sources:	
11 State general fund \$282,347,600 \$2	284,879,600
12 University collections fund 78,791,200	78,061,400
Performance measures:	
14 Per cent of graduating seniors who rate	
their overall university experience	
16 as "good"/"excellent" 94.5	94.5
17 Per cent of full-time undergraduate students	
18 enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary	
19 courses with ranked faculty 73	75
20 Number of degrees granted: 6,578	6,670
21 Bachelors 4,877	4,962
22 Master's 1,154	1,158
23 First professional 172	175
24 Doctorate 375	375
25 Average number of years taken to graduate	
26 for students who began as freshman 4.8	4.8
27 Gifts, grants and contracts \$232,000,000 \$2	241,000,000
28 Per cent of agency staff turnover 17.4	17.4
29 Administration as a per cent of total cost 1.7	1.6
30 <u>Health sciences center</u>	
31 FTE positions 698.2	698.2
32 Lump sum appropriation \$ 53,413,000 \$	54,418,600
33 Clinical teaching support 9,673,600	9,676,800
34 Telemedicine network 1,281,200	1,281,400
35 Clinical rural rotation 474,000	474,100
36 Liver research institute518,800	518,900
Total - health sciences center \$ 65,360,600 \$	66,369,800
38 Fund sources:	
39 State general fund \$ 58,397,100 \$	59,342,700
40 University collections fund 6,871,500	6,935,100
41 Poison control fund 92,000	92,000
42 Performance measures:	
43 Per cent of graduating seniors who rate	
44 their overall university experience	
45 as "good"/"excellent" 98	98

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

1	Per cent of full-time undergraduate students		
2	enrolled per semester in 3 or more primary		
3	courses with ranked faculty	75	75
4	Number of degrees granted:	586	600
5	Bachelors	266	278
6	Master's	131	132
7	First professional	149	150
8	Doctorate	40	40
9	Gifts, grants and contracts	\$117,000,000	\$122,000,000
10	Per cent of agency staff turnover	23.1	23.1
11	Administration as a per cent of total cost	1.4	1.3
12	Total appropriation – university of Arizona	\$426,499,400	\$429,310,800
13	Fund sources:		
14	State general fund	\$340,744,700	\$344,222,300
15	University collections fund	85,662,700	84,996,500
16	Poison control fund	92,000	92,000

The appropriated monies are not to be used for scholarships.

Any unencumbered balances remaining in the collections account on June 30, 2001 and June 30, 2002, respectively, and all collections received by the university during the fiscal year, when paid into the state treasury, are appropriated for operating expenditures, capital outlay and fixed charges. Earnings on state lands and interest on the investment of the permanent land funds are appropriated in compliance with the enabling act and the constitution. No part of this appropriation may be expended for supplemental life insurance or supplemental retirement. Receipts from summer session. when deposited in the state treasury, together with any unencumbered balance in the summer session account, are hereby appropriated for the purpose of conducting summer sessions but are excluded from the amounts enumerated above. Within ten days of the acceptance of the universities' semiannual all funds budget reports, the Arizona board of regents shall inform the joint legislative budget committee of any tuition revenue amounts that are different from the amounts appropriated by the legislature.

The approved amount includes \$100,000 for development of management, training and technological courses in greenhouse technology. The \$100,000 approved reverts to the state general fund at the end of the fiscal year unless the private sector matches the amount for the greenhouse technology. Sec. 105. DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS' SERVICES

38		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
39	<u>Administration</u>		
40	FTE positions	37.0	37.0
41	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 925,500	\$ 928,900
42	Southern Arizona cemetery	211,100	342,000
43	Veterans' organizations contracts	 29,200	 29,200
44	Total appropriation – administration	\$ 1,165,800	\$ 1,300,100

1	Veterans' conservatorship/guardianship		-		
2	FTE positions		19.0		20.0
3	Lump sum appropriation	\$	878,500	\$	927,700
4	Fund sources:		•		
5	State general fund	\$	418,600	\$	401,200
6	State veterans' conservatorship	-		,	,
7	fund		459,900		526,500
8	<u>Veterans' services</u>		•		
9	FTE positions		21.0		21.0
10	Lump sum appropriation	\$	831,400	\$	832,200
11	<u>Veterans' home</u>		•		•
12	FTE positions		225.0		226.0
13	Lump sum appropriation	\$	9,771,200	\$	9,862,000
14	Fund sources:				
15	State home for veterans'				
16	trust fund	\$	9,771,200	\$	9,862,000
17	Total appropriation - department of				
18	veterans' services	\$	12,646,900	\$	12,922,000
19	Fund sources:				
20	State general fund	\$	2,415,800	\$	2,533,500
21	State veterans' conservatorship				
22	fund		459,900		526,500
23	State home for veterans' trust fund		9,771,200		9,862,000
24	Performance measures:				
25	DHS quality rating of the veterans' home				
26	("excellent", "standard" or "substandard")		Excellent		Excellent
27	Per cent of customers rating department's				
28	services as "good" or "excellent"		95		95
29	Per cent of annual fiduciary accountings				
30	approved on first submission		95		95
31	Social worker to client ratio		1:45		1:45
32	Per cent of agency staff turnover		45		45
33	Administration as a per cent of total cost		13		13
34	•				
35	department of veterans' services contracts ab				
36	for nursing and dietary services.			•	
37	Monies appropriated from the state home	f	or veterans'	trus	t fund for
38	an adult day care center for veterans shall not be expended until the federal				
39	per diem for adult day care is approved and fur				
40	Sec. 106. ARIZONA STATE VETERINARY MEDICAL E				
41			2001-02	7 -	2002-03
42	FTE positions		5.5	1 /	
43	Lump sum appropriation	\$	367,800*		360,600
			t.		`
			غ. ^{در}	i Ey •	N.
	107			•	
	- 107 -				

1	Fund sources:			
2	Veterinary medical examining			
3	board fund	\$ 367,800	\$	360,600
4	Performance measures:			
5	Number of licensees (new and existing)	1,775		1,850
6	Number of complaints received about licensees	75	•	75
7	Average calendar days to resolve a complaint	90)	90
8	Number of investigations	80)	80
9	Average calendar days to renew a license			
10	(from receipt of application to issuance)	60)	60
11	Administration as a per cent of total cost	3.0)	3.0
12	Customer satisfaction rating (Scale 1-8)	6.0)	6.0
13	Sec. 107. DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES			
14		<u> 2001 - 02</u>) · <u>-</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
15	FTE positions	214.7	7	214.7
16	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 16,365,600) \$]	16,506,200
17	Arizona water protection fund			
18	deposit	-2,500,000) -	2,500,000
19	Rural water studies	500,000	<u> </u>	500,000
20	Total appropriation - department of water			
21	resources	\$ 19,365,600) \$]	19,506,200
22	Performance measures:			
23	Per capita water use in active management			
24	areas (acre feet)	2.76	6	2.74
25	Per cent of Colorado River entitlement used	94	4	94
26	Per cent of Arizona's unused Colorado			
27	River entitlement that is recharged			
28	via the water banking authority	88	8	88
29	Number of dams in a non-emergency			
30	unsafe condition	17	7	15
31	Per cent of rural watershed studies			
32	contract deliverables completed			
33	and accepted	100	0	100
34	Per cent of agency staff turnover	1:	2	12
35	Administration as a per cent of total cost	11.	8	11.8
36	Customer satisfaction rating for hydrology			
37	program (Scale 1–8)	6.	0	6.0
38	Sec. 108. DEPARTMENT OF WEIGHTS AND MEASURES			
39		<u> 2001-0</u>	<u>2</u> ′	2002-03
40	FTE positions	40.	5	40.5
41	Lump sum appropriation	\$ 2,689,20	0 -\$	
42	Fund sources:		A 10 10	f
43	State general fund	\$ 1,913,40	0 \$	1,914,400
44	Air quality fund	775,80	0	775,900

1	Performance measures:		
2	Average customer satisfaction rating		
3	(Scale 1-5)	5	5
4	Per cent of retail stores' price		
5	scanning devices in compliance	•	
6	(i.e., cash register shows correct price)	65	70
7	Per cent of cleaner burning gas		
8	(required in the Phoenix area)		
9	samples in compliance with oxygenated		
10	fuel standards	99	99
11	Per cent of gasoline dispensing facilities		
12	inspected annually that are in compliance		
13	with vapor recovery standards	93	95
14	Administration as a per cent of total cost	14	14
15	Sec. 109. Appropriation; salary and operating	g adjustments	
16		<u> 2001 - 02</u>	<u> 2002-03</u>
17	Salary adjustments	\$ 29,253,100	\$145,226,500
18	Fund sources:		
19	· State general fund	\$ 24,223,100	\$119,816,500
20	Other appropriated funds	5,030,000	25,410,000
21	Classification maintenance review		
22	annualization adjustments	\$ 8,188,800	\$ 8,188,800
23	Fund sources:		
24	State general fund ''	\$ 4,497,400	\$ 4,497,400
25	Other appropriated funds	\$ 3,691,400	\$ 3,691,400
26	Board of regents market salary adjustments	-\$-1,000,000 -	\$2,000, 000
27	Fund sources:		
28	State general fund	\$1,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
29	Community treatment program provider rate		
30	adjustments	\$ -5,878,400	\$ 22,578,400
31	Fund sources:		
32	State general fund	\$ 5,478,400	\$ 20,578,400
33	Federal temporary assistance for		
34	needy families block grant	\$400,000-	\$ 2,000,000
35	Attorney general salary adjustments		
36	Fund sources:		
37	Other appropriated funds	\$ 268,900	\$ 268,900
38	Pro rata share contribution adjustments	\$ 765,800	\$ 1,385,400
39	Fund sources:		
40	State general fund	\$ 663,200	\$ 1,201,700
41	Other appropriated funds	\$ 102,600	\$ 183,700
42	State-owned space rental adjustments	\$ 1,173,600	\$ 1,574,200

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

Fund sources:

State general fund \$ 912,800 \$ 1,224,400
Other appropriated funds \$ 260,800 \$ 349,800
State employee health insurance adjustments \$ 24,535,800 \$ 54,943,400
Fund sources:

 State general fund
 \$ 20,338,900
 \$ 45,545,200

 Other appropriated funds
 \$ 4,196,900
 \$ 9,398,200

The other appropriated funds may be allocated from the following funds: board of accountancy fund, acupuncture board of examiners fund, office of administrative hearings fund, air permits administration fund, air quality fund, antitrust enforcement revolving fund, board of appraisal fund, Arizona arts trust fund, Arizona health care cost containment system donations fund, automated fingerprint identification fund, auto theft authority fund, state aviation fund, board of barbers fund, board of behavioral health examiners fund, bond fund, capital outlay stabilization fund, child abuse prevention child fatality review team fund, child support enforcement administration fund, children's health insurance program fund, board of chiropractic examiners fund, citrus, fruit and vegetable revolving fund, collection enforcement revolving fund, commerce and economic development commission fund, commercial feed fund, community college certification fund, confidential intermediary and private fiduciary fund, consulting training fund, consumer fraud revolving fund, cooperative forestry fund, corrections fund, board of cosmetology fund, crime laboratory assessment fund, criminal justice enhancement fund, county fair racing fund, court appointed special advocate fund, defensive driving fund, dental board fund, Arizona deoxyribonucleic acid identification fund, board of dispensing opticians fund, drug and gang prevention resource center fund, state education fund for committed youth, state education fund for correctional education, egg inspection fund, emergency medical services operating fund, emissions inspection fund, environmental laboratory licensure fund, environmental special plate fund, estate and unclaimed property fund, Arizona exposition and state fair fund, federal child care and development fund block grant, federal surplus materials revolving fund, federal temporary assistance for needy families block grant, fertilizer materials fund, board of fingerprinting fund, board of funeral directors and embalmers fund, game and fish fund, game, nongame, fish and endangered species fund, hazardous waste fund, state highway fund, Arizona highway patrol fund, highway user revenue fund, board of homeopathic medical examiners fund, housing trust fund, DHS indirect cost fund, ADEQ indirect cost recovery fund, industrial commission administrative fund, information technology fund, interagency service agreements fund, intergovernmental agreements and grants, management regulatory and enforcement fund, judicial collection enhancement fund, lease-purchase building operating and maintenance fund, liability set-off fund, long term care system fund, long-term disability administration account, state lottery fund, board of medical examiners fund, the miners'

- 110 -

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

hospital for disabled miners land fund, motor vehicle liability insurance enforcement fund, motor vehicle pool revolving fund, naturopathic physicians board of medical examiners fund, newborn screening program fund, board of nursing fund, nursing care institution administrators' licensing and assisted living facility managers' certification fund, occupational therapy fund, oil overcharge fund, board of optometry fund, board of osteopathic examiners fund, state parks enhancement fund, personnel division fund, pesticide fund, board of pharmacy fund, board of physical therapy fund, podiatry fund, postsecondary education fund, board for private postsecondary education fund, Arizona protected native plant fund, board of psychologist examiners fund, public access fund, public assistance collections fund, racing administration fund, state radiologic technologist certification fund, records services fund, recycling fund, registrar of contractors fund, reservation surcharge revolving fund, residential utility consumer office revolving fund, board of respiratory care examiners fund, state retirement system administration account. risk management revolving fund, safety enforcement transportation infrastructure fund, securities regulatory and enforcement fund, seed law fund, solid waste fee fund, special administration fund, special employee health insurance trust fund, special services revolving fund, spinal and head injuries trust fund, state aid to the courts fund, Arizona state hospital fund, state surplus materials revolving fund, structural pest control commission fund, substance abuse services fund, teacher certification fund, technical registration fund, technology and telecommunication fund, telecommunication fund for the deaf, tobacco tax and health care fund, transportation department equipment fund, tribal state compact fund, used oil fund, utility regulation revolving fund, vehicle inspection and title enforcement fund, state veterans' conservatorship fund, state home for veterans' trust fund, veterinary medical examining board fund, victims' rights fund, watercraft licensing fund, water quality fee fund, and workforce investment act grant.

Salary adjustments

The salary adjustments include personal services and employee related expenditures for state officers and employees in accordance with the department's or agency's compensation plan, except as otherwise provided by this act.

For fiscal year 2001-2002, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to increase the annual salary level of each employee by the greater of \$1,500 or five per cent, effective April 1, 2002. The \$1,500 minimum shall apply to less than full-time employees on a prorated basis.

- 111 -

For fiscal year 2002-2003, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to increase the annual salary level of each employee by the greater of \$1,500 or five per cent above the fiscal year 2001-2002 personal services base effective April 1, 2003. The \$1,500 minimum shall apply to less than full-time employees on a prorated basis.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount to annualize the salary adjustments for fiscal year 2001-2002.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of salary adjustments of appropriate amounts.

Correctional officers who are eligible for the correctional officer pay plan within the state department of corrections, youth correctional officers who are eligible for the youth correctional officer pay plan within the department of juvenile corrections, employees of the Arizona state schools for the deaf and blind, board and commission members who are paid on a per diem basis, agency heads and who are appointed for a fixed term of office, and employees that are otherwise noted in this act are not eligible for the five per cent or \$1,500 general salary adjustments.

For fiscal year 2001-2002, each correctional officer shall receive a salary adjustment in their annual salary level of \$2,500 for the period April 1, 2002 through June 30, 2002.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, each correctional office shall receive a salary adjustment in their annual salary level of \$1,500 for the period April 1, 2003 through June 30, 2003. The pay adjustment includes an amount sufficient to annualize the salary adjustments for fiscal year 2001-2002.

For fiscal year 2001-2002, each youth correctional officer shall receive a salary adjustment in their annual salary level of \$2,500 for the period April 1, 2002 through June 30, 2002.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, each youth correctional officer shall receive a salary adjustment in their annual salary level of \$1,500 for the period April 1, 2003 through June 30, 2003. The pay adjustment includes an amount sufficient to annualize the salary adjustments for fiscal year 2001-2002.

<u>Classification maintenance review annualization adjustments</u>

The amounts appropriated for classification maintenance review annualization adjustments shall be for positions that received a classification maintenance review adjustment in the Arizona department of administration personnel system on January 1, 2001. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department the appropriate amounts to annualize the salary increases for these positions.

- 112 -

-5-

£1

25.

88

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of classification maintenance review annualization adjustments of appropriate amounts.

Board of regents market salary adjustments

The amounts—appropriated—for—the—board—of—regents—market—salary—adjustments—shall—be for adjustments to the salary levels of university—8—positions—identified by the board of regents. On or before July 31, 2001 and 9 July 31, 2002, the board of regents—shall report—their plan for the 10 allocation of monies to the universities—in fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003, respectively, to the joint—legislative—budget—committee—for 12—its review. On or before—July 31, 2002—and—July 31, 2003, the board—of regents—sha?—I report—on—the—expenditure—of—monies—allocated—to—universities 14—in—the preceding fiscal year to the joint—legislative—budget—committee—for 15—its review.

16 Community treatment program provider rate adjustments

For fiscal year 2001-2002, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to the department of economic security, the department of health services, the department of juvenile corrections, and the superior court amounts sufficient to increase contracted community treatment provider disbursements by five percent for the period April 1, 2002 through June 30, 2002, except that increases paid through the developmental disabilities and long-term-care system fund cost centers in the department of economic security shall be effective January 1, 2002 through June 30, 2002.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to the department of economic security, the department of health services, the department of juvenile corrections, and the superior court amounts sufficient to increase contracted community treatment provider disbursements by five per cent for the period April 1, 2003 through June 30, 2003, except that increases paid through the developmental disabilities and long-term care system fund cost centers in the department of economic security shall be effective January 1, 2003 through June 30, 2003.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount to annualize the provider adjustments for fiscal year 2001-2002.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of community treatment provider adjustments of appropriate amounts.

- 113 -

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine the allocation of adjustments between agencies and within each agency and present that allocation to the joint legislative budget committee for its review prior to November 1, 2001 and November 1, 2002.

Each agency receiving funds under this section shall provide joint legislative budget committee staff an implementation plan for the adjustment by September 1, 2001 and September 1, 2002.

It is the intent of the legislature that each agency or department distribute one hundred per cent of the increase to contracted community treatment providers.

It is the intent of the legislature that the adjustment in this section be incorporated into current contracted rates. Since this increase in the contracted rate would not be competitively procured, the adjustment in this section is exempt from the provisions of Arizona Revised Statutes, title 41, chapter 23, related to procurement.

It is the intent of the legislature that if funds appropriated for the adjustment or associated non-appropriated funds are insufficient for the five per cent increase in either year, agencies shall ratably reduce the increase to match the appropriated level. Ratable reductions are to be allocated evenly within each agency division. Agencies shall report plans for ratable reductions in the September 1 reports required above.

It is the intent of the legislature that agencies allocate funds in this section as a flat percentage increase across the total dollar value of all contracts in eligible categories.

It is the intent of the legislature that monies for the adjustment effective as of January 1 or April 1, 2002 be allocated only to providers with contracts for eligible services in effect as of July 1, 2001. It is the intent of the legislature that monies for the adjustment effective as of January 1 or April 1, 2003 be allocated only to providers with contracts for eligible services in effect as of July 1, 2002.

It is the intent of the legislature that independent providers are eligible for these increases.

It is the intent of the legislature that community treatment providers allocate the adjustments for salary increases to direct care staff who provide direct care services for more than eighty per cent of their time weekly and who earn less than thirteen dollars per hour.

It is the intent of the legislature that these funds be spent only for ongoing pay adjustments and salary-related employee related expenses such as workers' compensation, unemployment insurance, and FICA.

- 114 -

Each contract provider receiving a rate adjustment under this section shall report to agencies by June 1, 2002 and June 1, 2003 on how the adjustment was used. Each agency shall summarize this information and report it to the joint legislative budget committee by July 1, 2002 and July 1, 2003.

Attorney general salary adjustments

The amounts appropriated for attorney general salary adjustments shall be for salary increases of assistant attorney general positions that are funded through contract agreements with other state agencies or departments. On or before May 1, 2001, the attorney general's office shall report the other appropriated funds allocation by agency to the joint legislative budget committee staff. The department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department as determined by the joint legislative budget committee staff. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of attorney general salary adjustments.

Pro rata share contribution adjustments

The amounts appropriated for pro rata share contribution adjustments shall be for increases in agency and department pro rata share contributions to the personnel division fund. For fiscal year 2001-2002, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to increase the pro rata share contribution to 0.95 per cent of the agency's or department's personal services base.

For fiscal year 2002-2003, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to increase the pro rata share contribution to 1.04 per cent of the agency's or department's personal services base.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of pro rata share contribution adjustments.

State-owned space rental adjustments

The amounts appropriated for state-owned space rental adjustments shall be for increases in agency and department rental rates paid to the capital outlay stabilization fund. For fiscal year 2001-2002, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to increase the square foot rental rates to \$15.00 for office space, and \$5.50 for storage space.

- 115 -

For fiscal year 2002-2003, the joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency or department an amount sufficient to increase the square foot rental rates to \$15.50 for office space, and \$6.00 for storage space.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of state-owned space rental adjustments.

State employee health insurance adjustments

The amount appropriated for state employee health insurance adjustments shall be for increases in the employer share of state employee health insurance premiums. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall allocate to each agency's or department's employee related expenditures an amount sufficient for the employer share of the employee health insurance increase.

The joint legislative budget committee staff shall also determine and the department of administration shall allocate adjustments, as necessary, in total expenditure authority to allow implementation of state employee health insurance adjustments.

Sec. 110. Definition

For the purposes of this act, "*" means this appropriation is a continuing appropriation and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations.

Sec. 111. Definition

For the purposes of this act, "**" means this appropriation is available for use pursuant to the provisions of section 35-143.01, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, and is exempt from the provisions of section 35-190, Arizona Revised Statutes, relating to lapsing of appropriations until June 30, 2003.

Sec. 112. Legislative intent; expenditure reporting

It is the intent of the legislature that all departments, agencies or budget units receiving lump sum appropriations under the terms of this act shall continue to report actual, estimated and requested expenditures by budget programs and budget classes in a format that is similar to the budget programs and budget classes used for budgetary purposes in prior years. A different format may be used if deemed necessary to implement the provisions of section 35-113, Arizona Revised Statutes, agreed to by the director of the joint legislative budget committee, and incorporated into the budget preparation instructions promulgated by the governor's office of strategic planning and budgeting pursuant to section 35-112, Arizona Revised Statutes.

- 116 -

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

Sec. 113. FTE positions: reporting

Full-time equivalent (FTE) positions contained in this act are subject to appropriation. The director of the department of administration shall account for the use of all appropriated FTE positions excluding those in the department of economic security, the universities and the department of The director shall submit fiscal year 2001-2002 environmental quality. reports by February 1, 2002 and August 1, 2002 to the director of the joint The director shall submit fiscal year legislative budget committee. 2002-2003 reports by February 1, 2003 and August 1, 2003 to the director of the joint legislative budget committee. The reports shall compare the level of FTE usage in each fiscal year to the appropriated level. The director of the department of administration shall notify the director of each budget unit if the budget unit has exceeded its number of appropriated FTE The above excluded agencies shall each report to the director of the joint legislative budget committee in a manner comparable to the department of administration reporting.

Sec. 114. <u>Interim reporting requirements</u>

- A. The executive branch shall provide to the joint legislative budget committee a preliminary estimate of the fiscal year 2000-2001 state general fund ending balance by September 15, 2001. The preliminary estimates of the fiscal year 2001-2002 and fiscal year 2002-2003 state general fund ending balances shall be provided by September 15 following the end of each respective fiscal year. These estimates shall include projections of total revenues, total expenditures and ending balance. The department of administration shall continue to provide the final report for the fiscal year in its annual financial report pursuant to section 35-131, Arizona Revised Statutes.
- B. Based on the information provided by the executive branch, the staff of the joint legislative budget committee shall report to the joint legislative budget committee by October 15 of 2001, 2002 and 2003 as to whether that fiscal year's revenues and ending balance are expected to change by more than \$50,000,000 from the budgeted projections. The executive branch may also provide its own estimates to the joint legislative budget committee by October 15 of each year.

Sec. 115. Transfer of spending authority

The department of administration shall report monthly to the director of the joint legislative budget committee staff on any transfers of spending authority made pursuant to section 35-173, subsection C, Arizona Revised Statutes, during the prior month.

Sec. 116. Definition

For purposes of this act, "review by the joint legislative budget committee" means a review by a vote of a majority of a quorum of the members.

- 117 -

pl 3

14

15

16

:17

18

, 19

) <u>P1</u>

22

₽3

·25

7. Sec. 117. New position-holding account

*A. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall-determine and the department of administration shall transfer from each department or agency, the amount of new state general fund monies received in this act for new-FTE-positions in fiscal year 2001-2002 to a new position holding account in the state general fund. The new-position holding account shall be administered by the department of administration. Upon hire of the new-FTE position, the department or agency shall notify the department of administration, who shall then allocate an amount to the department or agency to fully fund the position for the remainder of the fiscal year. Any monies remaining in the new position holding account at the end of fiscal year 2001-2002 shall revert to the state general fund.

. V

B. The joint legislative budget committee staff shall determine and the department of administration shall transfer from each department or agency, the amount of new state general fund monies received in this act for new FTE positions in fiscal year 2002-2003 to a new position holding account in the state general fund. The new position holding account shall be administered by the department of administration. Upon hire of the new FTE position, the department or agency shall notify the department of administration, who shall then allocate an amount to the department or agency to fully fund the position for the remainder of the fiscal year. Any monies remaining in the new position holding account at the end of fiscal year 2002-2003 shall revert to the state general fund.

APPROVED BY THE COVERNOR APRIL 24, 2001.

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE APRIL 24, 2001.

q. T			وي اليموا	$t_{\hat{\mathbf{v}}}$
Passed the House	rik 11 ,20 <u>01</u> ,	Passed the Senate	1,~ ;	, 20,
by the following vote:	49Ayes,	by the following vote:	23	Ayes,
7Nays,	4 Not Voting	Nays,	0	Not Voting
Speaker	of the House	President of the	Senate Senate	
Horman L. Chief Clerk	Moore cof the House	Chauning Secretary of the	Benate J	2
		ARTMENT OF ARIZONA OF GOVERNOR		
	This Bill was received and day of	ved by the Governor this		
	at 9:44 Sandsa	o'clock / M.	٠	
Approved this	Secretary to the Gov 24 day of	vernor C		
april	, 20 <i>O/</i> ,			
at 3:30	o'clock M.			
Governo	r of Arizona		IVE DEPARTMEI E OF SECRETAI	NT OF ARIZONA RY OF STATE
H.B. 2631		This Bill water this	as received by the day of	Secretary of State 2001,
	·	at 7:	o'clock W Day	M.
				Secretary of State